

Dear Jim,

5/2/76

It may not occur to you in these terms but the analysis I have completed on DJ's Memo of Points and Authorities and the two long attached affidavits is longer than some books, like Epstein's.

I had reasons for changing my mind. I told you I'd pick subjects and address them.

This was not adequate because there was just too much to be responded to whenever and however you do. There was too much risk that I might not address something that those dishonest characters might thereafter have misused. So, I think that when you read this you'll be prepared for these eventualities as well as for response.

There are other uses that may become possible. There is the new attempt at white-washing now underway thanks to us. There may be Congressional interest. At some point we will want to get costs back from these rascals, as under the new law we can. (In this case their swearing to complete compliance seems to me to be all we need to meet the requirements of the law.) There may be people to background. And I have as a result of this a much better knowledge.

The least likely possibility is my using this in my writing. I'll use the knowledge and make general statements and charges. But this is too much to use.

I have done it in great haste. I hope it is comprehensible. I have not read a word of it. Wil has been reading and making simple corrections, all that is possible. But I'm confident of the fact.

I'm glad these FBI types decided to go after me as an ignoramus. This pretty much shows what is what and who is what. I think I've really taken Kilty apart. And that if you can use any appreciable part of it he may find some trouble yet for all these terrible things he does. If we knew what parts can interest Green (can we ask her?) we could address them only in writing. What it boils down to in simpler terms is that between them all they didn't lay a finger on us and we made strong statements. They had factual response to nothing, acceptable explanations of nothing. They were often forced to admit the truths in my affidavit. At no point did they disprove any of it. Where they made allegations they were without support. Where they appeared to be making statements of

fact they did not such thing. One of the repeating example is Ality's claim that what we asked for and said we had not been given had been given. In not one case did he dare specify or identify a single page. He could not and if as an expert he is called to do this under oath he may have problems, depending on the forum.

There is no purpose in mailing this when I'll be there Wednesday. We'll have to discuss it some before the hearing, though, enough for you to tell her they have not complied, not answered, and that you have not had time to prepare a formal answer.

The government and some of its people are running what can be very serious risks with all these dishonesties under oath. It convinces me even more that we are completely right. The only explanation is that they have decided to continue stonewalling and consider the risks their lesser evil.

This is one of the factors that suggested taking the time now and making a record now. We can have a situation like the one Bud blew in Memphis, when the other side will be looking for a convenient goat or two. With our interest truth not victims it is not material to me if anyone is punished or not. However, the way the structure works they have to have some low down in authority to be blamed so those at the top are not. In this case Hoover may be an exception now that he is safely dead.

But this is cross<sup>ing</sup> bridges before the water is in sight.

For now I think we have a factual foundation for all we'll need and if there is a hearing all the rough work needed for our testimony and for crossexamining them. We'll not have it to do over.

I've been pushing hard and sleeping little. We are going out to dinner and after that I'll draft the short affidavit you want on pix and get the records you asked for. I haven't read a paper in days except that when I was resting after a vigorous walk I did read Crile's piece on AM LASH in today's Post. There is much wrong with that entire story. My friend Mac was taken in by it, too. It looks like a disinformation operation to me. And anti-Kennedy. Mr. the CIA's....This whole thing is getting much more Byzantine. It now appears to be more than the CIA's effort to unload while they can get away with anything and everything. Best,