

Dear Jim, Dugan 5/5/76, what it represents and what I want to do 7/22/76

I've gotten to page 7 of the 1996 transcript of 5/5/76 and it has become an emotional impossibility for me to continue.

This will pass of and I will continue.

It is not the first. It will not be the last. But I have time for fewer of them and no disposition to take any more.

This whole thing is monstrous. Here you have the representative of the law who is a no-hiding Nazi deliberately deceiving a court for god knows what teeth time and the judge can't be unaware and he charges you with improper conduct and in every way is as genuinely subversive as one can be and is immune and I have to take all this time to try to make a record that maybe at some point we may get some chance of using some months hence.

It is all so obscene!

A great man was killed. Dugan is not only the representative of he is one of those who immediately saw to it that justice could not work.

Now because he is paid to do it and loves his family and goes to church and gets absolution for all his sins he wants to make me personally a victim of that horrible thing that was done by officialdom so I cannot bring to light the horror of what was done?

And I have to be patient, and waste these precious hours and suffer this anguish as I read each venomous word?

The snake in Eden was noble compare with this bastard.

We have to take a different approach.

There are other reasons if I do not hide my emotion.

If we do not there will be no end.

To me Dugan and his clients are criminals in this matter. Literally they are.

To now I've done everything by the book. I propose following the book literally. We have come to accept a corruption of it as truth and reality. I will not.

I want to break this impasse by making charges against them --all-- to Green and under oath, with them to respond under oath. If they dare.

I just glanced away from the typewriter and what do I see? And can you imagine how many times? She says, so sweetly, decently and patiently, "it is now May and they made their request, is we just start with it, as a request, in December '75, isn't that right," It wasn't. It was April 1975, but Dugan says, "Yes, your honor."

Then she tells him what the law says. And a month and a half later and long after time for response to your Motion to Compel of 6/30 still nothing of any meaning or significance has happened. A few pages from ~~Sanford~~ Rights only and not in conformity with the 6.30 motion in any way. Not a word from anyone else. Only it does include proof of FBI perjury, a file identification from which Wiseman and Kelley and Dugan have not provided a single paper with the relevancy established by the DE lawyers who provided it. If we need more, which I doubt, we have it.

If they have 15 days to respond that time is past, figuring from 6/30.

If Dugan has to file a paper first, then it will never happen that Green files her order (although a call would be in order.)

Establish that they have not complied in time and move for contempt citations, against Levi, Kelley, Tyler, Dugan, Wiseman, Kilty and anybody else you think we should.

Then ask for the case to be set for trial at whatever time you think not pressuring you on time after you come back from vacation.

Announce you will subpoena for Levi, Tyler, Kelley, Rosen (I'll explain if I have to), pramier, Clark, Gallagher, Seamon at Time, DeLoach, Adams, the head of the Oak Ridge lab or the one who supervised the NAAs. ~~and~~ will name others later. Say there will be duces tecum subpoenas in some instances.

Something will happen once their hysteria has settled. It will probably be the discovery of mislaid files.

What I really wanted to begin with I've mentioned before. I want some relief from this illegality and oppression. I believe that is the obligation of any judge. Can you think of any name that covers it? Intolerable. Let us take the initiative. Best,