Dear Jim. 2/28/76

While I would not want to give the impression that one experience with a small sudience of blacks can be taken as jupical my reception at the apparently all-black Detroit-area community college, Mighland Park Community College, is one from which I do believe we can learn and perhaps project.

I had outlined what I planned to may. As usual it would have taken longer. So, about 45 minutes into it I concluded my opening remarks. I did not show any slides because Greg to not finish them. I had planned to use an opeque projector for some of the new documents but didn't get to that. Or, I said less than I had planned and used no visuals and the reception was great.

I can't evaluate the student body but I would be surprised if there is any area in which we could expect a higher percentage of radical thought and perspective. There was no question or issue I ducked in any way. I had felt it not unlikely that I might confront some heaky attitude, perhaps some recomment when the questions and enswers turned to black attitudes toward the King assassination and black abdication.

There was none. There also was not a single nutty or in any way irrespondible question. We beiting. We binky stuff. If I had been black there could not have been a difference. As a matter of fact, I think that while it was smaller than most audiences I have had, apparently by college design, judging from the size of the room used, it was purhaps the best audience I have had. Not only because of the absence of the irresponsible or of region in any manifestation either way but because of the maturity and responsibility of the reaction, not only in the questions asked. It was quite a gratification.

A fair percentage of the audience was older than the average syndent body today. There were several members of the faculty. In varying but all flattering ways all commented afterward. Including the one while, of the social sciences faculty. The Dean of Students offered to write a letter than might help me get other appearances. We also spoke to Flayd at some length after it was over, while students and others were coming up to me.

For this compling of the student body they have have one hell of a fine faculty. These I met certainly were. However, this can suggest the audience was atypical. The faculty/administration people I met were wonderful human beings. But the fact remains that in the factorit area there should be as goods a prospect of finding radical negativism as anywhere and there was none. And from this I dedice that from that spectrum there is not likely to be opposition to any responding of the King assassination.

Flagg was supheric after it was over. He said he would not have missed it for anything. Believe me, I duckeds nothing and some of it was hard. It also drained me emetionally. I said what I believe, said it straightforwardly, and it was assepted and I think I was respected for it.

I think also that the outline, if too long, is to hasis for the speech loan make on this subject. I think also that with a little luck and perhaps some help it can get me to areas where there are other things I can do. Like Atlanta. I'll not be surprised if there are some recommendations of this kind.

I was glad to get to the airport sheed of time. We sat and drank a little and relaxed much. In fact, a foul-up on the reservations had us returning first class!

On the way out I read and made notes on the interregatories. Also returning. I'll pick that up after a walk, if there is no intrusion. I mean Wiseman's answer. My impression is that we can put the hat on him. Black hat. What I will do is type from where I left off as I wrote comments, then go back and type what I wrote, and do it with the possibility in mind that you may want it in affidavit form.

I suggest that you consider having me look house with Vinesan an every possible "answer" and issue, desking none but being especially conserved about the position of the judge. These has several aspects. The has been burdened by FOIA work. Very Hamily. She also is being imposed upon, I suspect not for the first time, by the FMI, N and WHA's office. This combination looks so to the belief that the inevitable result of the affiderit, regardless of its length or brevity, convince her that the FMI and friends are abusing her, not us. We instead propose her, if she has the disposition, to go after than including the one before her, Dugan, for needlessly burdening her. I think this is easily possible. The one problem I anticipate is the proper phresing, which ISIL loove entirely to you.

However, I do think you should do the research, which I take it is simple, on the new task I've spetted, in the invecation of FROP 35 to scoredit Missess and what is really meant by what I recall as (b)(7)(6) of the smended law. The first has to be trickery to attempt to make an incompetent affidavit appear competent and simultaneously avoid a perjusy change, the second sens kind of changeshet. (I refer to it differently in my indignant and challenging letter to Mass.) Regardless of what you do and do not do my letter to Mass eliminates the matismal possibility they will attempt to use that kind of dedge and same you if they are essay or deparate enough to try now.

I on able to destupy this inequible decument factually and an doing it. They have to be in real deposition. For ealled in inexpetible. I think that lacks the interpretation of notive. I also think you might want to consider this as a meeting of their reading of their situation. I think also it would be very constructive if some representative of the Mask Gausse, say Dansvan Gay, were to be in the courtroom. I have a manage from Denovem that he has and will return my files and that he is with the Mask Gausse, so longer if or Abang. A little nove, which interprets more or loss as we have well about 6id to us, loss did figure.

My interpretation is that the government is really worried about the potential of this one. With a live defendant, us the defence, a punding appeals decision and a fairly large number of black dangerospecule, they should be. We should help them be.

I'm addressing each of Wheemen's "answers." Probably with the remaining about half I also will. My purpose in this is to arm you to show the totality of the dishement, to show that it is not merely with an isolated answer of two. Among the advantages I can see for the immediate as distinguished from where it can be helpful, appeal is placing the responsibility for the burden on her on them. This happens, as I see it, to eximple with my rights.

The Jim Mishep 5/14/75 column you just rejurned is marked in two places that will show black on your copy. These address the FRI's way of informing other writers. The important one is delocal. However, that SAIGs do is also helpful in this part of the request.

Bost,