

Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701
6/19/76

Attorney General Edward Levi
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. FOIA/PA Appeal

Dear Mr. Levi,

This is my appeal from all the denials of the various FOIA/PA requests with which there has been no compliance.

These requests go back to 1970 to my certain knowledge.

It is ten days since the Department was informed that there has been no response to my filing of the then required DJ-118 form with the check you cashed. In that time I have heard nothing from the Department or from the AUSA who was present in court when I was forced to produce this evidence in response to the Department's persistent misrepresentations about its non-compliance with the law. I have to take this as a sign of the Department's intent not to comply.

My present physical and medical condition make it impossible for me to search all my files and list all these requests. I can recall one that today would be included under the Privacy Act to which there has been no response. It is of 1969. Mr. Mitchell assured me I would hear from the Director of the FBI about it. I never did. Today it is a reasonable presumption his silence was not alone because the FBI regards itself as above the law. It now appears probably that had the Department looked into my complaint about the behavior of FBI agents that had been reported to me there would have been a 1969 exposure of the infamous Cointelpro subversion.

The public record leaves no doubt that your Office of Professional/Responsibility is no more than another layer of whitewash. It is currently in violation of the law with regard to my request of April 15, 1975 and C.A.75-1996. Nonetheless I have given it an opportunity to function as we have been led to believe it is supposed to. Through FBI Director Kelley I have filed a complaint with it about a personal defamation of me in an otherwise also falsely-sworn affidavit by FBI Agent Thomas Wiseman.

This is only the most recent FBI false swearing. However, when they are all presented to courts by the Department, which is aware of their falsity, there is no reasonable doubt that these felonies represent Departmental policy and intent.

Were I to swear falsely you would prosecute me. Why prosecutes the prosecutor?

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg