Dear Jin, C.A.75-1996: our courso, wy new aix Lavit 10/3/76
I got up at 5. By $5: 30 \mathrm{I}$ was wortang on this affidavit. A 13ttle after 12130 I had the unread draft completed. It is now about twice the langth you spocified. If I can cut it I will when I rad it Later today on tomomow. Hover $r_{2}$ I will want ti it rilod, even though the reality is that you will not be able to read and change it by the next status call, on the 3th. You are overlymcomarbed through the 7 th. This is the aunc situation that has robbed ne of noet of a yoar of my work and life and it is goine to and now one way of anotivt. I'va said the before but in evory aase I've rolented in derence to your perfectionisa. I can no longer. This is en llass. I begen tryine to work out aone way of doing this about hay and we have not advanced a milispotor since. Eroapt in meandgleas sophistries and fine statemerts without moaning or adegiffimace by the juige.

What I have drefted is, under the ofrewstancos, a moderate, modest afildavit. I do loy it on Dugen and on Rym as part of a patcom and on all those who filed affidevita and testified live. The reconds I got fro: es leave no poomiblitity of zoasonable doubt about perjuxy and the zintent to porjure. I will go into tom in the nor make ank anke copies of each for attacidinge Hiss case was within Howard's definitton a project. Bo batu hat tho promise of compliance in three months, partial compliance in four and what is remesentel as the fullost poasible compliance nine months, with the offer to search further. One partial search ofiered pronptiy was of an ostizated 120 hours. They heve not come close to this in this case of seven nonths earlier.

If tiris does not do it, the hall ith Groen. You go through the motions without 210. I have other tininge to do and no time for then. If you are umilifnge then I will take no noro tice on this case. I vill not appoar at any othor status call, for the same and compelling reasons, to me.

I do not have a single page to show for those internanable status calls and hearings. She is compl scent in the face of repeatedly proven perjury. That may be the may of the courts but it is noithor ny way not in wy intorest and I do want to forco an is we on it now. If I lose I lose nothenge I an no wew worse off than if I do not try. When sibe could taire open contompt, failure to comply with her order, she'll take anythenge his is not the firs tile. She has corpelled nothing and Phean expects har not to lonc anough to suit his purposes. I will not aeree to rentve wast I have on him. You can refuse to sile it and I won't. Nor will I runove the afmilar allegations against Fyan. I tudntry believe for what these bastards heve done to me this is my richt. Fore, it is my citrizents obligutions.

When you file the Ray petition I would liko you to prepare a stimply motion or two, to give her options. In our you ask for fortivith and total compliance, with the allocation al all manpower nocessaxy to soarch all files, including of all field of ifces so that I con be givan zroxes in full not later than Decerber 15. I'll ado to this. If ghe refuses it say you arc eoing to the court of appeele and do It, on this tercue alone. I don $t$ sive a demen if thoy rule acoinst no. It in no may hurts our case, prospects or anythanc olse. I do not, in fact, expeet a rale ageingt mo. They have to have all of this coming out ou whoir hairends. I will prepere a short, aimple statement Itd Hike you to road in explanation of your sotion. I an not including all in this af idavit. I may even hold a pross conference if she rofuseg our motion. Iove had it dozens of tines over.

Once tids is done I rint to filea damage suit, as fast as ppssible. There are many reasons for it. Don't worry too much about the conplatint and don take a lot of tine on it. I'll vant to hold a press co forence thon. I an det rumined to take the initiative in these matters and I am determinee to delay it no longer. I think I am abudantly amed now and see no rason to let nositure aocumalate on tho armo. I also went to try to steor the Howse cowittee arould, not betweon Seyila and Charmais. As of now tinis ia an important possibility. I also ant to put all pabli hers on notice about the cartain mipoff. If we ha not beon ovarly-pationt I would not now be in thes rottion aituaticm of havinc a book two-thinds wittea an no prospect for it and every prospect of these whores stealing and selline it. I also went to do all of this with plenty of lead timo for HBC. This means I must do it mapidly.

As I have witton Ryan, I'n not taking any moro oi this Dollaatergatine. If kyen does not toll Pratt that he lied I'r eotne to. If Pratt does nothine then I'11 probably 80 public on both of thom. This is going to ond. If it does not it wall not bemere
because of me unil lingnes: to make the efrort. After two nonthe that iratt was goine to given then still another month's delay in answerdng interrogatories thay refused to answor last year, basod on an obviows lie. Two amonth was Pnatt's idea. Thoy didn't oven hove to ask for that mach. Ien years anter my request? Cone on! Afte. the apeoels count nandated spoed? that an outrage!

We cen now look back on alrost a half year or wh wating to do thincs we did not do. I cannot recall ono of them that trive and eveat has not proven to be thight. So we have for ali practicel purposes lost all that the and the govemmont has it for its disinitomation purposes and all wy yeass of unpad vorit on this are now boinc stolen. This is too mach. Lico holds few certeinties except those we do not lise to condider. There is no hay of imoning in aivance whether wabat I want to do is wight. I'm willing to risk it and in fact constide: it no rial at all. Ypu can lay anythine you do not like of on no. After nore than seven yoars of the govorment spinming ay whels on tifis any complatint will be ontirely un asonable. If there are consequencea, as in do not expoct, $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} 11$ face tham, Dugem had two weoks to robut my testimany on tinc. $H_{e}$ did not. He dannot is why. It is true. orfboat as it nay aeen to you from tho sterootypine on law school and case lave and the cliches of your profession I beliove the tino is now ani this is onc of the best cases in which to wake an effort to thrn these hazis around. I' $i$ zather frall than not try.

But thowe is no powe a question of Cailure in thate than in tha you do soe, no possibility of loss for furny regy in a petition cert. that tive holl can anyono do to us?

Have you evor asked yourself why I've not had timo to complete Agent Oswald, as you
 the new ling bool in the time I've totally wasted in this case. Thore is no sincle,piece of paper I con at ribute to all the statno calle and waxinge and the enormous amount of trre I put into preparations, whethor or not used.

Let so amplify thia: I have to stop beine part of frostrating myolf.
Here you phoned.
 I want to mode an issue of all of this, here and now. She can duck it. She cen reject it. But I do ant it in bho record and $I$ uill stahd behind it. If she unts to pick a flegt with se on this insue, in this case, with the record in this casc and hervtolerance of overt violation of the Act, let hox. She chas, in reality, wima become a goverment utonadi. If ahe wants to renion in tida position and salidify it $x$ on appoal, lot her. He record leavee no doubt she has to bo pushed. III push vith this.

You say ahe wont read anytidng longer than acveral pages. Okay, sho doesn't. I8ll ba her clexk does and sfter he does she wil. I does not take all that lone. Les how
 an we thont ay doubt thut it can and will be of interest to tho Congress, noarin, some in it. I think she'll be aware of this as well as the apreals court.

Tou agree with what I wrote beinore you called about a damage suit. off the top I want to include, po sonally, Levi, Dyier, Shea, Shabeon, Pot inger, Thomburgh, Hom, Buckley, Groas, Kolley, Whamen, Smith, Howard, Kiltym and Dugan. Everym one I cail Identify a. hevins been part o. this. Thoy have combinod to nake it posciblo for noe to bo robbed of tho natural frut of mone than geven years of hard labor againat grat odds and have conbined to deny ne my ighto under the act, for the wost part by perjury in ad ition to tortfous acts. The e is no snaction or obligation for thon to swear falsely, misrepresent, railead or decaive a court. This is why I inve juxtaposed tham and Greon. Letfuer decide. I seen force her to.

