## $1996-\operatorname{lich}^{24} 5$

Dear Jim,

$$
1 / 17 / 7
$$

On the balance of the JFK records and the waiver on the hing records
If not with this you will get a copy of HcCreight's letter of $1 / 16$ on that I can have then all for so much money because it is all one foin request. If they told the judge otherwise they should be prepared to face him or another judge with this as part of the case for them surrendering all of them peacefully.

He does not make a distinction between the two releases, regarding them as one if of two different dates. And he does state that it is my FOIA request-his singular.

Whey made a bis mistakes in arguing project distinction and in earlier saying they cannot fill subject requests. his means that they have not complied with any of ry older requests even if those records ar. included in what I' Il get. I have no way of either knowing: it or finking them.

They claimed in the alg case that this is the only way they can respond to those kind of request. They can hardly say otherwise now. his means that they have to give mo the first 40,000 on this basis alone. As well as the one above.

If they are hardheaded tell them you'll file a complaint listing all my prior requests that are unanswered and they' ll not be able to show compliance. I also think they will not ant such a list in a court record. They have hooked themselves.
low on Ling, I think the thing to do is renew the request and then if you do not get action in 10 days put it before Green. And include the initial search fees. They did charge ne on whet they call $d$ the "first" case. It is the second "case" that force their hand and their decision to unload all on me. I ascot that this be returned and john said it is a different "case" and he had no control.

I min not inclined to give Green anything she can grab as an out and force us to so up on ap wal. I am also inclined to think that :
she will not go against Gesell's decision:
it will be good education for the FBI and the lawyers.
You might, in fact, raise the question verbally th lynne, who I'iu sure will see it all and can perhaps opt to return the rest on the basis of the Geisel decision. The cost of not is sure to be greater and if they win, which I think unlikely, they'li lock very be. This is a mutual problem they shoidid be willing to wipe out the easy way.

Without wasting any more tine or money to risk having to justify later.
Best,

