

HW 7/15/75

There was an odd notice on the call on this case as posted on the bulleting board outside Judge Pratt's court today.

It read "Motion to Dismiss and Protective Order."

More than the Government's Motion to Dismiss was before him. There were also ours to Compel, One to compel a sworn statement from one with first-person knowledge that there were and are no results. Results are what I sued for nothing else.

I have no idea what kind of protective order. Nothing like it came up. Jim Lesar asked Ass't U.S. Atty Michael Ryan and he said he had no idea and hadn't asked for one.

All I can think of is my allegations of federal lying. On his own the judge went into this. I expected him to so I had new proof of new lying. This judge must get his kicks out of being lied to because there isn't even a pro forma denial of these proven charges. Anyway, Jim's response was to say there had been we had proven it and here is the newest case (not denied but ignored).

(By lying here with it under oath and material I mean perjury.)

The judge then undertook a quiet sermon on people getting hurt by making charges like these outside of court (where there is no immunity). Jim's response, without talking to or looking at me was that we were prepared to make them the minute we left the courtroom.

This ended the self-serving lecture on lying none of which was ever denied by anyone in anyway.

But I do wonder what kind of "protective order" this judge had in mind.