Dear Jim, Affidavit 75-1996 3/17/76

What you gave me yesterday is 20 typed pages plus two handwritten ones numbered 36 and 37.

Great!

I have only a few suggestions and corrections, none of which will required doing a page over. In sequence:

Par.23 or after: it would be appropriate if at this point we could include reference to their claim that I did not exercise discovery in 75-1996 and contrast what they try to do on this spurious claim to the stonewalling in Wiseman's "answers." I do not suggest and rewriting to incorporate it but do suggest that if it can be worked in later, say at the end of the specific responses, it be done with, if possible, a reference here.

Here also it would have been appropriate on the question of CBS to note that after 7 months they did nothing until a) after we filed the complaint and b) they had motive in giving CBS propaganda pictures, not those taken for lab purposes. This can fit later but if you use it I'd include a reference here. I am willing to allege that the pictures given me are not those required as a basis for Frazier's affidavit. I think this is in the draft.

47: All the lab reports that are dated and I do have are dated beginning with and following the identification of Ray's prints. I'd note that it took two weeks although he had a record and was an escapee. I'd not ,ention any confusion in prints because if they do it brings up the wuestion of the dependability of their record-keeping, which is at question. That there are no lab reports for two weeks is, I am sure, quite exceptional. On this we can allege on information and belief that Gallagher peronally went to work immediately and this means the next day, when the materials were delivered by an FBI agent. We have proof of delivery 4/5/68 and Gallagher told this to Levy (Mickael the non-responder). We can attach the earliest lab record, dated 4/18 as I recall and the record of delivery if you want. She'll get the point.

52 Right to privacy. I think if it fits somewhere else it would be good to include the Supreme Court decision, powerful as this is without it. We want overwhelm.

57: Did Hanes not get the extradition records? If he did this can be corrected easily by changing the first word in the third line from the bottom, "papers," to "records," which would include the Washington records.

Page 3, 9: factual error that has to be corrected and can be easily. It says, line 4, that "I was allowed to inspect a list of the documents/.." I was in fact allowed to inspect the British or confiscated set. I think that either "inspect the British" or "inspect a set" will mean only whiting out a little and not retuping either line in fill, the second requiring the change of one word only. Getting the British in may be more emphatic. If you agree, then all you have to do is break "Brit-ish" and the whiting out of "the " in the seond line will let it fit.

Page 11,33, line 2: I think you need "and" as the third word. If so eliminate "both" and it will fit in the single line.

This is really a first-rate job.

I think continuing to do it this way is more important than the date we get it filed.

If you spoke to Bud he did not phone me but I'm mailing my letters with this.

I phoned Bud Floyd and told him to say nothing about my not being present with Downing Thursday and if he is asked to say I have a prior commitment.

Best,