

Dear Emory,

10/7/77

I had hardly finished reading your letter of the 5th when Jon Lesar phoned to tell me of an exceptionally lengthy and detailed adverse decision in the Spectro case. It will require more time within the time we have for preparing what I can.

In fact, Jim called me here again about it. If he has time before the end of the day he'll send you a copy of the opinion on the chance you'll be able to provide anything or offer suggestions, evidence, responses, etc.

If he does not, after that there just will not be time. I'll send you one when I can but let three weeks go by, if I have not, before reminding me.

Your Kelleher letter seems to me to be of possible immediate help in several ways.

It is my recollection that Gallagher testified in deposition that he started an NAA on Q3 but that he got no results. What he says about Q15 seems to be consistent with what we got from Gallagher.

My NAA Q3 interest was jacket not core.

I had only what I used in PM. I received nothing else and they swore nothing else exists.

Of course you can use PM

I don't understand his statement that bullets were not used as spectrographic standards.

I've never seen any "standards" in the scientific literature other than the same manufacturers bullets.

If and when you get copies of the pages he sent me please send me copies to be sure they are identical. While I used only parts of the pages I used all the content!

Your concluding graf says what I've said without response.

The variations appear to be of significance.

However, we are limited to whether or not test and results should exist, do or do not exist.

In a rush,