7/10/75

Dear Jim,

I don't know whther it is ible for you to do anything about what follows but I want to report it in the you can and so that if we require a record for the future this will be dated while it is in my mind I'll remind you of and ask you to keep the proofs.

To this end, please save envelope. We have only one mail a day, coming in and going out about the same each day, around noon. So, while I write this before supper on the 10th, it not be postmarked until P.M. on the 11th.

The time pressure the jud put on us on such short notice was in my view discriminatory, unnecessary as possible produce to if not denial of my rights.

Let me compare this with indulgence of the other side, of allegations of untruth and what i s direc relevant on the question of time, he excessive indulgence of the delay in th DA affidavit.

First there was the pposion filed so close to the time of the scheduled calendar call of the 7th a d problem this makes when merely getting together requires 100 miles of travel very poor and quite limited public transportation. I had to draft an affidavit hout being able to get together with you and I did, having been put also to all t extra work because I had to do it without a copy of that Opposition, with on hat you had told me of it. With the time pressures there I had to arrange hand ivery by another or you'd not have had it in time.

Then there was the Motion to Dismiss and all that uncollated material not a page of which is even iden ed by source. It also had an affidavit to address. This did require a fair am of time and work. Then we get the extension of time which I thought meant we h hat much time.

I did get drafts of w I believed should have been included in the newest affidavit to you. As soon you had time to read them I went to see you. The first time this was physically sible was Tuesday the 8th. I picked up these new papers the first time that was sible, Thursday afternoon, the 3rd. I worked over the holiday and made a special trip t the Post Office to get this work in the mail to you. You did not rm received it unt Monday and I was there the first day thereafter. You had marked up what you beve should be included. I went over these papers and added other parts for your con eration. Before you could even get to that the judge's clerk phoned you and dir ed that all be filed by today. He phoned yesterday, which meant but one day n you had to come up here and go back and park and get into the court before i losed. From this limited time we had to take time for me to read what you'd brou and then find a notary.

You had no choice t to omit what we both felt should be included. And in this limited time you also h an Opposition to prepare. I'm sure you did not have time to do that alone prope .

Aside from what I nt you I did have other content I believe should have been included. I told you this. I know it was impossible.

Why all of a sud when inevitably it impeded us there had to be this great rush I can't see. I lieve there was no real need and that it could have been anticipated that there h to be the result of limiting and denying me what should be my rights to a fair dication.

In addition the are unaddressed major questions of direct conflcit on the fundamental question and what I would hope is a serious question, of false swearing. The newest Kilty affidavit is in my opo ion new perjury. I have been denied the opportunity of addr ing this. Yet if the judge believes it I am with that denied all my rights after al this cost and trouble.

I would have like to have changed some of the language, as you will remember. It was impossible. es,