PAITED STATES DISTRICT COURT POR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMNIA

HAROLD VEISBURG,

Plainbiff,

V.S. OSWERAL SERVICES AMINISTRATION

U.S. NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND ESCORDS SERVICES,

Defendants.

Civil Action

No. 2569-70

PLAINTIPY'S OPPOSITION TO DEPENDANTS' MOTION TO DISHIBS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, POR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, and PLAINTIPP'S RESERVAL OP PLAINTIPP'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

With respect to Defendants' Motion, the "Statement of Material Fasts as to which there is no genuine issue," the "Nemorandum of Points and Authorities," there is serious factual disagreement as to the facts; therefore, the motion should not be granted.

These featual disagreements exist because they have been contrived by Defendants; because the ellogations are not genuine; because the record allegedly cited is corefully distorted; because the citations of law and regulation are neither complete nor accurate; all being an attempt to decrive the Court by representing to the Court the opposite of what the law and regulations require and provide and what the factual situation really is, to the end that the Court be misled and the law converted into an instrument for illegal suppression.

Secondly, Defendents' Motion ought not be granted because, despite contrary certification to this Gourt, the affidevite and exhibits represented to have been served upon plaintiff were, in fact, not served upon him, nor were they supplied when Plaintiff requested them, and had not yet been copied for Plaintiff when Plaintiff unde the asterd request for them, to the end that, with the time limitation imposed by the Court, it is not physically pendile for Plaintiff to respond to them.

Plaintiff also believes that, under the rules of this Gourt, the attachment of an affidavit to a Motion to Dismiss converts it into a Motion for Summary Judgm out and is therefore additional grounds for not granting it.

Plaintiff wever this Court to dismiss Defendants' Metion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment on the gounds that:

It does not refute or even really respond to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Supplement therefo with valid citations of fast or law, or even allude to it saids from the general and unsubstantiated reference in the Motion Itself, thereby establishing the truth of Plaintiff's pleading that there is no genuine issue as to any material fast and that, on this basis alone, Plaintiff is entitled to

judgment in his favor as a matter of law;

Each and every one of the claims and allegations in Defendants' said motion is false and without merit and, where secompanied by citations of law overegulation, are not by them sustained and do, in fact, prove each and every one of plaintiff's relevant claims and allegations;

At me point and in no manner do defendants address or even refer to plaintiff's claim that he is entitled to the public information he aseks, namely, photographs of official evidence in an official proceeding:

Defendants seek to perpetrate a freed upon Plaintiff and this Court by editing and maquating law and regulation and by not presenting to the Court for its consideration what defendants know to be the fact, the law and applicable regulations;

Defendants have not responded to or denied Plaintiff's proven claim, conseded day Defendants, that Defendants have made the <u>identical</u> public information available to another and thereby, if there ever was any legitimate reason for withholding it from Plaintiff, have waived any right to withhold it and must grant "equal access" to Plaintiff under applicable law and regulations;

Law, regulation and a certain letter agreement require the taking and providing of this said evidence for Flaintiff or any other "serious scholar or investigator of matters relating to the death of the late President for purposes relevant to his study thereof";

Because there is no genuine issue as to any material fact, because applicable law and regulation require it; because it is confirmed to be defendants' practice with others and to deny it to Plaintiff is discriminatory and illegal; Plaintiff prays this Court to find in his favor and issue a Summary Judgment in which Defendants are directed and ordered to:

Nake photographic copies of the existing pictures of the clothing of the late President that is official evidence of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Rennedy, for Plaintiff, at his expense, at the rates prevailing at the time of Plaintiff's first request therefor:

Of those views of the damage to the said clothing alleged to have been caused by a bullet that are not included in the existing pictures, wake photographs for Flaintiff, "for purposes relevant to his study thereof," with Flaintiff present to see what photographs are taken and permitted to examine but not handle the said evidence to the degree necessary for this purpose, such photographs also to be paid for by Plaintiff at the rates provailing at the time of Flaintiff's first request therefor:

Additionally, because defendents to not make even pro forme denial thereof. Plaintiff prays his Court to find the so-called GSA-family contract hall and void and to order that the public property referred

to in it and the official evidence of the said Commission referred to in it, namely, Commission Exhibits 393, 394 and 395, be kept in end preserved by the Mational Archives, together with all other official evidence of the assassination of President Kennedy and the files of the said Presidential Commission, under existing law and regulations, with the added previse that all possible phatographs thereof that can have any evidentiary valuedin the future be made and duplicated and that all possible pressutions be taken to avoid any possible further demage thereto.

Marcid Seisberg, pro se

GERTLY/CATE OF SERVICE

f#/		
	 Welsborg	- Partie