

HW 7/7/76

In catching up on some filing I note what I believe is relevant at least in the 1448 interrogatories if not otherwise in that action and I believe before Green on the special non-attention my requests receive, where it would make clear that it is not only the FBI and DJ but the entire government that claims to take these requests in order and interprets order as meaning mine are always at the bottom of the stack.

CIA Wilson's 6/30/76 credits Wilson with all the denials.

What when then began their numbering system was F-75-004 and then they subsumed into F-75-4927 actually is a 1971 request. You have the Warner letter denying they have any files on me at all after our personal visit. You also have their subsequent admission there are some. (I'd rather not include the proof they carelessly provided of what they still withheld and how they lied internally to their own general counsel unless you think it is important.) You have their letter that lead to what you also have, my December 1975 appeal. There are a number of unanswered inquiries by me about the status of the case because I do not want to sue without need. None received a reply until this 6/30/76. Top 1st graf p. 2 Wilson now says that with his own earlier letter acknowledging the appeal limiting their time to 1/20/76 as of 6/30/75 it is "still pending."

The overtones are very, very rich!

For Green the record already shows that my DJ/FBI requests of 1969, 1970 are still without response.

For Robinson this addresses the integrity of the CIA's word in these cases, that on withholding and declassification in particular and especially Briggs's. In the case of the CIA it leaves not doubt about lying and deliberate withholding by that lying. If you think we can go into this we might want to ask about my mail. I'm assuring that we have one set of CIA interrogatories and they have various people answer. Which can mean the standard problem of second-hand affirmations.

I think that almost anyone will realize from the questions and the record with which we can accompany them that they have to regard me as some special kind of enemy, Nixon/Colson sense. They give records to all kinds of people. But not me.

The most probable reasons, I believe, have to include the nature of my work, its subject, what this means to them, and their first-amendment interferences. It is certain that this extended to my mail.