

1418

Dear Jim,

12/5/76

Enclosed is the latest letter from Jane Smith and my response.

i also know after this trial that it is back to the one hand a little longer.

perhaps that no name is mentioned in the 1/24 transcript is relevant to the claims to exemption. i think so with (b)(7). if they are still claiming it.

they are evasive on this.

why?

the choices seem to be between hiding and stonewalling .

a direct answer would have taken much less time.

i tend to believe the withheld pages are withheld for other reasons, not because the exemptions are either necessary or applicable.

i am not sue but i think this and 5/19 are those on which they switched claims to exemption.

best,