DJ. Kmisia

Fir. Gone Wilson, FOLA/PA Coordinator Optical Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. Rt. 12, Frederick, Sd. 21701 7/21/77

Dear Br. Vilson,

The news account of your coming release of an additional 4,000 pages on what might be called mind-bending reminds me that my requests seem to me to cover this and that you have not notified me of this release. I do want these. If you interpret my prior requests as not covering them then consider this a new request. We recollection is that my initial requests cover anything and verything directly or indirectly related to this subject. That you have interpreted my requests as I have is evidence from prior correspondence notifying me of releases.

Under the Act there is authority for the remission of all conts and fees. I believe I meet the requirements for this remission and I make the request formally. Ny work is restricted exclusively to what is now regarded as important historical cases. In one on which I/ have paid the CIA large sums without compliance, the ding assessination, the previous atternay General so held, formally, confirmed by a federal judge. I have already arranged to give all my records, not just those, to a university system. I believe at an earlier date I reserved the right to recover those fees. I have delayed a femal appeals pending a determination of a judge that there be a ruling by the Department of Justice with regard to me and on this. The Department provided a bargain-basement response, a 50% remission that I have appealed and will if necessary litigate. I hope the CIA will not favorably on this.

It has been a very long time since I have heard from you about a multitude of requests all long overdue by your own backles claims. One of these is on the Ring assessmation, In that you may recall you estimated a \$1,000% search fee and asked for and received a \$500 deposit. What you have sent is ludicarous. In the long time in which I have heard and received nothing further I think you have in effect refused the request after charging fees so this is also an appeal on the Ring/Ray records.

I we esking again when I may expect to hear from you on all the other request, including my PA request for the recesse on se. The appeal is not real years eld now. By recollection is that your last reference to this stated the presenting of the appeal had not been completed. I have rights under the Ast to more than copies. I want to convoice those rights. This is impossible without obtaining all copies first, Asal have told you repeatedly I would prefer not to have to litigate this. What I am thus really asking you is doe a the CIA want se to sait it on a request that goes back to 1971 and or which it is not in compliance? Not even processed the appeal?

Among the factors saking it difficult to keep track of these non-compliances is your failure to respond as well as to comply. I believe I have acked this before. I have then what follows is an appeal from a refusal. If I have not it is a new request. I would like all records relating to my requests and appeals, including their sequential relationships to other requests, under both Acts and particularly as this relates to compliance with other requests.

Mnoorely,

Marold Weisberg