Mr. Thomas J. Kelley, Aust. Fir. U.S. Secret Service Room 848, 1800 G St., NW. Westington, 7.0. 20226

Cour Mr. Walley,

Thank your very much for your letter of the eleventh. There it is direct and unequivocal I especially appreciate it.

With regard to the Forrie documents, it may turn out that I be to them. If there is a means by which I might be able to correlate Secret Service Control Numbers with Consission Document numbers, I think it might be easier for all of us.

Nitu regard to the film, where you write so forthrightly, two questions remain. I hope you can either help ms eith them or direct me to where such information might be obtained.

The number of X-rays for which Mr. Reliamen signed a receipt coincides with those enumerated and described by the Attorney General's panel. This does not include, beyond any possibility of question, all the X-rays taken. If you desire further information on this I will be happy to supply it. That I seek to learn relates to these missing X-rays, how they left the hospital (the Nevy assures me it got rid of everything, even where regulations required otherwise), with whom, to whom, what happened to them and where they now are.

The photographs were delivered to the Secret Service exposed but understoped. I hope it is not presuming too much to presume the Secret Service has a record of what it then did with these exposed film. I take your statement, "we have no record of the processing of the film" to include that the Secret Service did not process it or did not have it processed. If I do not hear further from you on this (and I have no desire to cause you unnecestary letter writing), I will assume this to be correct. So, I ask, do you know who did process this film, whother or not you have a record of the processing? While I would meder not to be put to a laborious tracing of the film, I am prepared to do this is necessary. If you cannot or feel you should not (which I can understand if you do) tell me what you know about it, you can tell me to whom you delivered it. As we both know, you also had some of it in your possession after processing, and you should know its nource. From my meeting with you I have the impression that you do not personally want any such clouds to remain. I hope you can find itspessible to help me disapell them.

In your interest and that of the Secret Service, I take a liberty I trust you will not misunderstand in pointing out where the record now stands on the X-rays and the possibile interpretations, now and in history: the Sec et Bervice had possession of them to the time of the memorandum of transfer; some of them have disappeared; there is unexplained demage. I do not tell you this

3A5

os any subtle accusation. But please believe me, other X-rays were required to be taken, were ordered to be taken, were taken, and you had two agents there was heard and now this. The panel report is not helpful against any inference that might be drawn against the Secret Service, for it describes the listed X-rays and not one of those to which I refer is included.

If you can bely with with this problem, I think it will serve every proper interest.

My yesterday's phone calls were to let you know I have received nothing further from the Archives. They have not responded to my written inquir cither. I have been delayed on the memorandum of transfer for almost 16 months, more than two months since your elected to make a copy available to me only through the National Archives, which is now electing to suppress it. I hope you will understand my feeling, that I have been more than patient. that I have tried to be as accommodating to every interest as possible, even when this was against what - regard as my personal interest and higher interests I hope I serve. I connot, in good conscience, postpone what - have elready long held in abeyence. This is further complicated by a special interpretation of the law conveyed to me by Mr. Rolapp. Thile I regard it as invalid, I connot ignore it, for he ass conveyed the current official interpretation to me. It is not consistent with page 24 of the Attorney General's memorandum of this law. Then you chose, as the agency of paramount interest, to make this evailable to me only through the National Archives and they decide, I believe in an open affwont to the law end conspicuous violetion of the executive order, to suppress it, what choices remain to me?

If I have regrets, I also have obligations I cannot and will not soun.

Sinceraly,

Harold Telaberg