

Dear Jim, Quin ~~She's~~ Shea's 7/12 and my today's response

7/15/77

No, I do not deny that in blasting the lying bastard I have been indulging myself, ~~have~~ ~~have~~ for a few moments obtained a much-needed release in the passion that enabled me to thrust so many new worries from my mind.

However, I admit no more. And I do not for a minute believe there is no more. If I did I think I could have resisted the temptation.

I think it is not impossible that this may save you the trouble of briefing the whole matter and presenting motions and affidavits to the Court. If it does not we have lost only a little of my time. I consider that for this I have been repaid by the release.

This son-of-a-legal bitch symbolizes all that is wrong in the system of justice, all the hurt that has come to the country. The totality of his infidelity could be perfected only by typing and spelling errors. Nothing else in the whole damned letter is real, beginning with his deliberate avoidance of the basis for decision and going from there through all the fictions he created and the lies he told.

After he explodes and after they put all the disgusting pieces back into the contemptible whole it is just possible he may be able to escape the hate and the lust for vindictiveness and the further efforts to deter me that alone account for his stupid decision that is without citation of authority or basis.

There is no finding of fact that I meet the requirements for any remission of costs. Obviously there can't be. There then would be no basis for a partial remission that does not qualify for a total remission. The implied basis has no standing, my known subject interest. it is not a basis under the Act or any decisions.

You will not be able to do anything about this for some time. I've sent you the unread part of the affidavit and enclose the balance not for your immediate attention but for you to have it in case of unexpected need and to get it done from my end. I think that immediately the 1996 affidavits are much more important.

However, I ~~do~~ do suggest that if you have heard nothing further from John or Sussman or the stinker himself you might ask J or S if there has been any word about my letter before you put yourself and them to more unnecessary trouble and perhaps add to the judicial disenchantment about them.

The new administration that does not remove all policy-level holdovers is begging for the troubles most new administrations inherit without dreaming they do.

This undigested effluvia from a septic tank in need of cleaning epitomizes this point.

He probably prays regularly and loudly, loves his wife and children, pets his dog, feeds his cat, dresses properly and meticulously and considers ~~he~~ himself a good public servant. So did Himmler. They ~~differ~~ differ in degree only. Both are practising liars, practising authoritarians and enemies of any concept of freedom or justice or a society of observed laws.

Best,

