Washington. D.C.

Dear Mr. Kelley.

In my C.A.75-1996 my counsel has filed a "emorandum to the Court of 11/19/76.

It and its attachments address that of which I have written you earlier. In particular I call your attention to my request that you rejected, that you do semething about felonies committed in you name and by your agents. The attachments to this Nemorandum leave no doubt that there was false swearing with regard to pictures of the scene of the crime and the FBI's use of sequential numbers with FOIA/PA requests.

(There is also now clearly proved FBI perhury about "other suspects" of which I have written you earlier without real response. I can provide this proof and will on receipt of your word that if it is as I represent you will take those steps imposed upon you by your obligations and the Act.)

Your files will reflect that about a year age I asked you to use sequential numbers and that your refusal to do this constituted a deliberate plan to process the requests other than in order of their receipt. You did refuse. A have presented repetitious proofs to the Court. Those attached to this "emorandum establish that the numbers themselves are not assigned in order of receipt.

There is no question about it, you have not processed my requests in proper order and you have given favorable treatment to others who did not even request it.

Of the many requests I have filed under the Act there is not a single one that is not now long overdue under even your own representations about processing.

I believe this explains you failure to notify me of the sequential numbers assigned to my requests. I believe another reason is that it would disclose shockingly the delivers-THERE teness of this demial of my where rights and violation of the Acts.

I am herewith making formal request again for the sequential numbers assigned to all my request. If you order compliance with this you will learn that all I have been writing you is true, that your subordinates have been engaged in a systematic companies to violate and mullify the Acts and to deny me my rights for reasons I believe ought be obvious: they dislike my accurate exposures of their transgressions.

In the past you have written me that I should communicate with you through your counsel. I have learned that he does not comminicate farthur what I cimmunicate to him. I therefore am informing you that on February 11 of this year, after your counsel made certain representations in court is your name about what he would file under oath, I did tell him such affidavits would be perjurious and that I would have no choice but to prove it. I have kept my word to him. I am, if necessary and possible, prepared to add to these proofs, all of which are without refutation, rebuttal or comes examination.

This, if partial rather than complete, is a disgraceful record for a law-enforcement agency of any kind, more so for the FBI when you proclaim your alleged intention to reform and cleam it up so it can be what it is supposed to be. I regret this much.

Sincerely.

Harold Weisberg