Dear Jim, 10/28/77

I've read Diane Cohn's prepared statement for the Senate FOIA subcommittee.

Seems pretty good.

Have you considered asking those lawyers to represent you in fee recovery if there is official opposition to it?

I think that Pratt's decision of the past and recent opinion entitle you to fees and that there should really be no question about 1996, except for a possible hassle over amount. The records we have received make it clear they are all lying about having decided to comply prior to our filing the compliant. The records we have, in fact, ought to represent a dream case to them.

Now that they have finally crossed the invisible line.

I do not take offense over the manner of reference to me, by the way. For them it is good.

My suggestion for which you have not asked is that you do the initial filing or requesting and then if you need to sue, file your complaint and then ask them to be counsel.

They have taken good positions on the issue and I think will continue to. Having taken positions they also have an interest in establishing precedent.

You have a first-rate case.

I doubt there will be a better case in the near future for the plaintiff's recovery. Note on this her citation of the NY pro se case, where DJ offered an extra \$1,000. It is not identical but the basic principle is/

Hastily,