Resenberg FOIA case; Fer.in affidavit: HW's followup of 1/25/76 memo on

While it is not on this subject, reading the Perlin affidavit to where I have (p. 15) and what I forget from the earlier memo, to follow, suggest an added reason for the CIA's non-response to appeal and FBI's failure to acknowledge my request for the files on met I'm not kidding when I say they can be of a magnitude indicated in the Resemberg case, from those I knew alone.

So, before I go further, pending by arranging for local help or perhaps even if I do it would be a good idea if you can arrange for some tape transcribing. It will take one of two forms: regular casetter or special ones that will fit a regular machine. A friend in exploring getting me one of the submineratures. like for short distation or memo-making. If he doesn't, once I know you have the means of doing this securely I'll use a regular machine. I'm reluctant to use the fine Sony, as I'll explain, and think I have a blke communers I can use on the \$40.00 Fanasanic. Some time ago the instructions with a good sape recorder that had a remote-control mike said not to let it stay in the record mode too long turned off with the mike because it would flatten the rollers. So, while the Seny has a pause, when I can be interrupted for hours at a time, it is too easity for me to use it and run the risk of impairing it at all. I'm not going to try this today beause have and Shirley will be here at sease unannounced time and because I'm not sure you will want to do all of this kind of thing as we discussed. Does to burden your projected transcriber too much or in more than one way? Non and for the predictable future I won't be reading documents at my dosk except in rare meeds because it is the indicated. This seams a greater than usual clutter found where I sit. The ness machine would be ideal if adapted to a regular machine for transcribing. Af not I have an air extra good wike or two with an on-off switch that I can probably get wire to a plug that would fit the Panasonic.

Gosing to the name of 0. John Rogge resinds as of the number of files in which I can be (I know him before and when he was chief of the DJ Grissinal Division, but only slightly). And when Perlin mays they investigated him after he was crisinal chief and they knew and worked with him and investigated him prior to confirmation - when he represented Government witnesses in this case- can there be any real limit to the investigations the FSI makes? Any investigation of Rogge would also lead back to one the FBI would consider "red" alkhough he was a leading redShaiter of his time, Gardner "ackson. That certain led to me in dozens of whys.

The largest single number of Hosenberg files in the dresson third affidavit is on Gregory Silvermaster (mentioned by Bentley). I knew him slightly, sockally, in the mid-30s. I think because a roommate of the time, one I haven't seen or hearder since before World War II, worked for him. While going to graduate school nights, as I recall. I suppose because of this that roommate was the subject of an investigation that extended to his college mate and one who also lived with us being called as a witness before some investigation and saying whatever was required to survive. I think that what I recall was HUAC. Anyway, does it not begin to spread into an emeraty of mothingness? And do you think they now want either the fact or the meaninglesness public?

Perlin affidavit: Paragraphs 15ff seen relevant to the earlier memo & vice versa, his observations support us. Want him as a witness? To this point he has observed and otherwise seems to have tumbled to some of what " noted earlier.

Here you phoned (JL) with the belief they are going to Fratt Perlin on "substantial compliance." I agree after reading the rest of his affidavit. From Perlin's own account I at even more convinced than shen I spoke to you that the FBI has selected the high-risk cases like this and ours to run their risks on to anend the law in sourt. If they let the truth out they are bad off. If they run risks, the risks are lower because it they don't they are surely hurt. I think that while the Rosneberg case will get more attention because of its past, they are more hungup on ours because curs can hurt those now in the FBI. Like Frazier has to go. This further thinking impels so to urge greater consideration of the new approach I suggested in the earlier memo, on their technical

arguosats.

Just as I finished reading the affidavit (not the motion) the Gaineses case and when they left we went to bed. This is the next morning with my my reform 1've only a few minutes before having to leave for blood-testing. So, I'll Brobably have forgotten such of what I noticed in reading the affidavit and think under these conditions the most productive thing is to go into the political situation. Whether or not any judge is influenced by it we'll be wiser to assume the possibility at least. So, we want to put before that judge what can either help a judge wanting to be honest or deter anyone less than a Fratt and in any event make the best possible record.

The polithcal situation of influence at the moment is the fact that the Congress is going to came as close as it dare to whitewashing the spock agencies. Judges say well read this as Congressional intent depsite the bk law.

You and I have always concentrated on making a good record and I think in every case we have. We have always taken the initiative and in these cases we will. However, we man take initiatives other than we have. We face Green first. Therefore I think if we can't file prior to that hearing, formality that it will be, we should use it for initiatives. Like those pages from the List of Basic Source Materials to illustrate the atonewalling we have been facing. Maybe the Calhout mens, which is already before her with the failure of the DJ to answer my letter documenting what is missing and us also asking for contempt action if there is non-compliance, combined with a request for a change to prove non-compliance with witnesses on both sides if there is not prompt delivery. If you thinks this fits Green. I've confused it a bit with what belongs before Jones. With Green the record in other cases, miss leaking of what has been denied me, the fact that every single case of these **Galaxy** over the years has been proven wrong once we price the stuff loose. The two transcipts in particular with parts read. S:20 p.m. I had just started to write you about another matter when you called.

50 I can dispense with that and try to pick up these threads. After a rough day.

I've gotten out my list of Basic Bource Materials. I recommend givibb Green the first three pages and begin by noting that it say "In percession of Commission as of February 1, 1864," long after there was any Commission. Pages two and 3, CDs 22-68, inc., are all of FEI origina and without exception are titled by subject, "Sewald, Internal Security, Russia."So are 11 of the 21 items on Page 1. One of these 11 (GD12) marely adds "Suba." Another, CD15m instead of "Oswald" substitutes "(Assaulting a Federal Officer)" Of the remaining 8 i tems, all but three are of FEI origina. One of these (CD14) is Details of telephone calls received re: Supports." Three are titled "Oswald" only. (Eds 5-7) (And what a story there is on GD 7 alone on this subject. Or 5. Any, in fact, and while it would take time to collect, they don't all deal with Oswald.) GD4 is "Ruby (5 volumes)". And CD1 is "Summary (5 volumes)."

This ought inform Green.

Then we pick up with what I've twice written Tyler, that they have provided me proof they have not complied, and despite my sending it certified, no response. Then with my letter to Breason saying their form letters don't even reflect any identification of the request to which they (do not) respond. Then an affidavit to which I attach sample from standard sources of how the scientific tests are recorded and interpreted, among whatever else you think should be included and a statement of what they have given as. like the only result of spectroscopy on the King clothing is På or lead. Then a couple of samples of proof of withholding in 75-226, where they request the request earlier and then provided proof they were withholding what they had after the rewriting. And with the unconested proof we told them included. What I'm really saying is that in this case we pick one maybe two key parts and hit hard and then make allegations on others, maying * knew of other thisgs they have and are withholding and fear that if I list them all they'll never admit having anothing other than 2 itemize. One examplexithat may throw then in pictures of the some of the crime. I can swear that I know of pictures they have, who took them, how they got them and can identify each picture with a film number. Believe so, I can. We might want samples of more but I think Green is overloaded on the Mosenberg

case and we should not overload here further. Gesprehensibility, solidity of the few documented cases, the record of the past and factual allegations ought to be enough. It also should be the kind of thing that is consistent with what she has been seeing, to make a clear repetition of a/ pattern for her. With Calhous on "foot-dragging" being both policy and wrong- he wants no part of it - it might do something with a formal calendar call. It would take the initiative, if we pull their trick and file it then or just before, which is the soonest we not can.

If we can I favor before so our hats can continue white and so that they it to respond to before her. As part of whatever you lawyers call an answer to an "Answer."

It is now going on S p.m. so except with what you should know about as and the FBI files on as I'll merely repeat the cryptic notes I ands as I continued the Perkin affidabit. Numbers are to his paragraphs:

24 - in 75-226 appeal?

25 - on roating aligs (like we got none-ever)?

25 - last sentence?

- 30 last sentence is the opposite of what Bresson told us 3/75, 8 1/2 months earlier. Aside from us being able to attest, it is referred to in my correspondence and undenied by Bresson. We help them?
- Forget to write number do we want to make this kind of move in the King case? To assure preservation? I think this had to refer to an inventory and when we talked I'd referred to a meaning ful inventory, not like the List of Ha is Source Materiak subjects.
- 45 do we want Perlin affidavit on this stonewalling for King case?

he I was reading this it occurred to so that we should propare for them to argue in 6A on 75-226 that it is "burdensees." I think the basic response is that they created all the burden and precisely for this purpose- that honest response presented no burden. If it did the FaI could not function at all. It has to have instant retrieval of its info. In this case they created all the burden in the FBI, BJ, 03 attorney's office and courts by a deliberate contrivance- to build phoney statistics for this kind of use and to dony not the public info. I acked for and to frustrate and seek to rewrite the law in an area where for them to be honest is for them to expose their own dishonecties in the very touchy area of the association of a President.

When I see what one of the documents Ferlin attaches can me with respect to the magnitude of their files on me I'm impressed, to put it mildly. I'll just make a few notes of how I should expect to appear in files on these mentioned in Ferlin's Exhibit 1:

- Harold November- friend of friend of mine in unions, my friend then and mince strong red-baiter. I knew November slightly. This says we were practically neighbors, too.
- NIXEZ Turner's Arena peace rally: I'm alcost sure this is one to which I drove Faul Robeson. New it seems they took all the License numbers. So, you may as well know, I then had a 37 Dodge, set Robeson to the airport, etc.
- Elitoher and Sobell lived in the same apartment house in which I had matil I set bil. I left it years earlier than this report places them there and never knew than. But there will be more on this building, which provided a convenient, walking location, phenty of space and low rents. We had 4 rooms for, as 1 remember, 360.00 and month. Four of us batched it, with guests from time to time.
- Annie Goodsan Allen had been the wife of "en Allen, a refugee from a high post in the sugar trust, I think from Philk. Sen an' I worked together for the Senate. If they were not separated when I met Sen it was not long thereafter. When separated and years later she seem to have rented the apt. I had. I remember being there encot party for Richard Wright. I knew one of her daughters alightly, but the names here do not correspond to ay recollection.

William Remington later lives there too? Could I have left too scon?

No. I never knew or not Remington. Or Elitcher, Sobell, the Hosenbergs, etc.

- But I once had a bose who had met Bentley. He was really persocuted, around the world, especially in Mexico. He wont to Cuba and dian't like it. Teaching again and has published an anti-Castro book.
- Bat this is from one of these uncounted and countless thousands, perhaps hundreds

of thousands of docusants. And 14s forgetten about all of this.

They'llnot have a file on the "epublican from high-school days who was one of us.

But I got him the job that was to be his lifetime cureer, with a "epiblican frimm of mine in a depublican state and to hold that he'd probably have said anything. Hapecially after I offer a low opinion of a manuscript on a black Reconstruction Schator from Mississippi, probably his one during adventure into liberalism.

By Godal It will take a mortgage on my house to pay for their fileson mel I think we'll want to consider a different approach of which this will be part@ me suing them

for damages with what they did with that horrendous stuff. Aside from anay other factors, just think what it cost no when I was farming and its wanted to buy my chickens after Namie tasted them at the Balles' and the Secret Service investigated me and the FBI fed them all that kind of polson. The agent, by the way, was one Griffith. He came on a Saturday afternon when I went up to the house to meet him covered with a mixture of sawdust from carpentry and chickenshit and idl had made one of her then typhcal jokes, here about BeCarthy. (Ike wrote both of us fannail, though and sent a non-agent to me the day after he was remominated in San "rancisco- the guy who later wrote the book.) is invited us to the White House but ixis separately, during the campaign, but when we were told that we ware to be photographed with him - this didn't bug either of us - and then once out and may we were going to vote for him (NIXON?) we both declined, separately. He and his didn't Geneult the FEI on Jewish-farm votes. Women's, too.

Back to the cryptic notes. I have one asking about in camera inspection in the 226 appeal and 1448 and 1996 on what Calhoun calls "foot-dragging" and I stonewalling, for af all the internal memora like Calhounts. Gam we use the Galhoun memo to amond the appeal? Do we want to? Do we want to use it to ask the court to ask for them? Can we? EME Can it?

Where did I go wrong in the past year? How could I have survived sex such of which this so minor a part without over being hespitalized for illness (by the Army, of course, as the one exception), of never otherwise ever being unable to get out of bed, and now have this pair of illnesses?Have I suddenly started keeping bad cospany?

Horry, worry-

Head for the high ground! Lil thought I should relax with Archie Bunker. And who is the guest satr? Jack Gilford, who I also know a little before McCarthy. When he did work for a real Communist.