0	1		
×	\mathbf{i}		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
		•	х.
HAROLD WEISBERG,		0 0 0	
	Plaintiff,	:	
v.		: Civi	1 Action No. 75-1448
GENERAL SERVICES TRATION,	ADMINIS-	•	FILED MAR 2 1976
	Defendant	:	WAN 2 1010
			JAMES 3 PAVEY

(A

PLAINTIFF'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES

Pursuant to Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff addresses the following additional interrogatories to the defendant General Services Administration:

26. Would disclosure of pages 63-73 of the January 21, 1964, Warren Commission executive session transcript constitute a violation of 18 U.S.C. §798?

27. Would disclosure of the June 23, 1964, Warren Commission executive session transcript constitute a violation of 18 U.S.C. §798?

28. Did the Warren Commission have authority to classify documents Top Secret pursuant to Executive Order 10501?

29. If the answer to the above interrogatory is yes, please cite any such authority and attach copies.

30. How many pages long is the June 23, 1964, executive session transcript?

31. Who determined that the June 23, 1964, executive session transcript is exempt from the General Declassification Schedule and on what date? 32. Who determined that the January 21, 1964, executive session transcript is exempt from the General Declassification Schedule and on what date? Did this determination apply to the entire transcript or just pages 63-73?

33. Do pages 63-73 of the January 21, 1964, executive session transcript deal in any way with the autopsy of President Kennedy or related matters such as the medical and ballistics evidence?

34. Do pages 63-73 of the January 21, 1964, executive session transcript deal in any way with the medical or ballistics evidence pertaining to the wounds suffered by Governor Connally?

35. Has every person who has had access to the June 23rd transcript had a security clearance?

36. Has every person who has had access to the June 23rd transcript been required to show his security clearance?

37. Has every person who has had access to pages 63-73 of the January 21st transcript had a security clearance?

38. Has every person who has had access to pages 63-73 of the January 21st transcript been required to show his security clearance?

39. List all persons who have had access to the May 19, 1964, Warren Commission executive session transcript and the date(s) on which each of them has had access.

40. Does the National Archives or the General Services Administration have authority to downgrade or declassify the June, 23, 1964, executive session transcript or pages 63-73 of the January 21, 1964, executive session transcript?

41. Has the General Services Administration or the National Archives made any determination(s) as to whether the June 23rd transcript and pages 63-73 of the January 21st are properly

2

under either Executive Order 10501 or Executive Order 11652?

52. If the answer to the above interrogatory is yes, give the date and the result of each such determination and the name of the person making it.

53. Has the Interagency Review Board ever been asked to review the classification of any of the Warren Commission Executive session transcripts?

54. If the answer to the above interrogatory is yes, who made each such request and on what date(s)?

55. Are copies of any still-classified Warren Commission executive session transcripts maintained anywhere outside the control of the General Services Administration? Where?

56. Do the Allen Dulles papers at Princeton University contain any Warren Commission executive session transcripts? If so, please list.

57. How many copies of the January 21st and June 23rd transcripts does the National Archives have? Is every copy marked "Confidential" as of the date this interrogatory was received?

58. In determining that the January 21st and June 23rd transcripts are to be classified "Confidential" under Executive Order 11652, did Mr. Charles Briggs take into account the guidelines drawn up by the Department of Justice pursuant to the White House Directive of April 19, 1965? Was Mr. Briggs instructed to take the Justice Department guidelines into account in making his determinations?

59. As amended by Executive Order 10964, Executive Order 10501 §5(a) provided:

At the time of origination, all classified information or material shall be marked to indicate the downdgrading-declassification schedule to be followed in accordance with paragraph (a) of section 4 of this order.

3

At the time of origination were the January 21st and June 23rd transcripts marked to indicate the downgrading-declassification schedule to be followed?

4

60. If the answer to the above interrogatory is yes, to which of the four groups specified by §4(a) of Executive Order 10501 were the January 21st and June 23rd transcripts assigned?

61. Section 5(i) of Executive Order 10501 provides that when classified information affecting the national defense is furnished authorized persons not in the executive branch of government, the following written notation shall be placed on the classified material:

> This material contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

Did either the January 21 or June 23, 1964, executive session transcripts contain this notation at the time they were transmitted to the National Archives and Records Service?

62. What date has been set for the automatic declassification of pages 63-73 of the January 21, 1964, transcript?

63. What date has been set for the automatic declassification of the June 23, 1964, executive session transcript?

Please note that under Rule 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure you are required to serve upon the undersigned, within 30 days after service of this notice, your answers in writing and under oath to the above interrogatories.

HIRAM LESAR

1231 Fourth Street, S. W. Washington, D. C. 20024

Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 2nd day of March, 1976, mailed a copy of Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories to Assistant United States Attorney Michael J. Ryan, Room 3421, United States Courthouse, Washington, D. C. 200001.

JAMES HIRAM LESAR

5