

Dear Jim,

5/11/74

This is one of those days on which the only fairly safe thing for me to do is write a letter to one who, if I say the wrong thing, will merely tell himself "That crazy old bastard is off on another one."

Everything that has happened has angered me, beginning with my own early-morning work, with which, without having read it, I am not satisfied.

How I feel about the enclosure to Ed Kabak must be apparent. This endless crookedness! Was it possible he that - have dealt with only crooks, considering all the people with whom I have dealt?

Each of my riding mowers zoned out within a half hour of starting them, and the grass is high and I am not. I have just had and paid for both being overhauled!

(Matter of fact, if Lil were not now planting a couple of small trees and shrubs where I've already dynamited holes for her, I'd be hand-mowing now, and it is probably the last thing I should do. But I want one of us to be able to answer the phone when the guy - paid for those repairs phones back, assuming he does.)

But - have more than a prayed-for catharsis in mind.

Your letter of the 9th came today. I've not read Koch yet. Gessell is going to have to face the same issue in different form very soon because he sits on the case of the WG Cubans and their lawyer, Daniel Schultz, is making demands (ExPist 5/10/74). Theirs is a criminal case. (I think it would be good to get what Schultz filed alleging prior CIA WG knowledge.)

What you say of Florida, clearer in the letter than by phone, adds nothing to what I was told several weeks ago, but thanks. Just have to wait and see.

McCord: thanks for the update on the (anti-Wari) pot. I have this to say about him: (expletive deleted). I have given him and his counsel what should be quite valuable. It should also tell them they they are both careless or neither knows his business. And I have yet to get a word about it or anything else from it or several letters. I also have something else now that I think might be the legal deciding point. But I'm also just filing it. I've had it, and I couldn't care less what the crazy reason of either or both is, if either or both really have one. And you can tell either or both. Or say nothing. I just don't care. Really. Too much I can't do.

Agreed on the "significant factor" with Gessell. It was no factor in 2502-73. Can there be something other than prejudice here that does not show?

Agreed also in Dilke. Besides, there was no chance of any AGJJ interest in me from the first because of the subject and thereafter when I made some fairly strongly put efforts to let them see they are really finking out except on what is "safe." The best of them is yellow and the best is at all good only by comparison with the sad state of the profession (with a few exceptions!).

In an reading Gessell on Koch. Suggest you read full graf with great care. He is telling the FBI how to get around FOI forever! By how they file. (To this point there are three references to me that in no single case I understand or believe is really relevant.)

(But on 4 he gives my original and persisting reading to that aspect of Agria.)

What you did not note is that in this case as in my decision he wrote the day of the month of May in and it was the same day, 3.

Ought we talk to Koch, Bingham, etc? Or ask to?

They have a forum for deception of the court, for false statements and swearing by DJ officials and others, etc.

Best,