I interrupt the retyring of the A notes to report scrething I do not went to forget if I get busy or weekend company. I have completed the fifth typed page. It is apparent, to me glaringly obvious, that the A, which may meen only, was engaged in a systematic effort to dany me what could not properly be denied and, in a number of cases, was readily aveilable to others who would not understand and who could have been (and were) guided into writing mose-undience ctories contrary to fact and truth. It is else obvious that they have lied, are lying, and this connot be addental. If you recell the relatively-recent basels over the autopsy outhorization and their insistence it was in FFK 4-1 all clong, I find I saked for it from the very first, without response, maked for it regularly and repeatedly efter I got the JUV 4-1 file, and they turned me down! Exemination of the summery only leaves no doubt that knew I had asked for everything on the sucopay and it is specific in too letters. I blso soked for the unpublished transcripts. So, they gave this stuff to others will denying it to me. Another case, the 68A-family contract. They refused it to me, making it seem like a permanent and irravocable thing, because not to would be to encourage sensational and undignified use of the meterial, and then they turn around end release it as soon as a fi ft k welked in, without concern for sensational or undignified use (is that proper grounds, snywey?) Those are not all the cases. I cannot possibly keep all of it in mind, however, these are sufficiently numerous and sufficiently clear for me to write end sek you to think about this and the pessibilities. Con the A be this dishonsst, this corrupt, without my special reason, just as en innocent way of life? The inordicate delays in some cases worked, for I missed things even when I and been laboring to get them, dulled by their explenations. To this point in the typing I am still in the Babmer administration. Folicy was unchenged. From this I think the two more likely probabilities ere that e) Johncon was able to pull it, for whoever he served, because he wrote their letters and they could not have known details or b) there was a strict colicy laid down from above end toth administrations followed it. There just is no mistake on what I asked for, what I was refused or told didn't exist, etc. Now we have the fudging with my 399 picture, which connot be accidental. Johnson and I had a fight over it and he wes there when we took the pictures. He knows they took that picture for me (and " not no snawer to the requests I have made for and about this recently but long ago in terms of failure to respond. After we get the impadiate out of the way, I must again raise with Bud what I did to begin with, filing a suit for damages under the torts law. I do not know the law as it relates to suing for this kind of damage, but I think there is no doubt about sither the tort or its deliberateness. I think such a suit would really shake obnson and hoads up-and stop all this crap. AND attract attention to both the lishonesty, raising the question why, and the content of the material. I would like your opinions. I also suggest this cannot be without significance.

21 6