Attorney General John Bischell Reportment of Justice Wachington, D.C.

Beer Mr. Mitchell,

After I twice wrote you beginning three months ago, I got a non-responsive reply, for you, in the same of your Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Griminal Division, from his Chief of the General Crimes Section. Without my ever having gotten only kind of honest or menningful enswer to any inquiry of your Department, under any similarization, this one began with the bald statement "that further exchange of correspondence between yourself and the Department of Justice on this matter will, serve no useful purpose."

my receipt of this accurate forecast that you would never respond, letters in which I saked for excess to what I am entitled to under the law it is your obligation to enforce, it looks very much so if the experiment of Justice is more afraid that correspondence would serve a useful parasse, a purpose at foors.

delegate to those under them what they cannot attend personally, as they must also depend upon others for the information they have. This is no may diminishes the responsibility of those in charge. The Attorney General still runs the Dependment of Justice. It is, I believe, your responsibility to see that the laws are abserved, by you end by your payponsibility to see that the laws are abserved, by you end by your payponsibility to see that citizens making proper inquiries get non-pay response within a resecuable time.

When a citizen wake his Department of Justice for seess to court records and cannot get an enswer, this ge have pessed a deplorable state in a country such as ours. I have made this request; you have not responded. Prestically, this means you have parased me. I believe you cannot.

After you or your office referred my first two letters to Mr.

Belcher I thereafter wrote him. Because he has not once responded, in any way,
I again address you. I have two purposes. To the degree I cam, I went to be
certain that you know the situation, for the responsibility is yours, and, if
necessary, I went to invoke the laws that entitle me to that which I seek. I
prefer not to have to resort to this, so I would hope you would, too.

Junede specific requests for specific information in letters to your Department between March 30 and April 25. If I am refused this information, i respectfully request citation of the authority under which you refuse it. In each case I also sak that you provide the with the forms and instructions it. In each case I also sak that you provide the with the forms and instructions it will need to seek to obtain this information under the "Freedom of Information".

law. It is my intention to invoke the provisions of this law, if necessary. May I call to your attention that have, in the past, asked the Government for the means of utilizing this law without ever having been so equipped? I do not think this was the intent of Congress in enacting the law.

Among those productions I have sought unsuccessfully is a new renders of transfer of the President Wennedy sutopey material, as set forth and described in earlier correspondence in your files. Respectfully I call to your attention the fact that this document is one of the working papers of the special panel convened by your predocessor and by it was so inventoried. I believe this removes it from any executive authority to withhold it and herewith renew my request for it.

Under the previous administration, when I select for access to the improperly-withheld David & Ferrie meterial, I was told by Mr. Vinson that a review was under way. I have since asked the results of this review and above had no response. I remove the question, remove the request for this meterial, and would like the necessary instructions and forms for application under the above-cited law should I again be demied. May I, in this connection, sail to above-cited law should I again be demied. May I, in this connection, sail to your attention the seeming impropriety and the inconsistency in the government vous attention the seeming impropriety and the inconsistency in the government claiming in court, to a litigent, that he has not exhausted his administrative remedies while the some government denies another access to his administrative remedies?

of the Federal Bureau of avestigation were defuning me, I did call this report to your attention, believing, as I do, that there should be at least a pre format denial of it. Aside from Mr. Belcher's assurance "that such conduct would be in complete disregard of Departmental and Bureau policy" and his statement that a copy of my letter was sent "to the Director of the Bureau for his consideration" Takeve heard nothing. When that Bureau promises to send me a copy of its press release and doesn't, and when that Director fails to respend to a written request for a press release, perhaps I should not be surprised at the absence of a forthe-record denial. However, I would prefar to think the Attorney General of the United States would not be content for the matter to rest here.

Inhere eften requested a copy of the spectrographic analysis of the bullet and fragments of bullets alleged to have been used in the murder of President John Kennedy. My written requests to the Director has never been answered. I hereby renew this request, asking, if I am dunied, for a statement of the reason or reasons and the instructions and forms for invocation of the Freedom of Information law. With regard to the Marron Commission file identified as CD47:7, I make the same requests, as I do with CD1269.

presented in court in England. I would now like to breaden that to indicate that used in Memphis, directly and indirectly, in the case of James Farl Ray.

When I make requests of the National Archives, there now is a delay of not less than the menths be fore there is any kind of response, when there is one. I believe this, in itself, clouds the purposes and integrity of the government four own Department does not respond at all. I do hope you will connect this, that you will agree that when a citizen and more, a writer, makes proper inquiry of the Government, response should be as prompt as possible.

Sincerely, derold Heisberg