

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 77-1831

September Term, 19 77

Harold Weisberg,
Appellant

Civil Action 75-1448

v.

General Services
Administration

United States Court of Appeals
for the District of Columbia Circuit
FILED MAR 31 1978
GEORGE A. FISHER
CLERK

BEFORE: Tamm and Robinson, Circuit Judges

ORDER

On consideration of appellant's motions to expedite oral argument and for leave to file reply brief with addendum, appellee's motion to strike portions of reply brief, and the oppositions thereto, we grant the motion for expedition and hold in abeyance the other motions.

Appellant seeks to present evidence to this Court which has not been presented to the District Court. The sound course is for appellant first to present his alleged new evidence to the District Court in a motion for a new trial. See Smith v. Pollin, 194 F.2d 349, 350 (D.C. Cir. 1951). In light of 5 U.S.C. §552(a)(4)(D), we direct the District Court to act expeditiously on such a motion so that we may hear oral argument on the appeal promptly if no remand under Smith v. Pollin is recommended. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED by the Court that appellant shall move in the District Court for a new trial, and that the District Court shall rule on such a motion within thirty days after it is filed, and it is

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 77-1831

-2-

September Term, 19 77

FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the Clerk is directed to schedule oral argument during the June sitting period of the Court, and it is

FURTHER ORDERED by the Court that the motions to file reply brief with addendum and to strike shall be held in abeyance pending the District Court's disposition of a motion for new trial.

Per Curiam