

~~First Draft~~

C O M P L A I N T
(Pursuant to Public Law 89-487; 5 U.S.C. 552)

1. Plaintiff brings this action under Public Law 89-487; 5 U.S.C. 552.
2. Plaintiff is a professional writer, living and working in Frederick County, near the city of Frederick, in the State of Maryland. Plaintiff has published a number of books dealing with political assassinations and currently is devoting his full time efforts to researching and writing additional books on this same subject.
3. The Defendants are the General Services Administration of the United States Government and its subsidiary, the National Archives and Record Service, and Mr. Burke Marshall, the executor of the estate of the assassinated President John F. Kennedy.
4. The Defendant National Archives is the repository for the official evidence relating to the assassination of President Kennedy. In this capacity, the National Archives is charged with the duty of making said evidence available, without favoritism or prejudice, but in accordance with the applicable laws, regulations and practices.
5. The Defendant Mr. Burke Marshall, executor of the estate of President John F. Kennedy, entrusted some of this evidence to the care of the National Archives under the terms of a Letter Agreement dated October 29, 1966 and signed by both Mr. Marshall and Lawson B. Knott Jr., Administrator of General Services. (Exh. A)

6. The clothing worn by President Kennedy on the day of the assassination was among the evidence transferred to the custody of the General Services Administration under the terms of the October 29 Letter Agreement.

7. Said Letter Agreement classified the clothing of President Kennedy as Appendix A material and provided that such clothing could be made available to certain classes of persons, among them:

(b) Any serious scholar or investigator of matters relating to the death of the late President, for purposes relevant to his study thereof.

8. Prior to August 6, 1967 Plaintiff verbally requested that he be allowed to examine the President's clothing. On and subsequent to that date Plaintiff has requested in writing that he be granted access to said clothing.

9. In hopes of avoiding both a dispute over access to this evidence and unnecessary court litigation as well, Plaintiff proposed as an alternative that photographs of the President's clothing be taken for him and at his expense.

10. Plaintiff's requests that he be allowed to examine the President's clothing or have it photographed for him were first ignored by the Defendant General Services Administration; later they were denied.

11. In denying Plaintiff's requests the National Archives referred to restrictions in the Letter Agreement which were for "the stated purpose of preventing the undignified and sensational use of the materials presented to the Government . . ." (Exh. B)

This is the sole justification which was been set forth by Defendant Nat. Archives for denying Plaintiff access.

12. Plaintiff submits that his requests are capable of being granted in such manner as to preclude the possibility of any undignified or sensational use of the materials.

13. In this regard, Plaintiff points out that he requested in the first instance that he be allowed to examine the President's clothing itself, under the proper supervision of the officials at the National Archives. Such an examination is not susceptible to "undignified or sensational use (such as for public display)" since it is not capable of reproduction whatsoever, much less reproduction for public display.

14. In the second instance, Plaintiff has requested that, as an alternative, photographs of the President's clothing be taken, at his expense, and delivered to him by the National Archives staff. This is a procedure which the National Archives is accustomed to following in regard to other items of evidence.

15. Plaintiff points out that such photographs of the President's clothing as depict gore and are capable of undignified and sensational use have already been widely disseminated. Indeed, they have been made available by the Warren Commission itself, and under such circumstances as insured their undignified and sensational use.

16. Further, Plaintiff wishes to emphasize that in an effort to eradicate any suggestion of possible undignified or sensational use he has submitted a request for a photograph of a very small area of damage on the front of the President's shirt.

A photograph of this small area, measuring less than an inch across, is in no wise susceptible to undignified or sensational use. On the contrary, such a photograph is only of value to persons able to evaluate it through scholarly examination.

17. Under the terms of the Letter Agreement which pertain to Appendix A materials, the Administrator of the National Archives "shall have full authority to deny requests for access, or to impose conditions he deems appropriate on access, in order to prevent undignified or sensational reproduction of the Appendix A materials." (Exh. A)

18. By the same token, the Letter Agreement has vested Administrator with authority to make available to the Plaintiff the materials he seeks. The discretionary authority of the Administrator to grant access to the clothing and to permit photographs of it has recently been re-affirmed by Defendant Burke Marshall in his letters to the Plaintiff dated April 30 and May 25, 1970. (Exh. C)

19. Under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, Defendant National Archives has the burden of justifying its refusal to accede to Plaintiff's requests.

20. Thus far the Defendant National Archives has failed to adduce any reasons for the suppression of this evidence which are capable of withstanding analysis.

21. Plaintiff has sought relief at all appropriate levels and has consistently been denied his requests by the responsible

agency officials.

22. Plaintiff alleges that the Letter Agreement between Defendants Burke Marshall and the National Archives constitute a fraud upon the public and should be declared an illegal or void contract upon the following grounds:

- A. That the Letter Agreement is contrary to sound public policy.
- B. That the contract is void for vagueness.
- C. That the Letter Agreement is a legal nullity because its terms were broken in advance by the Warren Commission's publications of gory photographs of the President's clothing.

23. Plaintiff alleges in the alternative that should the Letter Agreement be held to be a valid contract, Plaintiff meets all legitimate requirements set forth by said Letter Agreement and has wrongfully been denied his requests by the Defendant National Archives, in violation of the Freedom of Information Act.

24. Wherefore Plaintiff asks the court to grant relief in the following form:

A. By ordering that Defendant National Archives allow Plaintiff to examine the President's clothing under proper supervision and ~~for~~ ^{make} ~~have~~ photographs of said clothing ~~take~~ for him at his expense.

B. By declaring the Letter Agreement between Defendants Burke Marshall and the National Archives null and void, and by restraining Defendant National Archives from any further use of said Letter Agreement as a pretext for denying Plaintiff access to Archival materials.

A D D E N D U M

The above paragraphs have laid the legal basis for Plaintiff's action against the Defendants. However, there is much background to the complaint, and in order to assist the court in understanding the relevance of this material and its significance as regards the national interest, the following Addendum is submitted.

I. The Importance of the Clothing as Evidence.

A. What the Evidence Must Show to Support the Official Theory of the Assassination.

25. The Warren Commission alleged that one virtually pristine bullet, Commission Exhibit 399, inflicted all seven non-fatal wounds suffered by President Kennedy and Governor Connally. In its virtuoso performance this bullet smashed bones in three parts of the Governor's body--after having passed through the body of the President--and emerged, as if by miraculous conception, almost wholly intact and virtually unutilated and undeformed. (Exh. D)

26. Without this stellar performance by Superbullet, the crime could not have been committed as officially alleged; for if one bullet did^{not} cause all seven wounds, then there had to be a second assassin.

27. As a corrolary, it is also true that if the crime was committed as officially alleged, there would have to be a bullet hole in that portion of the President's shirt which corresponds to the lower right rear of the neck, two bullet holes in the

overlap of the front neckband of the shirt, and a bullet hole through the knot of the tie, all caused by the bullet depicted in CE 399.

28. It is on this account that the shirt and the tie are among the most basic evidence relating to the assassination. Ultimately, they alone may provide proof as to whether the assassination was committed by one man alone, or by a conspiracy of two or more.

B. The President's Clothing Was Never Properly Examined Before the Warren Commission.

29. The President's Clothing was placed in evidence before the Warren Commission. Whether or not the Warren Commission realized the evidentiary importance of the clothing, and it is somewhat difficult to believe they did not, the Commission failed to have the relevant experts examine the clothing. No experts in forensic medicine were called by the Commission, though they were readily available, nor were the appropriate experts from the FBI and Secret Service summoned to examine this evidence.

30. Instead, the Commission drew upon the opinions of ordinary doctors whose skills were in laboratory work. Additionally, the Commission called in one FBI ballistics expert, but his testimony in this area was both incompetent and equivocal.

31. In short, the conclusions reached by the Warren Commission are not justified by the testimony it heard.

C. Other Basic Evidence Bearing Upon These Questions Is Also Suppressed.

32. Unfortunately, suspicion of the Warren Commission's conclusions is further heightened by the suppression of other basic evidence.

33. Most notorious, of course, is the illegal suppression of what is--or at least perhaps was--the best available evidence, the X-rays and photographs taken at the Kennedy autopsy.

34. In addition to this crude and blatant cover-up, the spectrographic analysis of the metallic traces on threads of the President's clothing was also suppressed--and remains suppressed.

35. The only testimony before the Warren Commission about spectrographic analysis was taken from a witness who specified his incompetence and designated his own testimony hearsay.

36. The spectrographer who performed the tests on the President's clothing was called before the Warren Commission, but he was never asked a single question about this spectrographic analysis.

37. The significance of spectrographic analysis lies in the fact that it permits an unequivocal statement as to whether the traces remaining on the President's shirt do or do not exactly coincide with the metallic content of the bullet known as CE 399.

38. The importance of this suppression of the spectrographic analysis assumes even greater proportions when it is understood that the testimony given before the Warren Commission indicates there were no metallic traces in either of the two holes in the

neckband of the President's shirt or on the nick made on the extreme left-hand side of the knot.

39. Since this magic bullet, CE 399, left metallic traces on everything else it allegedly struck, as, for example, the back of the President's shirt, it is logical to infer that the damage to the neckband and tie was not caused by CE 399 or any other bullet.

40. This inference is strengthened by the fact that while this bullet is alleged--in the "official" version--to have gone through the President's tie knot, observation establishes without a hole being made in it, since there is only a slight nick on the extreme left-hand side of the tie.

41. The suppression of the autopsy X-rays and photographs, taken in conjunction with the suppression of the spectrographic analysis and the refusal to let Plaintiff examine the President's clothing--these things suggest an obvious explanation: the basic evidence of the assassination is suppressed for the simple reason that it contradicts the official version of how that assassination was carried out.

II.. Photographs of the Clothing as Evidence.

A. Types of Photos:

42. The photographs of the President's clothing which are contained in the Warren Commission's residual files are

of a type known as reproduction copies. They were delivered to the Commission by the FBI.

43. These reproduction copies are made from negatives which are designed for pictures used in offset printing, rather than as photographic pictures.

44. Such offset photos contain myriads of small dots called a "screen". These dots are invisible to the naked eye, but they are essential to the photoengraving process by which reproduction by printing is accomplished.

45. Unfortunately, upon enlargement the dots dominate and hide the content of the offset pictures, even when a simple magnifying lens is used.

B. Types of Photographs Available to Public and Scholars.

46. There is no restriction upon the availability ~~of~~ or use of reproduction photos; although they show nothing but gore and cannot be properly enlarged, such photos were emphasized in the Warren Report and its supplementary volumes and were released by the Government in order that they might be widely disseminated.

47. Defendant National Archives informed Plaintiff that it had made its own photographs of the clothing, in order that the shirt could be studied by those doing research into the assassination.

48. It is obvious that the reproduction photos taken by the FBI and delivered to the National Archives by the

Warren Commission were useless; had they been in any way adequate for study and research, then it would not have been necessary for the Defendant National Archives to take its own pictures for use in such research.

49. Defendant National Archives permits examination of the photographs it took of the President's clothing and has shown them to Plaintiff; however, the Arvhives refuses to follow its customary practice of making copies for sale to persons doing research.

50. The reason given by the Archives for refusing to make available its clear and usable photographs is the pretext given in the Letter Agreement: to prevent their undignified and sensational use. But the reason is spurious. Those photos which were released for widespread public distribution portrayed nothing but gore and have no evidentiary value. Those withheld, including Plaintiff's request for a photo of a very small area of damage, were of evidentiary value but not susceptible of sensational or undignified use.

C. The Photos As Evidence.

51. The FBI is the major crime detection agency in the world's most technologically advanced nation. As would be expected, the only photographs the FBI delivered to the Warren Commission were reproduction copies made from negatives designed not for photographic pictures but for use in offset

printing; that is, the type of photograph with the lowest evidentiary value.

52. One of the photos the FBI presented to the Warren Commission was a composite described as FBI Exhibit 60. The point at which Bullet 399 is said to have entered the President's shirt is enlarged and added as one of the inserts in FBI Exhibit 60.

53. Strangely, the damage to the shirt depicted in this enlargement does not coincide with that discernible in the picture of the entire back of the shirt, both the shape of the hole and its relationship to the vertical stripes in the pattern of the shirt being different.

54. The Department of Justice has given Plaintiff prints of such pictures which are of the kind which permit enlargement without distortion. These photographs make it possible to provide an "innocent" explanation for the ~~xi~~ discrepancy pointed out in paragraph 53 above; an explanation which could not be brought forth were Plaintiff restricted to the reproduction-type photographs the FBI provided to the Warren Commission.

55. For whatever reason, the fabled FBI, agency with a multitude of experts, possessor of arcane skills, developer and refiner of recondite sciences--said FBI reversed the vertical direction of the enlargement when making its composite picture for FBI Exhibit 60.

56. Plaintiff feels constrained to point out that he has no innocent explanation as to why the FBI furnished the

Warren Commission with useless reproduction-type photos, or, for that matter, why the FBI considered it necessary to predigest evidence for the Commission by compiling a composite photo.

57. Whatever the FBI's motive may have been, the error was not detected by the Commission. Although this does not necessarily reflect on the competency of the Commission's staff, it does point up the special way in which the public interest requires an unofficial examination of all the evidence. For regardless of the competency of the Commission staff, or its integrity, Plaintiff has here uncovered an instance of an official agency providing the Commission with manufactured evidence, and at that, evidence which is essential to an understanding of the nature of the assassination.

III. Circumstances Surrounding The Drafting And Publication Of the Letter Agreement and the Issuance of an Executive Order.

A. Circumstance I: Time.

58. "The Time is out of joint; O cursed spite,
That ever I was born to set it right!" (*Hamlet*,
Act I, scene v.

59. The Letter Agreement transferring the President's clothing to the Archives was dated October 29, 1966. That date is significant. It is somewhat more than two years

after the Warren Report was filed.

60. By this date the Warren Report had come under severe criticism. Plaintiff had published his first book on this subject, Whitewash: The Report On The Warren Report. By October, 1966, Whitewash and three other books were receiving widespread international attention, and one of these books had become a "best seller".

61. Thus, by the Fall of 1966 the Government which prepared the official account of the assassination was in distress. Under direct attack, the official version rapidly lost credibility; indeed, a Lou Harris poll revealed that two thirds of the American public no longer believed it.

62. By the most accidental of coincidents, the Acting Attorney General of the United States picked this very moment to issue an Executive Order, staing:

. . .I have determined that the national interest requires the entire body of evidence considered by the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy and now in the possession of the United States to be preserved intact. (Exh.)

63. Both the Letter Agreement and the Executive Order were accompanied by enormous public relations fanfare, and an accomodating press, not to day a handmaiden, heralded both events as meaning that no evidence was suppressed and that all the evidence confirmed the official story of the crime.

64. The alleged reason for the Executive Order and the Letter Agreement is the preservation of evidence. Had that been the real objective, one doubts that there would have been a lapse of more than three years after the assassination and more than two years after the issuance of the Warren Report before these actions were taken. Rather, they would have been done, at the very latest, prior to the ending of the Warren Commission, and not at a time when a government troubled by popular disbelief desired its myths to be buttressed by propaganda.

65.
B. Circumstance II: Falsification.

65. If either of these acts had any relation to preservation, then it was to the preservation of a false and distorted picture of the assassination and of the availability of the important evidence in regard to it.

66. Thus, one of the provisions in the Letter Agreement "gave" the autopsy X-rays and photographs to the Archives. In this manner, the Government became the beneficiary of its own property and the bestower of property gained a reputation for magnanimity. Simultaneously, even if the press did not exactly bruit it about, the X-rays and photographs--the best available evidence--received ~~ix~~ received a burial ceremony.

67. Coinciding with the fanfare over the Letter Agreement was a statement attributed to the autopsy doctors, that they "confirmed" the authenticity of the autopsy pictures.

Since these doctors had testified before the Warren Commission that they had never seen these pictures, either their testimony before the Commission ~~x~~ was perjurious or the statement was falsely attributed to the doctors. Similarly, another statement attributed to the doctors--that these pictures confirmed the accuracy of the autopsies--was also false.

C. Circumstance III: Discrimination.

68. On November 1, 1966, in the aftermath of the sensational publicity surrounding the Letter Agreement, Plaintiff requested a copy of said Letter Agreement. The National Archives refused Plaintiff's request.

69. Thereafter, one Fred Graham arranged an exclusive release of said Letter Agreement to him and his newspaper, the New York Times.

70. In this regard, Defendant National Archives violated its own regulations, which would require that Plaintiff have had equal access to said Letter Agreement as Mr. Graham, in order that he could have not less than an equal opportunity for first use. Instead, the National Archives did not properly notify Plaintiff or even mail him a copy of what had been withheld from him, so that no one could act until after an erroneous interpretation had been foisted off on the people and fastened upon history. (Exh.)