UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Harold Weisberg,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v. ·)	Civil Action Numbe 75-1996
U. S. Department of Justice,)	73 1330
Defendants.)	,
	•)	

THIRD AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN N. PHILLIPS

I, John N. Phillips, being duly sworn, depose and say as follows:

(1) I am a Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), assigned in a supervisory capacity to the Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts (FOIPA) Branch, Records Management Division, FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ), Washington, D. C.

Due to the nature of my official duties, I am familiar with the procedures followed in processing Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests received at FBIHQ. Although I was not initially involved with plaintiff's FOIA request, I am, however, familiar with all aspects of this request as it relates to the FBI. The information set forth herein is based upon my review of the records and correspondence with plaintiff as it relates to this litigation, and upon information provided to me in my official capacity.

- (2) In compliance with the order of United States District Court Judge June L. Green, I am submitting three separate envelopes for In Camera review as follows:
 - A. MURKIN Headquarters serials 3374, 3400, 3509, 3763, 3764 and the first unrecorded serial after serial 6110.
 - B. Laboratory "ticklers" of three documents concerning Kidnapping; Interstate Transportation in Aid of Racketeering (ITAR), Conspiracy; and Bombing Matters.
 - C. MURKIN Headquarters serials 58, 1196, 1470, 1500, 1549, 2126, 2161, 3400, 3763, 4438, 4692, 4694, 4986, 5708, 6010, 413, 1427, 3503, 4761, 4919, 5212, 5338, 5487, 5663, 5719 and 5819.

Tenmeters) the

These materials are contained in three separate packages (labeled Envelopes A, B, and C) with notations that they are to be opened only by United States District Court Judge June L. Green and will be submitted to United States District Judge June L. Green contemporaneously with the filing of this affidavit.

- during In Camera inspection, each item has been assigned an identifying number, which appears circled in the lower left corner of the first page of each document. This identifier is a composite of the envelope letter and the document number. For example, the first document in Envelope A has been assigned number A-1.
- in yellow ink. Where deletions have been made the appropriate exemption is cited to the right of the deleted material. Entire documents or individual pages which have been released or denied in their entirety contain a notation across the bottom of each page explaining the action taken.
- (5) For the information of the Court, four of the documents ordered for <u>In Camera</u> inspection as having been denied in their entirety were, in fact, released in full to Mr. Weisberg over four years ago. These documents, along with copies of the disclosure letters providing them to plaintiff are attached hereto as exhibits. Following is a list of the released serials, their assigned document numbers, their exhibit numbers as attached, and the dates of release to plaintiff:

Serial	<u>Number</u>	Exhibit Number	Date of Release
3509	A-3	1	7/27/77
3763	A-4	2	10/26/77
	C-9		
3764	A-5	3	7/27/77
5708	C-14	4	6/24/77

(6) In addition to the above, one of the documents

hereto as an exhibit. Following the chart above, information concerning this document is set forth below:

	Document	Exhibit	Date of
Serial	Number	Number	Release
4761	C-19	5	6/2/77

(7) Serial 1549, document number C-5 was referred to the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) on March 17, 1977, for direct response to plaintiff. By letter dated January 26, 1981, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 6, the CIA released this document to plaintiff with deletions made pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b) (3) and (b) (6). On the copy of this document submitted for In Camera inspection, those portions withheld by the CIA are bracketed in red ink. The exemptions claimed by that agency are listed to the right of the deleted material, also in red ink.

					. /	2/*	
				Fe	pecial ederal	Phillips Agent Bureau of	Investigation
bscribed	and	sworn to	before			G = 1	day of

ROUTEINENVELOPE

The Atterney General

Director, FEE

ASSASSINATION OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.

Mr Lon

James Barl Ray has been identified as the subject in the case involving the murder of Martin Luther King, Jr.

Enfeative investigation has been condicted, and no information has been developed indicating his present whereabouts. In order to possibly assist in locating and apprehending the subject, ill would be a continue value to know if the subject has made any contact, either personal or by telephone, with his sister, Carol Pepper, is well as his brother, John Larry Ray.

In view of the above, it is requested that you authorize installation of a technical surveillance at the residence of Carel Popper and at the Grapevine Tavera, buned by Carel Popper and operated by John Larry Ray. It is also requested that you authorize installation of majorophone surveillance on the residence of Carel Popper, a John Larry Ray, he well as the Grapevine Tavera.

These installations sould assist in the early apprehendent of the subject. Which could possibly be instrumental in reducing the stresses and tension placed on our national security subsequent to the death of libritin Lather King, Sr.

RELION

NOTE: See memorandus A. Rosen to Mr. DeLoach dated 5-9-68, caption MURKIN, RE serg. D. 115

EXHIBIT

WHITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION RECORDS DISCLOSURE COVER SHEET FOI/PA BRANCH

RECORDS MANAGEMENT DIVISION

July 27, 1977

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 - old Route Pend Frederick, Maryland 21701

Section 552

Subject of Request:

1 - Mr. Long Attn: Mr. Groover

Section 552a

Assassination of Dr. Martin Luther

King, Jr.

1 - Mr. Mintz

Attn: Mr. Matthews

Dear Requester:

である。 「一般のでは、「一般のでは、「ないない」というできない。 「ないないないない」というできない。 「ないないないない」というできない。 「ないないないないないないないないないないないないないない

1 - Mr. Grimsley CIPU

Enclosed are copies of documents from our files. Excisions have been made from these documents and/or entire documents withheld in order to protect materials which are exempted from disclosure by the following subsections of Title 5, United States Code, Section 552 and Section 552a. The exemption number(s) indicated by a mark appearing in the block to the left of the subsection cited constitutes the authority for withholding the deleted material. (See below and reverse side of this sheet for an explanation of these exemptions.)

(b) (1)	(b) (7) (A)	(d) (5)	
. (b) (2)	(b) (7) (B)	[] (j) (2)	
(b) (3)	(b) (7) (c)	[] (k) (1)	
(b) (4)	(b) (7) (D)	(k) (2)	
(b) (5)	(b) (7) (E)	(k) (3)	
(b) (6)	(b) (7) (F)	[[] (k) (4)	
	(b) (8)	(k) (5)	
	(b) (9)	(k) (6)	
		(k) (7)	
The decision to with Clarence M. Kelley, Director	hhold exempt portions of o	our records is the respon	sibility of
of the provisions of both the Section 552) and the Privacy is the Attornation of the Attornation of the Attornation of the Attornation of the Attornation, and documents which were also processed under the eccivation of the greatest degree of the Attornation of	rrence and time frame. The stands any such records. or information concerning Preedom of Information Act of 1974 (Title 5, United September 1974) (Title 5, United S	yourself has been considet (POIA) (Title 5, United States Code, Section by individuals seeking in the section of adminiting the first disclosure under the section of the s	ered in light d States Code, 552a). It has formation about strative he Privacy Act , you have
= -0.1	information on continuati	on page.	
	Gumes	m. Comer	
Enclosures (7) Phrvly (10) Phrvly (10) The room To	Freedom of	Powers, Chief Information-Privacy Actingement Division See NOTE page 3	DALL DE

Mr. Harold Weisberg

This release is comprised of documents from Sections 86 through 91 of the Headquarters' Murkin file; bulky enclosure 5586 which consists of Exhibits Section material; lat reports pertaining to ballistics, spectrography and the examination of cigarette butts; serials 3509 and 3764 which were previously denied but are now being released pursuant to the department appeal review; and one black and white photograph showing an aerial view of the Lorraine Motel and the surrounding area.

Included in this material are duplicated copies of various photographs. Please advise us of the specific photographs which you desire photographic copies of and these will be provided to you.

Pursuant to a decision made by the Department of Justice, you are to be afforded a 40 percent reduction of fees for all documents which are released in response to your December 23, 1975, Freedom of Information Act request for Murkin material. This fee reduction applies to any charges for material to be released in the future and also to any charges assessed against you for Murkin material which has previously been furnished. Our records indicate that we have collected a total amount of \$1,984.80 in fees for payment of Murkin material which has been released to you. Application of the 40 percent fee reduction standard to that amount determines that we now owe you a refund of \$793.92. request has been submitted to our Finance and Personnel Division for a check in that amount to be made payable to Marold Weisberg. The check should be soon forthcoming and will be immediately forwarded to you. Hereafter, all charges assessed against you for Murkin material will be at the rate of \$.06 per page.

Documents currently being released consist of 1,639 pages. Additionally, 612 pages of documents pertaining to latent fingerprint examinations were provided to you on June 30, 1977. Pursuant to the \$.86 per page duplication fee as established by the Department of Justice, the amount due for this material is \$135.06.

Mr. Harold Weisberg

Also, one 8 x 10 black and white photograph is being released at the cost of \$1.80, which again reflects the 40 percent reduction standard. Please remit a check in the amount of \$136.86, made payable to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in payment of all those fees currently due for Murkin material which has been released to you.

Thank you for your patience and cooperation in this matter.

1 - James H. Lesar, Esq. 1231 Fourth Street, S.W. Washington, D. C. 20004

- 1 Mr. John Dugan United States Attorney's Office United States Courthouse 3rd and Constitution, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20001
- 1 The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Mr. Doug Mitchell

NOTE: This release was comprised of 1,639 pages from Sections 86 - 91 and serials 3509, 3769, 5920 and 5586. Mr. Weisberg is being notified of payment due of \$136.86. This amount includes charges for this 1,639 pages, 612 pages which were released on 6/30/77, and a charge of \$1.80 for an 8 x 10 black and white photograph which is being provided. This concludes the processing of Murkin files at Headquarters. We are continuing to process other Headquarters files which are responsive to Mr. Weisberg's December 23, 1975, FOIA request.

Memorandum Mr. Mohr May 10, 1968 J. J. Caspe MURKIN As shown in attached memorandum of May 9, 1968, from Mr. Rosen to Mr. DeLoach, consideration is given to microphone installations on certain properties of Albert and Carol Pepper. The proposal raises a question concerning the legality of any action taken against the subject of this case on the basis of information obtained from the microphones. We believe these microphones can be installed and used without prejudicing the case against the subject. In a very recent decision of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, a listening device was installed on the premises of one Levine. Later, a subject named Granello, an associate of Levine, came up for trial and claimed that the listening device installed on Levin's premises, which was installed by trespass, was illegal as to him, Granello. It was not contended that any information obtained from the Levine microphone was used as evidence against Granello at trial either directly or as a lead. The court held that since Granello had no interest in the Levine premises, the monitor was not illegal as to him and he could not obtain a new trial or dismissal of the indictment. U.S. v. Granello, 280 F. Supp. 482 (1968). Applied to instant case, this rule of law could work out in different ways. Assuming that the subject of this case is not on the premises to be surveilled by the means suggested, and has no possessory or other right in those premises, any information disclosed by the surveillance in some way, such as conversation among the Peppers, could be used to learn the whereabouts of the subject for purposes of arrest. The problem becomes somewhat more complicated, however, if the subject of this case made a telephone call to those premises and that telephone call were recorded and used as the basis for his apprehension. He then could claim that the surveillance violated his right of privacy in the telephone communication he made to that place, citing the Katz decision in the Supreme Court. Loach 31 MAY 22 1968

Memorandum J. J. Casper to Mr. Mohr RE: MARKIN

The worst that could happen in either of the above circumstances, however, - assuming that we follow the precautionary measures listed below - is that we illegally learn where the subject is located and thus are able to arrest him on that knowledge. The rule that comes into play here, established in the last century by the Supreme Court in Ker v. Illinois, 30 U.S. 347 (1886), is that an illegal arrest is no bar to prosecution. Wong Sun v. U.S., 371 U.S. 471 (1963); U.S. v. Hoffman, 385 F2d 501 (1967); Keegan v. U.S., 385 F2d 260 (1967). A person may be arrested unlawfully and actually kidnapped into the court having jurisdiction of the criminal case, yet the court still retains jurisdiction to try the person for the offense. The court would not allow the prosecution to use as evidence any information obtained through the illegal surveillance but the illegal surveillance would not taint the use of any other evidence obtained either before or after and which was gotten in a legal manner. Nor, to repeat, would the illegality of the arrest alone, resulting from whereabouts disclosed by unlawful surveillance, prevent the court from trying the subject for the offense.

If the action being considered is taken, we strongly suggest three precautionary measures, as follows:

- (1) That all recordings be preserved intact. It may be necessary to disclose some of them to the court or even to the defense.
- (2) That no use be made of any information obtained against anyone whatsoever or in any way whatsoever except for the single purpose of locating the subject in this case. As we well know by this time, evidence of the offense obtained in this manner is not admissible. It would not be admissible against the subject and it would not be admissible against the Peppers on a charge of harboring.
- as to the Peppers, they have at least a theoretical cause of action for damages against those who installed the devices by trespass. Here again, however, if nothing learned by this surveillance is used against the Peppers in any way, their cause of action is diminished to the lowest possible degree, becoming that for a technical violation only rather than one of substantial harm to them. Moreover, in any such case the government of the United States should surely be willing to pick up the tab for any judgment had against those who installed the microphones.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

5

PLOASE SEE ATTACHED

OCT 26 1977 1 - Nr. Long Attn: Mr. Groover

Section 552a

(d) (5)

(j) (2)

(k)(1)

REGISTERED Subject of Request:

Assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 - Old Receiver Road Frederick, Maryland 21701

(b) (1)

(b) (3)

₩ (b) (2)

Section 552

1 - Mr. Grimsley

1 - Mr. Mints Attn: Mr. Mathews

Dear Requester:

Enclosed are copies of documents from our files. Excisions have been made from these documents and/or entire documents withheld in order to protect materials which are exempted from disclosure by the following subsections of Title 5, United States Code, Section 552 and Section 552a. The exemption number(s) indicated by a mark appearing in the block to the left of the subsection cited constitutes the authority for withholding the deleted material. (See below and reverse side of this sheet for an explanation of these exemptions.)

[(b) (7) (A)

(b) (7) (B)

(b) (7) (C)

	(b) (4)	(b) (7) (D)	(k) (2)	
	(b) (5)	(b) (7) (E)	(k) (3)	
	(b) (6)	(b) (7) (F)	(k) (4)	
		(b) (8)	(k) (5)	
		(b) (9)	(k) (6)	
			(k) (7)	
WAILED 12	If you believe investigation of other person the specific incident or occur plocate. The retrieve and process of the provisions of both the section \$52) and the Privacy per a determined by the Attorn the section, any documents whi were also processed under the received the greatest degree You have thirt General from any denial conta Attorney General (Attention: The envelope and the letter section appeal.* See additional See additional Attorney General from the letter section appeal.*	your name may also have sor some organization, rrence and time frame. ss any such records. or information concerning Freedom of Information Act of 1974 (Title 5, Unley General that requests e Privacy Act. In additionable were found to be exemprovisions of the POTA. of access authorized by your days from receipt of the control of the	Thereafter, further effort wing yourself has been considered to (FOIA) (Title 5, United 8 ited States Code, Section 552 by individuals seeking information, as a matter of administrate of the found of the section of t	cident to the ils describing ill be made ed in light states Code, is). It has mation about stive Privacy Act you have Deputy Attorney to the Deputy on, D. C. 20530.

Mr. Harold Weisberg

THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

This release is comprised of documents from the Chicago, St. Louis and Washington Field Office Murkin files. This completes the processing of all Chicago, St. Louis and Washington Field Office files pertinent to your request.

Also included in this release are documents which have been approved for release by other agencies from which they originated, and serial (3763) and photographs of various individuals which the Department of Justice has directed to be released pursuant to the appeal review.

Documents currently being released consist of 1,932 pages. Pursuant to the 6 cents per page duplication fee as established by the Department of Justice, the amount due for this material is \$115.92. Please remit a check in the amount of \$115.92, made payable to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, in payment of these fees.

The documents included in this release have been reviewed on appeal by Department of Justice Attorney Doug Mitchell.

This release completes the processing of all Headquarters and field office files pertinent to your request. Releases will continue to be made as we receive documents which were forwarded to other agencies for their review. Also, any documents which are declassified by the Departmental Review Committee will likewise be released to you.

In reference to your letter dated October 18, 1977, concerning your Privacy Act request for information contained in the Baltimore, Dallas, New Orleans, and Nemphis Field Offices, the following is provided for your assistance. Should you desire a check of our Field Office files, you are advised that a listing of them as separate indices has been published in the Federal Register, Volume 40, Number 167 - Wednesday, August 27, 1975. It would be incumbent upon you to so designate your requests directly to them.

Your patience and ecoperation are appreciated.

B STATES GOVERNMENT 1emorandum JUNE . DeLoach DATE: May 9, 1968 1 - Mr. DeLoach 1 - Mr. Rosen 1 - Mr. Malley 1 - Mr. McGowan 1 - Mr. Long Mr. Gale 1 - Mr. Conrad PURPOSE: To recommend the installation of a technical surveillance (TESUR) on the telephones of Albert and Carol Pepper, St. Louis, Missouri, and the telephone listed to the Grapevine Tavern in St. Louis, Missouri, owned by Carol Pepper, subject's sister, and operated by John Larry Ray, subject's brother, and the installation of a microphone surveillance at the residences of Carol Pepper, and John Larry Ray, and at the Grapevine Tayern. These installations could assist in the early apprehension of the subject, which could possibly be instrumental in reducing the stresses and tension placed on our national security subsequent to the death of Martin Luther King, Jr. BACKGROUND: We are presently conducting exhaustive and extensive investigation to determine the present whereabouts of the subject James Earl Ray, who is one of the TEN MOST WANTED FUGITIVES. Although many hundreds of interviews have been conducted and leads run out, we have not been able to locate the subject nor have we located any person who can furnish us any information as to the subject's present whereabouts. It has been determined that Carol Pepper, the sister of the subject, and John Larry Ray, the brother of the subject, are the closest relatives to him. Carol is married to Albert Pepper and they reside at 2025 Belleview, St. Louis, Missouri, telephone number 645-2948. John Larry Ray resides at 1900 A Cherokee, St. Louis, Missouri, no telephone listed. Carol presently owns the Grapevine Tavern, 1982 Arsenal, St. Louis, Missouri, telephone number PR 6-9417. This tavern is operated by John Larpy Ray. John Larry Ray has expressed a cooperative attitude; however, it is felt that he is not giving us complete and accurate information. Carol Pepper refuses to submit to interview and is not cooperative. It is felt that If the subject telephones or personally contacts any of the relatives, it will most likely be Carol Pepper or brother John Larry Ran-CONTINUED - OV MAY 22 1968

Memorandum to Mr. DeLoach RE; MURKIN

RECOMMENDATION: That a technical surveillance be installed on the telephones of Albert and Carol Pepper and the Grapevine Tavern and a microphone surveillance be installed at the residences of Albert and Carol Pepper and John Larry Ray and at the Grapevine Tavern.

Attached for approval is a memorandum to the Attorney General requesting authority for this coverage.

cym da sk

- gram

A.G. will approve

ak,

1 - Mr. Long

July 27, 1977

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 - Old Pance Fond Frederick, Maryland 21701

Section 552

Dear Requester:

Subject of Request:

Attn: Mr. Groover

1 - Mr. Grimsley

X

Section 552a

Assassination of Dr. Martin Luther

King, Jr.

1 - Mr. Mints

Attn: Mr. Matthews

Enclosed are copies of documents from our files. Excisions have been made from these documents and/or entire documents withheld in order to protect materials which are exempted from disclosure by the following subsections of Title 5, United States Code, Section 552 and Section 552a. The exemption number(s) indicated by a mark appearing in the block to the left of the subsection cited constitutes the authority for withholding the deleted material. (See below and reverse side of this sheet for an explanation of these exemptions.)

CIPU

(b) (1)	(b) (7) (A)	(d) (5)	•
. [(b) (2)	(b) (7) (B)	[(j) (2)	
(b) (3)	(b) (7) (C)	[] (k) (1)	
(b) (4)	(b) (7) (D)	(k) (2)	
(b) (5)	(b) (7) (E)	(k) (3)	٠.
(b) (6)	(b) (7) (P)	[(k) (4)	
	(b) (8)	(k) (5)	
	(b) (9)	(k) (6)	
		(k) (7)	
The decision to wit Clarence M. Relley, Director		our records is the responsibility of	
Your request for the provisions of both the section 552) and the Privacy by the Attorn themselves are governed by the liscretion, and documents whitere also processed under the section the greatest degree for the section and documents whitere also processed under the section and denial contains the envelope and the letter section appeal.	rrence and time frame. The same such records. or information concerning Preedom of Information Act of 1974 (Title 5, Unit ey General that requests be Privacy Act. In addition the characteristic of the Folk. Of access authorized by both y days from receipt of the ined herein. Appeals should fine of Privacy and Informatical Street, and Informati	s letter to appeal to the Deputy Attor ild be directed in writing to the Deput ormation Appeals), Washington, D. C. 2 reedom of Information Appeal® or "Info	ney e
bee additional	Throtageton on continuet	on page.	
	Keines	M. Comer	
Enclosures (7) JM	Preedom of	See NOTE page 3	Par Par
- Int room the	but it the thin	pater em 6962	4 1

Mr. Harold Weisberg

Bections 86 through 91 of the Headquarters' Murkin files bulky enclosure 5586 which consists of Exhibits Section material; lab reports pertaining to ballistics, spectrography and the examination of cigarette butts; serials (3509) and (3764) which were previously denied but are now being released pursuant to the department appeal review; and one black and white photograph showing an aerial view of the Lorraine Notel and the surrounding area.

Included in this material are duplicated copies of various photographs. Please advise us of the specific photographs which you desire photographic copies of and these will be provided to you.

Pursuant to a decision made by the Department of Justice, you are to be afforded a 40 percent reduction of fees for all documents which are released in response to your December 23, 1975, Freedom of Information Act request for Murkin material. This fee reduction applies to any charges for material to be released in the future and also to any charges assessed against you for Murkin material which has previously been furnished. Our records indicate that we have collected a total amount of \$1,984.80 in fees for payment of Murkin material which has been released to you. Application of the 49 percent fee reduction standard to that amount determines that we now owe you a refund of \$793.92. A request has been submitted to our Finance and Personnel Division for a check in that amount to be made payable to Marold Weisberg. The check should be soon forthcoming and will be immediately forwarded to you. Hereafter, all charges assessed against you for Murkin material will be at the rate of \$.06 per page.

Bocuments ourrently being released consist of 1,639 pages. Additionally, 612 pages of documents pertaining to latent fingerprint examinations were provided to you on June 30, 1977. Pursuant to the \$.06 per page duplication fee as established by the Department of Justice, the amount due for this material is \$135.06.

Mr. Marold Weisberg

Also, one 8 x 10 black and white photograph is being teleased at the cost of \$1.80, which again reflects the 10 percent reduction standard. Please remit a check in the amount of \$136.86, made payable to the Federal Sureau of Investigation in payment of all those fees currently due for Murkin material which has been released to you.

Thank you for your patience and cooperation in this matter.

- 1 James H. Lesar, Esq. 1231 Fourth Street, S.W. Washington, D. C. 20004
- 1 Mr. John Dugan United States Attorney's Office United States Courthouse 3rd and Constitution, W.W. Washington, D. C. 20001
- 1 The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Mr. Doug Mitchell

NOTE: This release was comprised of 1,639 pages from Sections 86 - 91 and serials 3509, 3769, 5920 and 5586. Mr. Weisberg is being notified of payment due of \$136.86. This amount includes charges for this 1,639 pages, 612 pages which were released on 6/30/77, and a charge of \$1.80 for an 8 x 10 black and white photograph which is being provided. This concludes the processing of Murkin files at Headquarters. We are continuing to process other Headquarters files which are responsive to Mr. Weisberg's December 23, 1975, FOIA request.

the second section & the second second

1 - Mr: Long

To: Legat, Ottown (44-4) From: Director, FBI (44-38861) 5 708

MURKIN

Re Legat Ottawn teletype to the Bureau dated 4-11-69.

Dureau files contain no information to the effect that lists were received by Mational States Rights Party at Birmingham or any other location as allegedly transmitted by John William Beattie or David Stanley of the Canadian Mational Socialist Party.

For the information of Legat Ottawn, Jesse B. Stoner is vice-chairman of the National States Rights Party whose offices are currently based in Savannah, Georgia.

Keep the Bureau advised of pertinent developments.

1 - Hemphis (44-1987)

1 - Foreign Lisison (Cleared through SA Graha Day)

REL: jms
(6);
SEE NOTE PAGE TWO.

SO APR 2 3 1969

5 6 APR 2 3 1969

A Charles Strain Strain

RELEASED IN ENTIRETY

(C-14)

EXHIBIT 4

NOTE:

Legat Ottawa advised us that John William Beattle, leader of Canadian National Socialist Party, was in contact with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) and said that David Stanley, who at one time was a member of the Canadian National Socialist Party, had forwarded names of Toronto residents to the National States Rights Party (NSRP) in Birmingham in order that the publication "Thunderbolt" could be forwarded to them. Stanley allegedly forwarded lists of 200 to 300 names on several occasions in 1965 and feels that the names of Sneyd, Bridgeman, and Galt (aliases of James Earl Ray) were among the names forwarded. The RCMP places little value on any of this information as Beattle is continually seeking any recognition through press and news media.

William Bradford Huie, author, stated the names Sneyd, Bridgeman, and Galt were obtained by Ray through old editions of newspapers.

Beattie is an American Nazi Party advocate and there is no indication he ever associated with the NSRP. We have no knowledge of Stanley or Beattie forwarding such lists to NSRP in Birmingham or any other location according to Racial Intelligence Section, Domestic Intelligence Division.

Legat Ottawa requested to be advised concerning these lists and this communication is advising him of such.



April 12, 1969

GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION

This is the case involving the murder of Martin Luther King, Jr.

The attached is information furnished by the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) regarding Jesse B. Stoner, (Ray's present attorney). Stoner is official of the National States Rights Party (NSRP), headquarters Savannah, Georgia, which publishes the "Thunderbolt".

Bureau files reflect that Beattie is an American Nazi Party advocate and no indication be ever associated with the NSRP.

We have no knowledge of Stanley forwarding a list to the NSRP, Birmingham, Alabama.

According to William Bradford Huie, author who has been writing articles concerning Ray, the names of Sneyd, Bridgeman and Galt were obtained through old editions

of newspapers.
We will furnish RCMP through Legat, &
Ottawa the information requested.

OTT 65 5-28 PM JHC
URGENT 4-11-69
TO DIRECTOR (44-38861)
FROM LEGAT OTTAVA (44-4

VIA TELETYPE
APR 11 1969
ENCIPHERED

ילייע

Mr. DeLeast
Mr. DeLeast
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Blahop
Mr. Casper
Mr. Callahan
Mr. Conrad
Mr. Pelt
Mg Gli
Mcgroolej
Mr. Sulliven
Mr. Trotter
Tela Room
Miss Holmes
Miss Gandy

MURKIN.

POYAL CAMADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

CANADIAN MATIONAL SOCIALIST PARTY, WHOSE PHILOSOPHY AND

POLITICAL IDEOLOGIES ARE EXTREMELY RIGHT WING AND WHO

MAS WRITTEN SEVERAL ARTICLES AND GIVEN NUMEROUS SPEECHES ON ANTISEMITISH AND ANTI-NEGRO EVENTS, WAS RECENTLY IN CONTACT WITH

THE TORONTO RCMP OFFICE. AT THAT TIME, BEATTIE SAID DAVID

STANLEY, WHO AT THAT TIME WAS ALSO A MEMBER OF THE CANADIAN

MATIONAL SOCIALIST PARTY, HAD FORWARDED NAMES OF TORONTO RESIDENTS

TO THE NATIONAL STATES RIGHTS PARTY IN BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA, IN ORDER THAT

THE PUBLICATION "THUNDERBOLT" COULD BE FORWARDED TO THEM. ACCORDING

TO BEATTIE, STANLEY CHOSE THESE NAMES FROM THE TORONTO CITY DIRECTORY

AND FORWARDED LISTS OF TWO TO THREE HUNDRED NAMES ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS.

THIS INCIDENT REPORTEDLY OCCURRED IN SIXTYFIVE AND SHORTLY THEREAFTER.

REC-105

44-36661-31

AFR 18 883 Paged Ottoward 4-15-65

APR NOS

COPY SENT TO MR. TOLSON

RELEASED IN ENTIRETY

PAGE TWO

ACCORDING TO BEATTIE, STANLEY WENT TO THE SOUTHERN STATES AND WENT ON A SPEAKING TOUR WITH J. B. STONER, WHO, ACCORDING TO THE PRESS, IS NOW THE DEFENSE COUNSEL FOR JAMES EARL RAY.

BEATTIE SAYS HE FEELS THE NAMES RAYMOND SNEYD, PAUL BRIDGEMAN.

AND ERIC VINCENT GALT WERE AMONG THOSE FORWARDED TO STONER AND THE NAMES AND IDENTITIES OF THE ABOVE INDIVIDUALS WERE EVENTUALLY UTILIZED BY RAY. HE SAID HE FEELS THERE IS A CONSPIRACY BETWEEN STONER AND RAY AND OTHERS IN THE MURDER OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, BUT ADMITS THIS IS ONLY A PRESUMPTION ON MISPART.

RCMP, TORONTO, INDICATE THEY PLACE LITTLE VALUE ON ANY INFO RECEIVED FROM BEATTIE AS ME IS CONTINUALLY SEEKING ANY RECOGNITION THROUGH PRESS AND NEWS MEDIA. ACCORDING TO RCMP, IT WAS LEARNED HE HAD ORIGINALLY OFFERED THE ABOVE 18FO TO THE PRESS AND WHEN NOTHING APPEARED IN THE PRESS, ME THEN WENT TO THE RCMP.

RCMP, TORONTO, MAVE BEEN REQUESTED TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW STANLEY IN AN EFFORT TO CONFIRM ABOVE INFO AND, FURTHER, TO INTERVIEW SNEYD, BRIDGEMAN AND GALT IN EFFORT TO DETERMINE IF THEY EVER RECEIVED PUBLICATION "THUNDERBOLT" OR OTHER MATERIAL WHICH COULD MAVE ORIGINATED WITH THE NATIONAL STATES

PAGE THREE

RIGHTS PARTY IN BIRMINGHAM.

BUREAU REQUESTED TO ADVISE OF ANY INFO RECEIVED THROUGH ITS
SOURCES TO INDICATE ANY SUCH LISTS RECEIVED FROM CANADIAN NATIONAL
SOCIALIST PARTY.

EMP

LRC FBI WASH DC

SED OC: MR; BRENNAN

RELEASED IN ENTIRETY

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

June 24, 1977

3

1 - Mr. Long Attn: Mr. Groover

1 - Mr. Grimsley CIPU

1 - Mr. Mintz

Attn: Mr. Matthews

This will confirm the furnishing to your attorney, Mr. James Lesar, on June 17, 1977, by a representative of the FBI, 954 pages of documents pertaining to your request concerning the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. This release resulted from Special Agent John A. Hartingh's telephone call to Mr. Lesar on June 16, 1977, to advise him the 954 pages were available for your acquisition. Receipt of Mr. Lesar's check in the amount of \$95.40, in payment of these documents is acknowledged.

The 954 pages of information furnished to you were releasable under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Title 5, United States Code, Section 552. The deletions made in this material and documents exempted in their entirety are based on one or more of the following subsections of Section 552:

- (b) (2) materials related solely to the internal rules and practices of the FBI;
- (b) (5) inter-agency or intra-agency documents which are not available through discovery proceedings during litigation; or documents whose disclosure would have an inhibitive effect upon the development of policy and administrative direction; or which represent the work product of an attorney-client relationship;

inhibitive effect upon the de

of policy and administrative

or which represent the work p

of an attorney-client relation

fin & Port | rph:vll

MAILED 10 /

See NOTE page 3

MAILED 10 / JUN 27 1977 FBI

RAK

Logal Coun...
Plens & hosp...
Box. & hosp...
Spec. & hos...
Vestalog...
Vestal

TELETYPE UNIT

POLITO O

.

- (b) (7) investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes, the disclosure of which woulds
 - (C) constitute an unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of another person;
 - (D) reveal the identity of an individual who has furnished information to the FBI under confidential circumstances or reveal information furnished only by such a person and not apparently known to the public or otherwise accessible to the FBI by overt means.

The worksheets which were provided to you along with this release will indicate which exemptions have been invoked for each serial.

We are currently reviewing other records concerning your FOIA request and this material will be made available as soon as possible.

Your patience and cooperation are appreciated.

Sincerely yours, . M. Kelley

Clarence M. Kelley Director

- 1 James H. Lesar, Esq. 1231 Fourth Street, S.W. Washington, D. C. 20004
- 1 Mr. John Dugan United States Attorney's, Office United States Courthouse, 3rd and Constitution, N.W. Washington, D. C. 20001

The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Mr. Doug Mitchell

Mr. Harold Weisberg

NOTE: This release was comprised of 954 pages of documents from Sections 78, 79 80, 81 and 82 of the Murkin file (44-38861). On June 16, 1977, Jim Lesar, Weisberg's attorney, was called by SA John A. Hartingh and advised that 954 pages were available for release. Mr. Lesar came in on June 17, 1977, on behalf of his client, Mr. Harold Wesiberg, and the documents were furnished to him by SA John A. Hartingh. Receipt, which is attached, was obtained from Mr. Lesar as well as his personal check in the amount of \$95.40. Mr. Weisberg was advised of his appeal rights and the appeal process in our letter dated December 9, 1976, acknowledging our first release of Murkin material in respose to his December 23, 1975, FOIA request.

* SERIAL 5708 CONTAINED IN SECTION 79.

- DeLoach 1emorandum 1 - Rosen 1 - Gale 20 00 MR. TOLSON COPIES MADE. DATE D. DeLOACH SARE Michaly Brises FOR REVIEW AT FRING AND OR DELIVERS TO HSCA RE REQUEST UNTED 2/3/28 MURKIN BUBIECT: 1SEE BUFILE 62-117290) Mr. Rosen, very properly, advised me this afternoon that SAC, Jensen, Memphis, had called the Civil Rights Section, General Investigative Division, relative to a call he, Jensen, had received from Shelby County Prosecutor Canale, as well as later call received from Assistant Attorney General Stephen Prosecutor Canale called Jensen to say there appeare to be somewhat of a problem involving Stephens, the important witness who saw James Earl Ray leaving the flopbouse, 420 South Main Street, immediately following the assassination of King. 🖘 Stephens is a drunk, is disreputable, and of course there can be mo assurance as to his whereabouts unless he is closely followed. Canale called Jensen to indicate a desire that the FBI take Stephens into protective custody and hold him until the trial of James Earl Ray. Jensen, very properly, told Canale that the FBI could not do this. Canale replied that if Jensen didn't mind he would call Assistant Attorney General Pollak about the matter. Jensen told Canale that it was entirely up to him as to whether or not he called Pollak. Apparently Canale did call Pollak and immediately thereafter Pollak placed a long distance call to our SAC, Jensen in Jensen called FBI Headquarters before answering Pollak's Memphis. call. I told Rosen to call SAC Jansen back and tell him that he should answer Assistant Attorney General Pollak's call but to flatly inform Pollak that he should deal with FBI Headquarters In the meantime, I attempted to ball Pollak. In his absence, I talked with Bob Owen, Pollak Bob State assistant. I told

In the meantime, I attempted to ball Pollak. In his absence, I talked with Bob Owen, Pollak Appliest assistant. I teld Owen that Pollak nor any other Assistant Attorney General should attempt to deal directly with our face inassuch as such attempts werely lead to confusion and inefficiency. I stated also that the Sace, of necessity, would clear with FBI Headquarters prior to be putting into effect any instructions issued by the Department of Justice. Owen replied that he agreed and he did not know why

(4)

79 JUL 10 1968

1 JUL 9 1968

CONTLINUED - OTER

6-4

44

MEMO TO: MR. TOLSON

Pollak had called Jensen directly. He stated that Pollak probably desired to discuss the Canale call. I interrupted Owen and told a him I was well aware of Canale's request that the FBI had no little intentions whatsoever of acting as a "baby sitter" for the Shelby County Prosecutor's Office. I stated this is a problem strictly for the Prosecutor and not one for the FBI. Owen stated that he also agreed with this statement and that in his opinion Canale should attempt to get a capable detective to "sit with" Stephens until the James Earl Ray trial took place.

Assistant Attorney General Pollak returned my call at 5:35 p.m. He told me he regretted getting in wrong channels and that perhaps he should have called Rosen or me prior to attempting to reach SAC Jensen. I told him this was absolutely right and that he should realize better efficiency would be accomplished by going through proper channels. He stated he would be guided accordingly in the future.

Pollak told me Canale had made no such request of him. He said Canale apparently told our SAC one thing and then when he called Pollak he merely asked advice. I told Pollak nevertheless Canale should realize that the FBI would not be his "baby sitter." Pollak agreed. He stated he had made a record of Canale's call to him and that while he had refused to offer Canale any advice, he had wentured the thought that protective custody of Stephens might ruin him as a witness. He stated that the proposition had been discussed that some detective might take Stephens fishing until the James Earl Ray case took place. I told him this, of course, was entirely up to the Shelby County Prosecutor's Office and not the FBI.

ACTION:

For record purposes.

Very properly handled.



