## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Deposition of:

,
•

Washington, D. C.

Thursday, May 17, 1979

## PHILIP R. MANUEL,

a witness, called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiffs, pursuant to notice and agreement of the parties as to time and date, beginning at approximately 12:20 o'clock a.m., in the law offices of Rein, Drew, Garfinkle and Dranitzke, Esquires, 1712 N Street, Northwest, Washington, D. C. 20036, before Carol J. Thomas, a Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia, when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

ı	APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL		
2	For the Plaintiffs:		
3	MORTON STAVIS, ESQUIRE NANCY STEARNS, ESQUIRE	NAT	
4	744 Broad Street Newark, New Jersy 07102		
5	CHARLES N. MASON, JR., ESQUIRE		
6	P. O. Box 108 Washington, D. C. 20044		
7			
8	For the Federal Defendants:		
9	LEWIS K. WISE, ESQUIRE MS. MELLIE NELSON, ESQUIRE	í.	
10	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Civil Division		
11	Washington, D. C. 20530		
12	JOSEPH J. URBAN, ESQUIRE JOHN D. HOWARD, ESQUIRE		
13	Division of Office of Chief Counsel INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE		
14	1111 Constitution Avenue, Northwest Washington, D. C. 20224		
15	ALSO PRESENT:		
16	Alan McSurely		
17	Margaret McSurely Nicholas Posner		
18	- 0		
19	<u>I-N-D-E-X</u>		,
20	Witness:	<u>P</u>	age:
21	PHILIP R. MANUEL		
22	Examination by Mr. Stavis	3	3
		×	

## PROCEEDINGS

THEREUPON,

PHILIP R. MANUEL,

a witness, was called for examination by counsel for the Plaintiffs, and after having been duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS BY MR. STAVIS:

- Q. Where do you live, Mr. Manuel?
- A. I live at 6146 Roxbury Avenue, Springfield, Virginia
- Q. By whom are you employed?
- A. I am currently employed by the United States Senate,
  Permanent Subcommittee on Investigations.
  - Q. For how long have you been employed there?
  - A. Since March 1, 1968.
  - Q. By whom were you previously employed?
- A. By the House of Representatives, Committee on

  Internal Security, formerly known as the "House Committee on
  Un-American Activities."
  - Q. How long were you employed there?
  - A. Since January 1, 1964.
  - Q. What kind of work did you do before that?

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030 273-9221 --- 273-9222

1 2

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I was with the Department of Defense. I was in the Armed Forces.
  - Q. In what capacity?
- A. I was with the Counter Intelligence Corps of the U.S. Army.
  - Q. For how long?
  - A. Since December of 1960.
  - Q. What did you do before then?
  - A. I was in law school.
  - Q. Are you a graduate lawyer?
  - A. I am not.
  - Q. What law school did you attend?
  - A. Georgetown.
- Q. Now, can you tell us the kind of work that you did for the Senate Committee?
- A. Yes. I am an investigator on the staff of the Senate Committee, and during the course of my career, I have investigated various types of subjects within the mandate of that Committee, including subjects relating to riots and civil disorders, organized crime, white-collar crime and Government oversight.
  - Q. To whom were you responsible?

20

21

22

- A. At what period of time, sir?
- Q. From the period 1967, '68 and '69?
- A. Well, I didn't join the subcommittee in -- I was not a staff member in '67.
- Q. Excuse me, I stand corrected. Beginning from the time that you joined the Committee, which was March 1, 1968, through 1969.
  - A. Jerome Adlerman.
- $\Omega$ . Did you have any reporting responsibilities to Mr. O'Donnell?
  - A. Well, may I make an explanation?
  - Q. Sure.
- A. The situation on the staff was that Mr. Adlerman was the General Counsel and overall in charge, the person who was overall in charge of the staff. Mr. O'Donnell held the title "Chief Counsel," and it is not a very clear line or distinction. Mr. O'Donnell was involved to some extent in my work, and I guess you could interpret it as a superior, but my superior was really Jerome Adlerman, who was also Mr. O'Donnell's superior, as I understand it.
  - Q. Did you have any people who worked under you?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. What were their names? We are talking about '68 and '69.
- A. Yes. Well, it developed over a period of time. One individual's name was John Drass, another individual's name was Fred Miller, another individual's name was Herman Clay, another individual's name was James Dillon, there was also a Ray Breen. There may have been one or two others. Their names have slipped me right now. They are no longer on the staff, to the best of my memory.
  - Q. These people were subordinate to you?
- A. Well, let's just say that I was in charge of a project and they were assigned to the same project. They were all investigators. I wouldn't call them subordinate to me, but they were a certain facet of this overall investigation which was being developed by me.
  - Q. What was that overall investigation?
- A. It was the investigation involving riots. "Civil and Griminal Disorders," I believe is the name of it.
- Q. Was that the investigation that you started working on in March of 1968?
  - A. Yes.
  - $\Omega$ . As soon as you came on?

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

1	A. Just well, immediately thereafter.	
2	2 Q. Now, before we get into that, did you know	v a John
3	3 Brick?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. What was he?	
6	6 A. What was he?	
7	7 Q. Yes.	*
8	8 A. I think Mr. Brick held the title of "Inves	tigator."
9	9 I knew him mostly as a person who would prepare and	write
10	o reports of hearings and investigations of the Commit	tee.
11	Mr. Brick did not work at all for me in any way.	
12	Q. Did he work on riots, civil disturbances,	civil
13	disorders and disturbances?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Did he work on the same fields that you we	re working
16	6 on?	
17	A. Not when I got there, no, sir.	
18	8 Ω. At any time?	
19	A. Well, as I say, I think that sometime in 1	969, I
20	think Mr. Brick was assigned to prepare reports, wri	te reports
21	of hearings that had taken place during the summer o	f '69.
22	Q. What was your relationship with Senator Mc	Clellan?

Did you report at all to him directly?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you know of any work that Mr. Brick did in connection with the McSurelys?
  - A. No, sir.
  - Q. Never heard about it at all?
- A. I have heard about it, but I have no direct knowledge of it.
  - Q. What did you hear about it?
- A. Well, I had heard, when I came on the staff March 1, 1968, or thereafter, that Mr. Brick had been involved in an effort to obtain documents in Kentucky, and that he had obtained these documents, and that thereafter there had been some litigation or some problem with those documents, and that —

  I don't know at that point whether the documents had been returned, but they were not available to me. So, that is just about the extent of my knowledge.
- Q. Did you have access to the files of the Committee on the organization known as "SNCC"?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you have access to the files of the organization known as "SCEF, Southern Conference Educational Fund?

2

- A. Yes. Well, I would say "Yes." If there were such files, I would have access to them.
- Q. And, to the files of an organization known as "Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party"?
- A. Well, I don't know that there was a file, or a filing system under that, but to the extent that any references would have been made to that particular organization, I would have had access, yes, sir.
- Q. In fact, you created many of those files, did you not, or you added to those files?
- A. I wouldn't say I created them. In the process of reporting the results of investigations, they would go to a file and somebody would incorporate these into the filing system that was already in place when I was there.
- Q. Now, beginning in 1968, when you came onto the staff, you started an investigation of certain black militant groups, did you not?
  - A. I didn't start it, sir.
- Q. Did you start to do work on an investigation of certain black militant groups? Is that correct?
- A. There was an ongoing investigation, if I understand your question correctly.

2

- Q. Who had been handling that investigation before?
- A. An investigator by the name of John Walsh.
- Q. Did you know of any work that Mr. Brick had done with respect to that?
  - A. No, sir.
  - Q. And, that --
- A. Let me just -- other than what I had previously told you about, hearing about his contact with these documents, but, again, I don't know that that is within the context of a militant organization. I just give that to you for the record.
- Q. And you then prepared for hearings which were held beginning in June of 1969 of a number of organizations; is that correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Your work, beginning in March of 1968 and up until

  June of 1969, consisted of an examination of a number of organizations in preparation for hearings with respect to them?
  - A. That is a fair statement.
- Q. Now, I want to make sure that the organizations that you had examined included SNCC; correct?
- A. Are you talking about now examined in the course of investigation, or examined in hearings?

1	Ω.	I am talking about course of investigation.
2	Α.	Okay.
3		MS. NELSON: Counsel, I am going to allow this line
4	of questi	oning to continue because I assume you are going to
5	link it u	p with the McSurelys.
6		MR. STAVIS: Oh, yes.
7		MS. NELSON: If it is not linked up fairly soon, I
8	am going	to object.
9		MR. STAVIS: Documentation.
10		BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
11	Q.	And that included the SDS?
12	Α.	SDS, yes, sir.
13	Q.	Black Panthers?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Ω.	The Nation of Islam?
16	Α.	That is a Muslem organization?
17	Q.	Yes.
18	Α.	Yes.
19	Q.	The SCLS?
20	Α.	No. Not as an investigation, no.
21	Ω.	Relationship between the SCLC and SNCC?
22	Α.	SNCC, yes, as a subject for investigation, to whatever
		*

1	extent there was information, if at all. I don't recall right
2	now. If there would have been any references to the other
3	organizations, it is possible that they would have been incor-
4	porated.
5	Q. I want to show you a document which was marked
6	Exhibit 4 in the Rickey Deposition
7	A. In which deposition?
8	$\Omega.$ Rickey Deposition taken this morning, and ask whether
9	you have ever seen that document?
10	A. (Perusing document.)
11	Q. Did you ever see that document before today?
12	A. May I examine it?
13	Q. Yes, sure.
14	A. (Perusing document.) I have never seen this documen
15	to the best of my knowledge and recollection.
16	Q. Had you ever seen a document which included a list
17	of persons who were on the SNCC payroll?
18	A. I may have. I don't remember specifically. Do you
19	have such a document? I could identify it if I have ever seen
20	it.
21	Q. I want to know whether you have such a document in

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030
273-0221 --- 273-9222

your SNCC files?

22

1	A. Well, when you say "my SNCC files," I don't have any
2	SNCC files.
3	Q. I understand that, but I mean in the Subcommittee's
4	files.
5	A. I don't know. I don't remember.
6	Q. Did you have a list of the names of persons who had
7	been removed from the SNCC payroll?
8	A. I don't remember that, sir. It is possible, but I
9	just don't remember.
10	Q. You certainly would have wished to have that infor-
11	mation in your examination of the organization known as "SNCC"
12	A. Possibly.
13	Q. Aren't you certain that you would want to have a
14.	list of the persons on that staff?
15	MS. NELSON: I am going to object to that as
16	irrelevant.
17	THE WITNESS: I don't know. Without seeing the
18	documents or the relevance what relevance do you attach to
19	that document for the investigation?
20	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
21	Q. I am not about to debate that with you. I just want
22	to know whether you remember if you had such a paper.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

20

21

22

Q.

A.

obtain?

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030 273 022 272 0222

Was put into that file? Any material that he would

Keep in mind, sir, I think for purposes you

should know, there were several files that contained information pertaining to a specific subject. For example -- may I give you an example? It may help.

- Q. I wish you would.
- A. Okay. For example: The Subcommittee had a separate investigation on a certain riot in Nashville, Tennessee. Okay? Now, that was kept as a separate file. That file was started long before I joined the Subcommittee's staff.

It is quite possible that there are many references in that particular set of files that would bear on something that would be the subject of an investigation later on, but not in that other file that would have been created later.

Do I make myself clear?

- Q. Did you ever examine the file with respect to Nashville?
- A. I don't believe I ever did. I had nothing to do with that particular investigation.
- Q. Was there any duplication between the files of the House Internal Security Committee and the Senate Committee?
  - A. Any duplication?
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. If I understand your question correctly, there was

21

22

no duplication. There may have been -- I mean, it is possible that there may have been documents gathered by one staff that were similar to documents gathered by another staff.

- Q. Did the Senate Committee have copies of the documents that the House Internal Security Committee had?
  - A. To my knowledge, they did not.
- Q. You were in communication with the Internal Revenue Service, were you not, in connection with your investigation of various organizations?
- A. I have had communication with certain officials of the Internal Revenue Service, yes, sir, at a certain point in time.
  - Q. When was that?
- A. To the best of my recollection, it would have been sometime in 1969, probably a couple of months before the hearings and perhaps a month or two after some hearings.
- Q. As a matter of fact, might it have started before that in 1968?
- A. It is possible. I'm giving you the best of my memory. I don't have the exact date.
  - Q. Who did you meet with in the Internal Revenue Service?
  - A. Initially?

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Q. And continuously thereafter. Will you give me the names of the people?

A. To the best of my recollection, I will. There may be some that I don't remember. I remember meeting in Atlanta, in the first instance, with an IRS auditor by the name of Roy Orr, who is supervisor, was who I also would have met with at some point. I don't recall his name right now, but I do remember Roy Orr. And, I remember meeting him in Atlanta. I probably had several meetings with him in Atlanta.

Mr. Orr later testified before the Committee in executive session, along with some other IRS officials. Quite honestly, I don't remember their names right now.

I remember meeting, after the June '69 hearings, with some IRS officials. I know I met with Mr. Paul Wright, and I met a Mr. Don Virdin, I know I met a Mr. Leon Green at various times, I know I met a member or two of the staff that they were assembling at the time. Do you want their names?

- Q. Yes, I want everybody's name.
- A. Well, I met a Mr. Ed Hughes, I met a Mr. William Gibney. Those are all the names that I can remember at this point. If you have some document or something --
  - Q. How many meetings did you have with the IRS?

	A. Over what period of time, sir:
2	$\Omega$ . From the time of your first meeting through 1969.
3	A. I really couldn't say.
4	Q. A dozen?
5	A. Perhaps.
6	Q. Twenty?
7	A. I don't think that many.
8	Q. Did the IRS make its files available to you and your
9	investigators?
10	A. You have to make a distinction as to which files you
11	are talking about now. If you are talking about files of the
12	SSS, the answer is "No." If you are talking about
13	Q. What is the "SSS"?
14	A. Special Security Service, or some such thing. It
15	is mentioned in your subpoena to me so, to that extent, I hav
16	never seen any of the files that they have in that, and to my
17	knowledge, the Committee has never seen any of those files.
18	If you are talking about in the period of '68, files
19	that we received from the Atlanta office in line with duly
20	authorized resolutions, enabling the Senate Committee to get
21	tax information, at least at that time, yes, they did turn ove
22	some information to us.

,	j. Did you offer to turn over to the internal kevenue
2	Service files of the Committee with respect to these organi-
3	zations?
4	A. I made no such offer.
5	Ω. At no time?
6	A. At no time.
7	Q. And you are sure that at no time did you, on behalf
8	of the Senate Committee, offer to the IRS Committee access to
9	the Senate Committee's files?
10	A. That is correct, sir. Such an offer it was not i
11	my power to make such an offer, to give them access to the
12	files.
13	Q. I didn't ask you whether you had the power. I asked
14	you whether you made the offer.
15	A. I answered both questions.
16	Q. I understand that.
17	A. To the best of my memory and knowledge at this
18	present moment, I made no such offer.
19	Q. Did you ever say that you planned to discuss this
20	with Senator McClellan?
21	MS. NELSON: Discuss what?
22	MR. STAVIS: Whether or not the Senate Committee

would give access.

THE WITNESS: No. I remember a conversation that I think you are referencing where some Internal Revenue people asked me how they would go about to get Committee files that they would be of interest, and I said they would have to discuss it would Senator McClellan or send a communication to Senator McClellan outlining their request, and from that point on, a determination would be made, but not by me.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. Was such a request ever made?
- A. I have no direct knowledge, but I think it was made.

  I have never seen the communication.
- Q. Do you know whether authority to transfer that information was ever given?
- A. I do not. I assume it was, but I do not have any direct knowledge of it.
- 2. I want to show you a document which appears on Page 315 of "A Documentary Analysis Prepared by the Staff of the Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights of the Committee on Judiciary," dated 1974, and ask you whether that statement by Mr. Virdin is accurate?
  - A. This is the June 5, 1969 letter?

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

1	Q. Tes.
2	A. Okay. (Perusing document.)
3	Q. Is that an accurate memorandum?
4	A. The information I disagree with the characteriza-
5	tion in the memorandum.
6	Q. Mr. Virdin's memorandum?
7	A. Yes, sir. I never offered to make files available
8	directly to the Internal Revenue Service, but I did advise the
9	as to how to go about doing it if they so desired. I told
10	them of the procedure that must be followed if they were going
11	to get documents.
12	Q. In any event, you would disagree with the statement
13	of facts made by Mr. Virdin in this memorandum of June 5, 1969
14	A. To the extent I have just responded, yes, sir.
15	O. Now, looking at Page 126 of that document, I would
16	ask you to look at the third paragraph from the bottom of the
17	page, and ask you whether that is an accurate statement?
18	A. I'm sorry, third paragraph from what?
19	Q. From the bottom.
20	A. Can I see the whole thing here?
21	Q. Certainly. I'm just asking you about a statement in
22	a particular paragraph.

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.

Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

273-9221 --- 273-9222

	1
2	
3	11
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	11
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

- A. (Perusing document.)
- Q. Is that an accurate statement, Mr. Manuel?
- A. I don't believe it is.

MR. WISE: Which paragraph, again?

THE WITNESS: Third from the bottom.

MR. WISE: Is that the paragraph that begins with,

"Mr. Manuel on behalf of. . . "?

MR. STAVIS: Yes.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. Is that an accurate statement, Mr. Manuel?
- A. No, I take issue with that statement. My recollection is, again, that at a certain point, perhaps at this discussion, perhaps at some others, I was asked about files that the Subcommittee may have that would be of interest to this particular group, and they asked me whether these documents would be available. I said, "The only way that these documents could be made available is if the IRS would formally write a request to Chairman of the Committee, and a determination would be made at that point." If that is offering cooperation, then so be it.
- Q. I am asking you whether the statement made by Mr. Virdin in that memorandum is accurate?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of 1969.

And the other material referred to here is also material which had already been made public in the public domain which was part of those hearings which, as this paragraph says, was not published at that point, but they were public.

- $\Omega$ . Do you know what that particular organization was which you provided charts?
  - A. Well, as a matter of fact, we did charts on -- we

ı	had charts on the Black Panthers, on the Revolutionary Action
2	Movement, one of SNCC, one on SDS, as I recall.
3	Q. Were those the charts that you furnished at that
4	meeting which is described by Mr. Virdin on July 29, 1969?
5	A. They would have been the only charts I could have
6	furnished, so my answer to that is "Yes."
7	MS. NELSON: May we take a break just a moment?
8	MR. STAVIS: Sure.
9	(Thereupon, a short recess was taken
10	and then the deposition continued
11	as follows:)
12	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
13	Q. Now, I think I asked you whether or not the IRS had
14	ever made a formal request for access to files; is that correct?
15	A. You asked me that, yes, sir.
16	Q. And I think you said you thought
17	A. My assumption is they did. I have never seen any
18	communication.
19	Ω. Well, let me show you this document dated August 1,
20	1969, on Page 127 of that report of the Staff of the Subcommitte
21	on Constitutional Rights, and tell me whether you recall seeing
22	that document?

1	A. This is the one dated August 1, 1969?
2	Q. Yes.
3	A. (Perusing document.) I do not recall seeing this
4	document.
5	Q. Had you heard about it?
6	A. I would have heard about it possibly, yes.
7	Q. Do you recall whether any action was taken on that
8	document?
9	A. I do not. I didn't take any action on it.
10	Q. I know it wasn't requested of you to take action.
11	Do you recall whether or not any action was taken?
12	A. I don't recall any specific action being taken. I
13	assume some action was taken.
14	Q. And you were in continuous contact with the IRS
15	people during this period?
16	A. I wouldn't say that I was in continuous contact.
17	Q. But, you had more than a dozen meetings.
18	A. Wait a minute. Hold it. Again, that is what I
19	want to get the time frame here is important. If you say
20	I had more than a dozen meetings with SSS, that is not so.
21	Your question was: How much contact did I have with
22	IRS from '68 on.

Yes, well, that is two different subject matters. How many meetings did you have between '68 and '69? Like I say, to the best of my memory, about a dozen. It could have been a few more, it could have been a few less. It is a ball park figure, and it is to the best of my memory. You never saw that letter that I just showed you; To the best of my memory, I have not seen that letter. Nor, do you know what action was taken with respect Direct knowledge, no. I mean, I don't know what deliberations, who made the deliberations, or what decisions were made. I was not part of the process, once the letter was Do you know whether or not members of the IRS, in fact, had access to the Subcommittee files? I think IRS members did have access to the Subcommittee files. I think -- I saw them in the file room, which is far removed from my office but, nevertheless, by coincidence, I

- A. Yes, examining papers. I assume they were Subcommittee files. They may have been public hearings. They may have been Subcommittee files. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know of what organizations they made an examination?
  - A. I do not.
- $\Omega$ . Do you know staff people from the IRS were in the offices of the Senate Subcommittee?
- A. I do not know them all. I recall that, as I say,
  I saw them by coincidence in the file room, and I can recall
  that on one occasion Mr. Hughes was there, and I believe, I'm
  not positive, but perhaps Mr. Gibney was there. There may
  have been others, the identity of whom I don't recall right
  now.
  - Q. Do you recall roughly when you saw them?
- A. My best memory would be sometime in the fall of '69. That is my best memory.
- Q. Did that file room include files of the organizations that you had been working on, such as SNCC and SDS and the other organizations that you mentioned?
  - A. The file room, yes, sir.
  - Q. Did I ask whether you worked on the Southern

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	li
13	

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

Conference Educational Fund?

- A. No. There has never been an investigation of the Southern Conference Educational Fund, at least as far as my particular activities are concerned.
- Q. Now, I showed you that memorandum, or I think I showed you that memorandum. I want you to look at this memorandum which is Item 1 in the O'Donnell Deposition.

Do you recall that meeting?

- A. (Perusing document.) Yes, sir, I remember a meeting similar to this.
- Q. Do you remember what role Mr. O'Donnell played in that meeting?
- A. Well, he, as Chief Counsel, would have been the spokesman for the Committee.
  - Q. Was he the spokesman at that meeting?
  - A. I believe so.
  - Q. Was there anything --
  - A. I contributed, but, I mean in deference to him.
  - Q. He was in charge of the --
  - A. He was my boss at the time, in the pecking order.
  - Q. Was any memorandum prepared at that meeting?
  - A. It was not by me, to the best of my knowledge.

21

22

- Q. Was any memorandum prepared by him?
- A. I don't know. To the best of memory right now, I have never seen such a memorandum from him.
  - Q. Now, I want you to look at your green book again.
  - A. Which one? This one (indicating)?
- Q. Yes. That is a Documentary Analysis prepared by the staff of the Subcommittee on Constitutional Rights. And, would you look at the memorandum dated August 14, 1969 on Page 128, and would you look at Paragraph 2?
  - A. (Perusing document.)
- Q. Does that refresh your recollection as to whether you firmed out what action Senator McClellan had taken on the request?
- A. I do not at this moment remember such a telephone call.
  - Q. You wouldn't deny it, would you?
- A. I'm not going to deny it, but I don't remember making such a telephone call at this point.
- Q. That was a memorandum dated August 14, 1969. Does that help you refresh your recollection as to when you saw the IRS people inspecting the Senate Committee files?
  - A. Mr. Stavis, it would have been after that, I'm

Caro J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

MR. STAVIS: Fine.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

	Į .	bo you remember preparing any material:
2	Α.	I don't remember specifically preparing material for
3	the confe	erence. I may have had some material with me.
4	Q.	Do you remember any other field trips with
5	Mr. O'Dor	nell?
6	Α.	Where he and I would have been present together?
7	Ω.	Yes.
8	Α.	No, sir, I do not.
9	Q.	Do you remember a field trip that you made to Memphis
10	Tennessee	in April of 1968?
11	A.	Yes, sir.
12	Q.	What organization were you investigating then?
13	Α.	The Invaders, which was alleged to be an offshoot of
14	Student N	on-violent Coordinating Committee, and was alleged to
15	have part	icipated in some riotous action a couple of weeks
16	before.	
17	Ω.	Where had you come from?
18	Α.	Where had I come from?
19	Ω.	On your way to Memphis.
20	Α.	I don't remember where I came from on my way to
21	Memphis.	It could have been Washington, it could have been
22	Atlanta,	it could have been another place. I don't recall.

1	Q. Did you communicate any alleged information with
2	respect to a plan to assassinate a black police officer?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. To whom did you communicate that information?
5	A. To an official of the Memphis Police Department. I
6 .	don't recall his name right now, but it may have been a
7	Lt. Arkin, but it may have been his superior whose name I
8	don't remember right at this point.
9	Q. From whom had you received that information?
10	A. From a confidential source.
11	Q. When did you receive that information?
12	MS. NELSON: I am going to object as irrelevant.
13	MR. STAVIS: This has a great deal of bearing.
14	THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
15	MS. NELSON: Would you explain the relevance to this
16	case?
17	MR. STAVIS: Not at this particular point, but I
18	can assure you that it will be precisely relevant, but I am
19	interrogating the witness, and I don't choose to educate him.
20	In any event, relevance is a basis for an objection but not
21	for refusing to answer the question.
22	MS. NELSON: I understand that, Mr. Stavis, but I am
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

trying to -- because we are going so far afield -
MR. STAVIS: We are not going afield at all.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. Go ahead.
- A. You asked me where I got that information. I got the information directly from my office in Washington from a message that was left for me. I did not get the information directly from a confidential source.
  - Q. And, I asked you when you received the information?
- A. To the best of my memory, it would have been April 4, 1968.
  - Q. At what hour?
- A. I don't know. It would have been in mid-afternoon sometime. I was preparing to leave Memphis. I had a flight out, I can recall, about 5:00 o'clock, and it was an hour or so before that. I had just called my office on a routine call and got a message.
  - Q. How did you communicate the information?
  - A. Which information?
- $\Omega$ . You communicated the information to an officer of the Memphis Police Force.
  - A. Right, orally.

1	Q. You saw him, or by telephone?
2	A. No. I remember that I made the best of my memor
3	is I made the call back to my office in Washington from the
4	headquarters of the Memphis Police Department, and I was in
5	this Arkin's company at that time, but there were other polic
6	officials there as well, in that situation.
7	Q. Did the alleged assassination attempt emanate from
8	somebody who was in the organization known as "SNCC"?
9	A. Did which assassination attempt, sir?
10	Q. As to which you were transmitting the information?
11	A. I don't know. I don't think so.
12	Q. With what organization was the alleged assassin
13	affiliated?
14	A. Well, I think he had some affiliation with SNCC.
15	I also think he had some affiliation with a group in
16	Mississippi.
17	Q. What was the name of that group?
18	A. It may have been the Mississippi Freedom Democratic
19	Party. The exact extent of his affiliation, I do not know.
20	Q. Do you know the name of that individual?
21	A. Yes, I do.
22	Q. What was his name?

1	A. Do I have to tell him the name of a confidential
2	source of information and put him
3	Q. I am not asking you now for the confidential source
4	of information.
5	A. Oh, you are asking
6	Q. The name of the alleged assassin.
7	A. I don't believe there was mentioned an alleged
8	assassin, no, sir.
9	Q. But, there was a confidential source?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And the confidential source had affiliation with
12	SNCC and the Mississippi Freedom Democratic Party; is that
13	correct?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. And you received this information sometime in the
16	course of the afternoon of April 4th?
17	A. From my office in Washington.
18	Q. You transmitted that information?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Do you know who in your office in Washington gave
21	you that information?
22	A. What I remember was that when I called everyone had

left except a couple of people. My best memory is that I got the message from Mr. Adlerman, but it may have been a secretary. My secretary's name at the time, the only other person who could have given it to me, would have been Barbara Ferrara.

- Q. Do you know what was the result of the transmission of that information to Mr. Arkin?
  - A. I do not.
- Q. Was the person who was threatened with assassination Mr. Reddick?
- A. As it turned out, it was not. Mr. Reddick was not mentioned by name. What was mentioned was that there was going to be an attempt to kill a black lieutenant, a black police officer. As it turned out -- no other names were mentioned. That was it.

It was my assumption that this Mr. Reddick had been threatened previously; that it may have been him, and I passed on the information for what it was worth. As it turned out, the information pertained to a black lieutenant in the Knoxville, Tennessee Police Department, I believe, or Nashville; one or the other.

- Q. But, not Memphis?
- A. But, not Memphis.

	1	Q. But, you communicated it as being Memphis?
	2	A. Possibly.
	3	MS. NELSON: Again, I am going to object to this
	4	entire line of questioning. If you are going to link it up,
	5	you had better do so soon, or I am going to instruct him not
	6	to answer.
	7.	MR. STAVIS: We will do so quite soon.
	8	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
	9	Ω. Do you know whether the McSurelys were associated
	10	with the organization known as "SNCC"?
	. 11	A. No, sir. I had no knowledge at that time that they
	·12	were associated with SNCC.
	13	Q. Do you know whether Margaret McSurely was affiliated
	14	with an organization known as "The Mississippi Freedom
	15	Democratic Party"?
1	16	A. No, sir.
	17	Q. Did you ever find out?
The second second	18	A. No, not specifically.
-	19	(Thereupon, the deposition was adjourned at
	20	approximately 2:30 o'clock p.m., and was
	21	resumed at approximately 4:30 o'clock p.m.
	22	as follows:)

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030

## BY MR. STAVIS: (resumed)

- Q. Mr. Manuel, did you work with John Brick in connection with any of your work for the McClellan Committee?
- A. Only to the extent of preparing reports after the June 1969 hearing, and in conjunction with preparing for that hearing. He did some writing of staff statements.
  - Q. You are talking about the hearings of June 1969?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- $\Omega$ . And those hearings related to the organization known as "SNCC"?
- A. Well, whatever were the subject of the public hearings is a matter of record: SNCC, SDS, Black Panthers, Revolutionary Action Movement, whatever they were according to the record.

For the sake of completeness, also let me say that in subsequent years I worked with Mr. Brick in the same fashion in line with certain investigations I conducted relating to organized crime in the field of stolen securities.

- Q. I am limiting my inquiry to work that you did with him in 1969 with respect to the organizations about which you testified in June of that year.
- A. Yes, sir, He had no part in the investigations themselves. The only part he had would be in preparing an

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030
273-9221 --- 273-9222

1	editorial, in writing fashion, some of the statements, and
2	after hearing, it was his task to write a report.
3	Q. Did he testify together with you?
4	A. I don't recall that. He may have, if you have it
5	there in public session. I don't recall that he did.
6	Q. You don't recall that he testified?
7	A. No. He may have, but I don't recall the sepcific
8	instance.
9	Q. In the course of his work with you, did he at any
10	time advise you of the materials that he had from the McSurelys
11	A. No, sir.
12	Q. Did you ever work with G. Gordon Liddy?
13	A. Did I ever work with G. Gordon Liddy?
14	MS. NELSON: I object to the relevance.
15	THE WITNESS: I had contact with G. Gordon Liddy, but
16	I never worked with him.
17	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
18	Q. What was the nature of your contact?
19	MS. NELSON: Objection. It is irrelevant.
20	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
21	$\Omega$ . Now, answer the question, please.
22	A. Am I getting in violation of Senate Rule 30 here, by

answering these questions that, to my way of thinking, have no obvious relation to Mr. or Mrs. McSurely?

Q. I don't think you are getting into violations -MR. WISE: We will advise him on that, Mr. Stavis.

(Thereupon, an off-the-record discussion
occurred, and then the deposition
continued as follows:)

MR. STAVIS: Would you read the last question?

THE REPORTER: Yes, sir.

(The requested portion was read back.)

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. Will you answer the question, please?
- A. The nature of my contacts with Mr. Liddy had nothing whatever to do with any matter, as far as I know, relating to Mr. and Mrs. McSurely or any documents concerning them.

Mr. Liddy was the Treasury Department official to whom I was directed. He was acting for the Treasury Department, and for the Treasury Department. He was in charge of a project of assembling certain statistics having to do with numerical incidents of bombings, arsons and attacks on law enforcement agencies in the United States for a certain period of time.

That was my only contact with Mr. Liddy.

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030 273-9221 --- 273-9222

1	
	Q. When did that contact occur?
	Q. In the to the best of my memory, around the
	summer of 1970.
	Q. Now, when you worked for the House Internal Security
	Committee, were you not then in touch with the IRS?
	MS. NELSON: Objection. Irrelevant.
	THE WITNESS: I may have had contact with the IRS;
	nothing to do whatever with Mr. and Mrs. McSurely or any
	documents pertaining to Mr. and Mrs. McSurely.
	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
	Q. Mr. Manuel
	A. Yes, sir.
	Q will you leave that question to me, Judge Bryant,
	and a lot of other people? I am not going to ask you for your
	judgment as to what it was related to do. I am going to try
	to stay away from those questions. I am simply going to ask
	you objective questions.
	Now, the question was: When you worked for the
	House Internal Security Commmittee were you then in touch
	with the IRS?
	A. I may have had conversations with IRS officials

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030 273-9221 --- 273-9222

when I was on the House Committee. I don't remember any

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

(No response.)

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

MR. WISE: You have to say "Yes" or "No."

THE WITNESS: Well, I would say there were some difference, to the best of my memory.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

Q. How would you describe the differences?

MS. NELSON: We will interject as irrelevant. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: As best I can recall, there were different numerical systems for filing and indexing. Understand in both places I really had nothing to do with the filing system directly.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. But, you were acquainted with the files?
- A. Pardon me?
- Q. You were acquainted with the files?
- A. Well, to the extent that I knew that documents that I filed, reports that I made up, investigative memoranda that I made up went into a filing system. I do know that.

The Senate Committee used, to the best of my memory, a different numerical filing system than that used by the House Committeee. The House Committee had separate files for investigative-type of reports, while the Senate Committee system tended to not make a difference between investigative

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

files and public files.

In other words, everything related to a subject went into the Senate Committeee files under a certain number that was pre-determined for a certain case that would have been opened under Senate Committee Rules. In the House Committee, two sets of files were made.

I don't know if I am answering your question, but that is your answer.

- Q. You are doing fine.
- A. Okay. In the House Committee, there were two separate files: investigative files which were non public and public source material which was filed in another place. In the Senate Committee, there was no such differentiation.
- Q. With respect to Senate Committee files, would the files show an indication of the source of the material?
  - A. Sometimes.
  - Q. Sometimes not?
  - A. Sometimes not.
- Q. Now, in the course of your work at the House Committee --
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. -- had you investigated any of the organizations

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030 273-9221 --- 273-9222

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

which you subsequently investigated on behalf of the Senate Committee and which became part of the hearings in June, 1969? 2 MS. NELSON: I am going to object as irrelevant. You 3 4 may answer if you can. I would say, sir, in response to that THE WITNESS: 5 that while I was assigned to the House Committee, there were 6 investigations that probably would have brought me in touch 7 8 with officials of certain organizations which later became subjects of investigation on the Senate side, which I worked 9 10 on also. But, as far as investigating organizations, as such, 11 on the House Committee, that was not the case, at least to 12 what I was assinged. I was assigned to specific, let's say, 13 14 riots or cities, or that sort of thing. BY MR. STAVIS: (continued) 15 On the House Committee side, did you investigate 16 Martin Luther King or his organization? 17 Specifically, no. A. 18 MS. NELSON: Objection. Irrelevant. 19 BY MR. STAVIS: (continued) 20 What do you mean by "specifically, no"? Q. 21 Well, there wasn't a subject of investigation.

> Corol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030 273-9221 --- 273-9222

A.

21

22

1

may have been occasions where information would have come to me, which I would have duly reported, but as far as -- if the implication is that Martin Luther King or SDLC was a specific subject of authorized investigation in which I was assigned, I don't think that's the case.

- Q. But, in fact, you may have received information with respect to them and put it in your files?
  - A. As I would have been required to do.
- Q. Who assisted you in the preparation of the charts that you prepared in June of 1969?
  - A. Who assisted me? A number of people.
  - Q. Would you give me the names, please?
  - A. Sure, give me a moment to --

MS. NELSON: I object as irrelevant. You may answer if you can.

THE WITNESS: To the best of my memory, I was assisted first and foremost by Mr. Adlerman, who was the Chief Counsel and under whose direction I was working; I was assisted by John Drass, who was also assigned to this particular investigation; by Fred Miller, who was also assigned to this particular investigation; by a person by the name of Les Villadue, who was the guy who physically made up the charts;

by a person by the name of Jim Dillon, who was also assigned 1 to this investigation; a person by the name of Robert Beetsley, 2 who was also assigned to a portion of it. I don't recall the 3 name right now of anybody else who would have specifically 4 worked on those charts while they were in make up. 5 BY MR. STAVIS: (continued) 6 What about Mr. Brick? Q. I don't think Mr. Brick was involved in that process. 8 A. Now, can you tell me, when you were in the Army, 9 Q. where you were stationed? 10 A. Yes, sir. 1.1 Where? 12 Q. I was headquarters in the Pentagon in Washington, Α. 13 D. C. 14 What was your rank? . Q. 15 I was a special agent. Α. 16 Does special agent have a rank? Q. 17 Special agent of Counter Intelligence Corps. I was A. 18 E-5 19 That is not a military rank? Q. 20 Yes, it is. A. 21 Sergeant E-5. Q. 22

1		MR. STAVIS: Off the record.
2		(Thereupon, an off-the-record discussion
3	-	occurred, and then the deposition
4		continued as follows:)
5		BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
6	Q.	Now, a couple of questions with respect to that
7	Memphis m	atter. Did you make that plane, incidentally?
8	Α.	Yes, sir.
9		MS. NELSON: What was that?
10		BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
11	Q.	Did you make that plane?
12	Α.	Yes, sir.
13	Q.	Leaving when?
14	Α.	(No response.)
15	Ω.	About 6:00 o'clock, was it?
16	Α.	It was a little before 6:00. My memory was maybe
17	5:00 oc'1	ock. Between 5:00 and 6:00 is the best of my memory
18	Q.	Did you ever prepare a memorandum about your trip
19	toMemphis	?
20	Α.	Specifically about my trip to Memphis?
21	Q.	And the telephone call you received and the infor-
22	mation th	nat you imparted?

1	A. I don't remember ever making a memorandum about that
2	specific telephone call or the information. I believe that I
3	made references to the file on documentation that I received
4	in Memphis during my trip and facts about that documentation.
5	Q. You filed a report?
6	A. I filed a report about what I considered pertinent
7	at the time about my trip to Memphis, I believe.
. 8	Q. Would that report be in the files of the Senate
9	Committee?
10	A. It should be, along with the documentation I received
11	from the Memphis Police Department which, incidentally, has
12	been turned over to the House Assassinations Committee, so if
13	it is not in the file of the Subcommittee, it would be in the
14	House Assassinations Committee files.
15	Q. Did the investigation of the Invaders continue
16	after the assassination of Dr. King?
17	A. I think so, yes.
18	Q. Did you conduct it?
19	A. I would have been involved in it. There may have
20	been involved in it, but I would have been involved, also.
21	Q. For how long did you continue that investigation?
22	A. A matter of months.

	1		$\Omega$ .	were there any healthigs with respect to that:
	2	i 	A.	There were no hearings with respect to it.
	3		Q.	Did you ever meet Detective Reddick?
	4		A.	Yes, sir.
	5		Q.	When?
	6			MS. NELSON: Just note my continuing objection to
	7	this	line	of questioning.
	8			MR. STAVIS: I understand that.
	9			BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
	10		Q.	When did you meet him?
	11		Α.	It would have been in that same time period, before
-	12	Apri	l	I was in Memphis about two days April 2nd, 3rd or
\ ,	13	4th.	In	that time period, I would have met him.
\	14		Ω.	You met him then before this occasion at 3:00 or
	15	4:00	o'cl	ock in the afternoon when you gave this information?
	16		Α.	Oh, yes, sir.
	17		Ω.	He wasn't
	18		Α.	Briefly, but I had not extensive contact with him.
	19	I ju	st me	t him in passing, very briefly.
	20		Q.	And, you talked to him?
	21		A.	Yes, sir, briefly.
	22		Q.	At that time, you didn't have this telephonic
· ·				

## information?

,

A. That's quite correct.

Q. Do you remember what you talked to him about?

MS. NELSON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: No, really, I don't. I do know what his assignment was. He was an intelligence officer assigned to surveil Dr. King and the continuing situation in the Memphis area.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. Survail or guard him?
- A. Not guard him, surveillance. Specifically, not guard him.
  - Q. Who told you that?
- A. Among things, he did; and among other things, the reports that I got out of the Memphis Police Department made it absolutely plain that, as he was reporting his own surveillance activity, I had access to those reports. As a matter of fact, I picked up the reports from the Memphis Police Department current as of April 4, 1968 when I left.

The last report that Detective Reddick filed was a report stating that he had been threatened; that his undercover capacity with the Memphis Police Department had been known by

some people, and he had been threatened at the airport.

Now, knowing that and receiving the information from my Washington office, as I indicated to you later, is the reason that I passed on the information to the Memphis Police

Department, thinking that perhaps it was Reddick who was the subject of some, and it was undefined at that time, plot to kill him, because he had already been threatened, and he was a black police officer in Tennessee, which was the information.

As I say, later that information turned out to be directed at some other police department in Memphis -- I mean, in Tennessee -- rather than Memphis, and I so advised the Memphis people, I think, the day after.

- Q. Are you prepared to disclose the source of your information as to this alleged assassination?
  - A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you prepared to disclose who it was who is supposed to have procurred the assassin?
  - A. I don't really know that.
- Q. Are you prepared to disclose the organization with which the procurer of the assassin is supposedly connected?

MS. NELSON: Note my continuing objection to this line of questioning.

THE WITNESS: No, sir.

1	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
2	Q. Will you look at this memorandum dated April 4, 196
3	appearing in the House Committee hearings on the assassinatio
4	on Page 268?
5	A. (Perusing document.)
6	Q. Is that memorandum accurate?
7	A. Is it accurate?
8	Q. Yes.
9	MS. NELSON: I think the memorandum speaks for
10	itself.
11	MR. STAVIS: I understand the memorandum speaks for
12	itself. That is not the question. The questions is whether
13	it is accurate, to his knowledge.
14	THE WITNESS: It is basically accurate, to the best
15	of my memory.
16	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
17	Q. Any respect in which it is inaccurate?
18	MS. NELSON: I am going to object and instruct the
19	witness not to answer. This has gone, I think, much too far
20	afield. I was giving you some leeway, but to
21	MR. STAVIS: I am so grateful for the leeway that
22	you have given me, but just a little bit more, Mellie, and

	we won t have any confiltee.
2	MS. NELSON: I instructed the witness not to answer.
3	MR. STAVIS: The witness refuses to answer with
4	respects, if any, in which that is inaccurate?
5	THE WITNESS: (No response.)
6	MR. STAVIS: Does the witness want to be in that
7	position?
8	MS. NELSON: The witness has not been authorized by
9	the Senate to testify on this matter, and I instruct him not
10	to answer.
11	THE WITNESS: I don't want to be in violation of
12	Senate Rule 30, Mr. Stavis.
13	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
14	Q. You consider it to be in violation of Senate Rule
15	30 to answer the question as to respects, if any, in which that
16	memorandum is inaccurate?
17	A. To the extent that I consider this whole line of
18	questioning totally irrelevant, as to any knowledge that I may
19	have of Mr. and Mrs. McSurely, or any documents connected to
20	Mr. and Mrs. McSurely, I think that it is irrelevant.
21	Q. Leaving that question aside.
22	A. Yes, sir.

1	Q. I am asking you again whether you do not wish to
2	answer the question as to the respects, if any
3	A. I have been instructed by counsel not to answer, and
4	I don't want to place myself in a position of being in violation
5	of Senate Rule 30.
6	Q. I want to identify the document which we are referring
7	to as being a document which is called "MLK Exhibit F-189,"
8	which appears at Page 268 of Volume IV of the hearings before
9	the Select Committee on Assassinations of the House of
10	Representatives investigation of Martin Luther King, Jr.
11	Did you ever investigate the Poor People's Campaign?
12	MS. NELSON: Objection.
13	THE WITNESS: On the advice of my counsel I refuse
14	to answer.
15	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
16	Q. She hasn't advised you not to answer. She just made
17	an objection.
18	A. Excuse me.
19	Q. Did you?
20	A. Do you want me to answer these questions? To my way
21	of thinking, they have nothing to do with the subject that I
22	am authorized to discuss.

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030

back?

1

MS. NELSON: Can we go outside for a second? I need to talk to my client.

(Thereupon, a short recess was taken, and then the deposition continued as follows:)

MR. STAVIS: Okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. STAVIS: Can we have the pending question read

THE REPORTER: Yes, sir.

(The requested portion was read back.)

THE WITNESS: There was an investigation on the Subcommittee, Mr. Stavis, concerning certain facts about the Poor People's Campaign. I was not in charge of that investigation. I am aware of it, and I probably worked on the periphery of it. I was not directly, totally involved in it.

It did result in some public hearings a little bit later.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. Who was in charge of that investigation?
- A. I think Mr. Adlerman, perhaps Mr. O'Donnell was involved in it, some people that I was -- that were working with me at the time on other matters were also involved in it,

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030

19

20

21

22

like myself, just on the periphery of it, and that would have been Mr. Jack Drass, whom I remember having more involvement than anyone in that particular investigation.

- Q. Do you remember when that investigation was being conducted?
- A. I would say it was -- well, after the riots of
  April 1968 in Washington. That is the best of memory. There
  may have been some initiations of questions concerning it
  before then, but I wasn't directly involved in that. To the
  best of my memory --
- $\Omega$ . Any investigation of that before the assassination of Martin Luther King?
- A. There may have been, but I don't recall my being involved in anything that would have been ongoing at that time. There may have been inquiries or something of that nature.
  - Q. Do you know a Mr. Whitaker?
  - A. Whitaker?
  - Q. Yes, Bud Whitaker.
  - A. Bud Whitaker, yes.
  - Q. Who is he?
  - A. The Bud Whitaker that I know, I don't know if it is

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court.
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

1	the same gentleman you are referring to, is or was the
2	administrative assistant to Senator McClellan and served Senator
3	McClellan a long time. Is that the same one you are talking
4	about?
5	Q. Was he responsibile in part for your coming over to
6	the McClellan Committee?
7	A. He intereviewed me prior to my coming on. I don't
8	know what responsibility he had, but I did talk to him.
9	Q. Had you ever worked with him before?
10	A. No, sir.
11	Q. I guess you do know Mr. Hughes, who is on the
12	Special Services Committee, do you not?
13	A. Ed Hughes?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. From Atlanta?
16	Ω. Yes.
17	A. Yes, sir, I do know him.

A. Yes, sir.

the Special Services Committee?

18

19

20

22

- Q. What work had you done with him in Atlanta?
- A. Very little. Just a matter of liaison with respect

Had you ever known him in Atlanta before he came on

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.
Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

Well --

A.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	Q. Just so that the record is clear.
2	MS. NELSON: I think he has testified once to this,
3	and I think this is really far afield on the subject of this
4	deposition.
5	MR. STAVIS: I just think it would help, so we don'
6	have confusion as to what the witness means by these things.
7	MS. NELSON: I think his job with the Armed Forces
8	speaks for itself.
9	MR. STAVIS: Well, it doesn't. That is the trouble
10	THE WITNESS: It doesn't have anything to do with
11	this lawsuit.
12	MR. STAVIS: Maybe it does, and I just want a
13	definition of terms.
14	MS. NELSON: I think his employment in the early
15	'60's, some years prior to your clients becoming involved in
16	the subject matter of this lawsuit, has nothing to do with
17	that.
18	MR. STAVIS: I understand your position. Now, will
19	you let him answer the question?
20	THE WITNESS: I can further assure you that my
21	employment with the Army had nothing to do with gathering
22	information on domestic groups of any kind.

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. I just want your definition of the difference between intelligence and counter-intelligence.
- A. To my way of thinking, intelligence is that process that gathers, collates, synthesizes, evaluates and reports information on any given subject to people who have to make a decision about that subject.

Counter-intelligence, on the other hand, is that form of intelligence which is structured to make sure that your intelligence gathering operation is not infiltrated by enemy agents or by subversives or people who you don't want in that organizational structure. That is the basic difference.

MR. STAVIS: Off the record.

(Thereupon, an off-the-record discussion occurred, and then the deposition continued as follows:)

BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

- Q. Just two or three more questions. You have mentioned a Mr. Drass.
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. I understand he passed away.
  - A. Just recently. I went to his funeral yesterday.

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R.

Stenotype Reporting Services
3162 Musket Court
Fairfax, Virginia 22030

1	Q. Now, Mr. Drass had worked on the Poor People's
2	Campaign?
3	A. Yes, I think he did.
4	Q. As I understand it, before Mr. Drass came to the
5	Senate Subcommittee he was on the D. C. Police Force?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. Do you know what assignments he had with the D. C.
8	Police Force?
9	MS. NELSON: Objection.
10	THE WITNESS: All I know, Mr. Stavis, is he was a
11	detective. I don't know what specific assignemnts he had. I
12	don't recall any specific assignments that he may have had.
13	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)
14	Q. Did he have any particular intelligence responsi-
15	bilities, or counter-intelligence responsibilities?
16	A. That that I am aware of. I never knew Mr. Drass
17	before we met on the Senate Subcommittee.
18	Q. Now, did the Senate Subcommittee, as far as you
19	know, or any of its employees, at any time engage in electronic
20	surveillance?
21	A. No, sir, not to my knowledge.
15	

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3162 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030 273-9221 --- 273-9222

Are you competent in that field, electronic

1	surveillance?
2	A. I'm not a trained wireman or electronic man at all.
3	Q. Are you untrained?
. 4	A. What do you mean an I "untrained"?
5	Q. Do you know how to do it?
6	A. I don't think I know how to do it. I've never done
7	it.
8	Q. Do you know whether Mr. Drass did?
9	A. I don't know whether he did or not. My sense is that
10	he did not.
11	Q. You appeared here in response to a subpoena?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. And, you were requested to produce certain documents
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. Did you produce any of the documents?
16	A. Mr. Stavis, it is impossible for me to produce any
17	of the documents
18	MS. NELSON: The answer is "Yes" or "No."
19	THE WITNESS: Did I produce any, or will I? I don't
20	have any documents here because it is impossible for me to
21	comply with it.
22	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)

1	Q. Why is it impossible?		
2	A. It is impossible for the following reasons: Number		
3	one		
4	MS. NELSON: Just wait a minute. Do you, personally		
5	have any documents?		
6	THE WITNESS: I have no documents. I am not the		
7	custodian of records and, further, if you don't mind, Mellie,		
8	just so this record is absolutely clear, I have never had thes		
9	records in my possession, custody or control, I have never		
10	read them, they have never been made available to me, and I		
11	have never discussed them with anybody from the IRS, to the		
12	best of my knowledge or memory.		
13	MR. STAVIS: No further questions.		
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.		
15	MR. STAVIS: Thank you.		
16	MS. NELSON: No questions, and we do not waive		
17	signature.		
18	BY MR. STAVIS: (continued)		
19	Q. You referred to a Mr. John Walsh who had done some		
20	investigation before you arrived at the Senate Subcommittee.		
21	A. Yes, sir.		
22	Q. Did he continue to work with you afterwards?		
į			

1	Α.	No, sir.
2	Q.	He got off those investigations?
3	Α.	Yes, sir.
4	Q.	Did he remain with the Senate Subcommittee?
5	Α.	Yes, sir.
6		MR. STAVIS: That is all I have.
7		MS. NELSON: No questions, and we do not waive
8	signature	
9		(Deposition was concluded at approximately
10		5:00 o'clock, p.m.)
11		* * * * *
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	a.	
17		
18	-	
19		
20		
21		
22		
1		

## CERTIFICATE OF THE DEPONENT 1 I have read the foregoing pages 3 through 65, 2 inclusive, and find the answers to the questions therein 3 contained to be true and correct, with the exception of changes, if any. 5 6 7 8 PHILIP R. MANUEL DATED: 10 11 12 13 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME this 14 day of 15 , 19 . 16 17 18 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE 19 20 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 21 22 Carol J. Thomas, R.P.R. Stenotype Reporting Services 3102 Musket Court Fairfax, Virginia 22030

273-9221 --- 273-9222

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

## CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, CAROL J. THOMAS, a Notary Public in and for the District of Columbia, before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me in Shorthand at the time and place mentioned in the caption hereof and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my supervision; that said deposition is a true record of the testimony given by said witness; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome

CAROL J. THOMAS Notary Public in and for THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

My commission expires: September 1, 1981

of this action.