Mr. Richard M. Seamon, Director Editorial Services Time, Inc. Time & Life Bldg. Rockefeller Center New York, N.Y. 10020

Dear Mr. Seamon,

Although the return-receipt will provide you with a recodi, I believe it is only fair to let you have a letter confirming receipt today of the contacts of the Louw pictures and my thanks for them.

I think your corporate interests are also served by my having received them today. I have to leave before the mail comes tomorrow and I will then be able to inform the court af this question comes up, as it may.

Because this matter is before the court as part of a larger one and because there have been representations made to the court as part of many other representations, I would appreciate your prividing me with what I asked and you did not, a record of all communications between any part of your corporate structure on the pictures, beginning with any restrictions imposed at the time they were given, what was given, and a record of all communications relevant to this Freedom of Information action. There are inconsistencies I believe should be clarified. This can be done by what I ask. As I believe I informed you, I will give this to my lawyer so he can use it in court. As the record now stands there are inferionces I believe lime would prefer not be drawn by me in court or in my writing or by anyone else who may become interested in this subject that is now of extensive official and unofficial interest.

Explicitly there are questions about FRI covering up of fact about this terrible crime and about its compliance with FOIA. One inference that can be eliminated by a straightforward response from your records is that of Time's complicity with the FRI in either the covering up or the stonewalling under the law that should be apparent when I tell you that as the court record will show, this FOIA request is now in its 14th month without compliance and with official insistences on the right to withhold, of which Time was made part.

Time's record also holds an inconsistency that is of no special interest to me because I have no intention of ripping you off and if I should later want to publish any of the pictures you elected not to I will write you. But after telling me that you are "ouw's agent you now tell me that I will "have to clear such use with him." It is my understanding that this is the role of the agent and the reason one has an agent.

I do thank you for your willingness to make enlragements for me. It would be more convenient for me and I'm sure less trouble for you if you would merely authorize Mr. Thomas L. Wiseman, FOIA/PA unit to do this. I selected fewer than one of five of the pictures for surther study. He has this list. I do not. I think you realize that in opting copies of copies my interest is not publication. I think you also realize that even with magnification I cannot see in the contacts that are only 7/8" high what I did in the 8x10 enlargements when I made this selection. There will be no cost in this for you. I will pay. If you agree, I would appreciate a carbon of your authorization to Mr. Wiseman.

Sincerely.