

6/8/76

Director of Editorial Services
Time, Inc.
One Life Mag.,
Rockefeller Center
New York, N.Y.

Dear Sir,

I am the plaintiff in Civil Action 75-1996 in federal district court in Washington, D.C.

In this action Special Agent Thomas Wissman of the FBI has executed an affidavit dated June 2, 1976 in which he makes certain representations about you and Time and the pictures of the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King. These seem to this cause to be the only pictures of the scene of the crime at the time of the crime in the possession of the FBI (which earlier swore that it had none at all).

Although there was no description of these pictures with them and Mr. Wissman professed so little knowledge he did not know the name of the photographer, I know these to be the pictures you bought from Joe Louis.

Mr. Wissman represents that you are at once the "photographer" of these pictures and "agent for the photographer," namely, on page 11 of his affidavit.

While making certain representations about Time and this correspondence Mr. Wissman has professed none and has declined to make copies available to my attorney. I think all interests, especially Time's, are served by providing us with a copy of all this correspondence and any comments on any place or personnel conversations in any way relevant to this matter. I have in mind the possibility of including them in the court record in this case.

I am not without familiarity with these pictures. Those shown me by the FBI do not include all of them.

Mr. Wissman, based on this correspondence, has sworn to the court that "if he (meaning me) desired copies of any of these photographs...he should contact Time..."

I do desire copies. I cannot specify not to publish them without consulting your corporation. My sole interest is scholarly. I do not want the actual or general Memphis shots. My sole interest is in the crime. In terms of pictures this means only those taken from or showing the lonegun note and the assassin's commanding car, indicating the date of the shotguns and of the position of the victim's body, those with or around it, etc. My interest in these pictures is for study, not publication. Of this Mr. Wissman seems "plaintiff has the opportunity of obtaining copies from Time."

I would prefer not to credit the substance and the allegations of this affidavit. They add up to the right of a wealthy corporation to buy and suppress essential evidence of horrible crimes.

I would appreciate, with the understanding that they might be used in court, all records in any way relevant to this matter of your giving these pictures to the FBI, including where, when, why and how and how many copies of how many different pictures. I believe that on a matter of this nature and when it is in court the

interests of neither the country nor your corporation nor justice are served by a court record that says there are and were no copies of any of those pictures at FBI headquarters, that the pictures I was shown are all you gave the FBI (and I do know of and can describe others, as I personally told Mr. Wiseman) and that you provided those pictures while prohibiting any use of them of any nature.

With a prosecution pending,

Because of the nature of this case and my study I think you should also know that one of the reasons Mr. Wiseman refused me copies for my own study is that "these photographs represent a definite financial asset to [him]."

You can, of course, draw your own conclusions from the fact that the FBI at first said that it had no unimportant pictures at all and as of this writing has now said that it has not a single one except those you bought from [him], most of which you have not even fit to print.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

P.S. I believe you are entitled to further assurances. While I have no publication interest in these pictures if at some time in the future that should change, I would expect to pay TIME as I have the wire services and as is normal.