It nay not occur to you in thege terme but the analyais $~$ a have completed on W's kemo of roints and Authoritise and the two long atteched affidavite is longer than some books, like spotein's.
i. had reasons for changine my mind. I toik you I'd pick subjecte and adiress thea.

This was not adequate bectuse there was just too much to be responded to whenever and however you do. There was too wuch risk that $I$ might not addresa something that those dishonests charactere might thereaf ter have misused. So. I think that when you read this you'll be prepared for these eventualitios as well as for re ponae.

There are other uses that may become posaible. There is the new attempt at white washing now underway thank:; to us. There may be Congressional interest. At sone point we will w nt to get costs bask frow these rasoals, as under the new aw we can. (In this onse their swearius to complete compliance seems to me to be all we nesd to meet the reguirenents of the law.) There may be people to background. And $I$ have as a rosult of thit a duoh better mowledee.

The least likely possibility is my using this in wy writing. I'li wee the knowlecee ad make general statedente and chares. Bit this iz too wuch to use.

I have done it in great haste. I hope it is consrehunaible. I have not read a work ot it. wil hae been reading wh moine si, plecorections, ail that i: possabe. Sut in conficent of the sact.

I' gled these FBI typen deaden to go after ne ac an dgnoramus. This pretty much shows what is what and who is what. I think I'vo really taken $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} i l} \mathrm{ty}$ a art. and that if you can use any ap rtable part of it he may find gone trouble yet for all tinese termible things he does. If we knew what parts can interest ween (can we abik hert) we could adaress then only in writinge What it boila down to in siupler terme is that batween thesi al. they didn't lay a finger on us and we made strong statements. They had factual responge to nothine, acceptable explanationg of nothing. They were often forced to simit the truths in ay affidavit. At no point did they disprove any of it. where they made aliegations they were without support. Whexe they appesred to be maidiz statements of
act they did nof such thing. Onv of the repeatine example is hity's clain that what we asked for ana said we heu not boon given had been given. In not one case did he dare specify or identify a single page. He could not ani if as an expert he is called to do this under oath he may have problema, depending on the forum.

There is no pylapose in mailing this when I'll be there Wednesiay. We'll have to discuss it soac before the hearing, though, enough for you to tell her they have not complied, not answe red, and that you have not had tiale to prepare a formal answer. The government and sone of ite people are runcing what oan be very serious rigics with all these dishonesties under oath. It convinces me aven mory that we are completely right. The only explenation is that they have decided to continue stonewalling ard consider the risks their lesser evil.

This is one of the factors that sue este taking the tire now and making a record now. we can have a stuation like tho one sud blew in keaphis, when the other gide will be looking for a convenime goat or two. With our interest truth not vietims it is not natirial to re if anyone $1 s$ puphed or not. However, the way the structure works they have to have some low down in authority to bs blaned so thoae at the top are not. In this case boover may be an exception now that he ins sfifly dead.

But this is croat brideges berore the water in in atent.
For nou I triak we have a fuctual foundstion tor all we'il need and if there is a heoring all the rough work needed for our testimony and for crossexamining them. Me'll not have it to do over.

I'vo been pushine hard and slecping little. whe are going out to dinuer and after that I'li dralt the short af icavit you wint on pix ane fet the reoris you acke for. + haven't read a paper in deys except that whon wh recting after a visorous walc I did read irile's pieqce on hithinh in today's Post. There is much wrong with that entire stery. "y friand "as: hes taren in by it, too. It looks like a disinformation operation to me. And anti-kennedy. ${ }_{r}$, the CLA's....This whole thing is gettine nuch more bymantine. It now ap ears to be more than the CLi's offort to unload whil they can get away with anything and everything. Best,

