Dr. James B. Rhaeds, Archivist The Metional Arabives Restington, D.C.

Deer Dr. Bhosds.

I note the unfuly long time in which there has been no response of any nature from you on a number of outstanding requests " have made.

You have taken exception to some of the things I have said about the lavid Ferris material and have denied me a copy of the list of documents relating to him that I told you had been made. You have, in fact, denied may such list was made. I told you that so the time Ferris was in the news I had been told otherwise by Mr. Marion school, who slee gave me a list of what had been released relating to Ferris. I have mislaid that list.

I believe I also told you that news account of the time would confirs what I said.

I have microfilm copies of two steries by Nen Robertson in the New York Times of February 24 and 25, 1967, pages 24 and 56, respectively. I reserve they are too small to permit legible copying. However, I as use they are readily available to you. You will find these steries relate the release by the Archives of 16 relevant pages and report the withholding of about 40 others, at FAI order. It is also reported that Mr. Johnson "pulled" this information "together", in response to request from the New Orleans pagers.

At your suggestion, I did exacts your Ferrie files. There is nothing in them that in any ways suggests what is here reported. It is inevitable that a listing was made. These stories also recount that you had requested the FEI to release what was still classified, again bearing very much an whether or not there was a list. Could you set the FEI to declassify what you did not exectfy?

There stories further refer to Secret Service interviews with Perrise.
There are no such reports in what you nade evailable to he. These are directly ounted in the story. If you have such reports, I would like copies of them. I slee renew my request for a copy of the list of documents relating to Ferrise and I add a request for the communication to the FMI relating to their declarative fication and any reply.

I hope it is not again a futility to hope this one he regised to within a researchia time.

Sincerely,

Harold Seleberg

ect Mr. "homes Melley, Secret Service

Mr. Tom Kelley, Assistant Director U.S.Secret Service Weshington, D.C.

Door Mr. Relley.

Enclosed is a copy of my today's letter to the Archivist relating to the Warren Commission Persis Comments.

Since speaking to you lost week, - have board nothing from tue archives.

I em seere of the possibility that the Times is in error in quoting Secret Service reports or may have confused those of the Secret Service and the FM. I was also exerce of the possible interpretation of this reporting that your agency supplied some of the information to the Times.

You may not be evere of it, but I on the first to have "discovered" end written about Ferrie. At our conference, you may recall I did ask you about him and Secret Service knowledge of him, etc. I have been trying to obtain what I believe is improperly withheld of the Ferrie material, and for a long time. During this time I have been made false promises by some government officials, including an Assistant Attorney General of the United States. These files are evailable to you should you desire to see them. Recently I have again taken this up with the Department of Justice, looking to taking whetever steps may be possible to obtain this meterial.

I have conducted shot, for a writer, in a rather extensive investigation of Ferrie. Again, it is interests you, you may see what I have. But I assure you there is virtually no facust of his personal life and its character that is not public and well-known, largely published. This is also true of those with when he had relationships. Some of this is recorded in various legal procondings. As a nector of fact, the relationship between Ferrie and others has been very well publicized, including in a book and in its serialization, by Milton Braner, attorney for several of the men who are eachy the subjects of the still-withheld FMI reports.

So, I am writing to as: for copies of any such Socret Service data. I have the Jack Martin interview that was no well and I think so improperly publicized by the government when the Garrison story broke. The Archives copy is close to illegible. If you have anything of this character, I would ask not only that you provide it to the Archives, if it is not there, but, if it he history with which you are now femiliar, tost you send he copies separately. This is in no wey improper and at the very worst it would neve considerable time.

Sincerely,