7/14/77

Dear George,

Earlier this morning, when I was a bit unsteady from whatever now is wanking on me and amid many interruptions, I started the draft of a letter for the purposes below.

I had expected to let it set for a spell and then go over and revise it. Parts may not be comprehensible, although I'd like to hope better.

The Jim phoned to say that the BF mountain had labored and delivered the mouse of a dissent rather than a reunion.

I believe that the actualities of recent years do qualify for the reunion of courts.

If you can help in any way, thanks. I'd also appreciate it if you show this to Bud Valentine.

Probably a letter would be enough but I expect suspect having it notarized would be better.

It would be better to send to Jim Lesar, 1231 4 St., SW, 20024.

Much in your review of the Doyle book was overdue being said. Good review.

My own experience with him was that he would not even talk when I filed under FOIA for the non-secret exhibits entered into court evidence.

I never did get them. Later the 1st district federal court agreed to sell me copies and then backed out.

They did return my check.

And that is farther than I got with Doyle.

If I'd known you were to review the book I'd have reminded you of a story Bill Chatham and John Hanrahan wrote when Jaworski was first appointed.

The story was not used. But if it is not in the morgue the documents on which it was based should be.

Best,