227

FBI-King Section 62 Serial 4760 6/25/68 St. Louis Report 202 pp. released of 260 Blanket claim to exemption without regard to individual serials, 7(C)(D) and b3

X These are usually indexed. This one has none.

Obliteration of names and subject extensive in table of contents, administrative. Entire pages this section, of cover, withheld without explanation. There is no citation of any single statute the applicability of which is claimed to justify b3.

X 4 full grafs reporting what Judge asey said obliterated on Cover P. H. Clam Javany Judge

- If the withholdings on N are under 7(D) the content makes it clear that this information was not available from the obliterated source only. Pages I through M withheld. There is no claim to the hiding of an informant's identification.
- On O the masking is so extensive it appears to include the "re" in "recontacted."

 The name appears to be that of a criminal whose name is known, in fact released earlier in these Sections.
- T same masking "re." Even the number of a building is withheld. (This relates to the Alton bank robbery about which the FBI fed the press false information indicating Ray financed himself by that job when it knew the information it had was false and in any event not conclusive if not false.

AA has an account of the robbers wearing the tips cut off the fingers of rubber gloves. This is what was later alleged against ohn when it was alleged such gloves, without the fingers, were found in his car. Even when it was not alleged to be the first getaway car.

- X FF the reasons the masked name expected to see Carol Pepper is withheld.
- TT obliterates the public records of arrests and charges relating to the father of Carol pepper. She can have how many fathers?
- WW-the withheld names have already been released and there is no privacy or source issue possible.
- AAA withholds the name on the check drawn by Carol Pepper for her father's down payment of \$1900 on the property sold at the Sheriff's foreclosure sale.

asking in table of contents includes thenames of those interviewed. There can be no question of privacy with all these people having been tried and convicted publicly and all relevant records being public records. Besides, I'm sure the names have bee used before.

15 ff This name is already public. I believe it is Owens. I'm also sure that what is masked on 20 about the masked robbery is also available and not subject to withholding if it were not. It has to do with the robbery for which Ray was serving time when he escaped.

- 59 Even the date on which a former inmate claims to have spoken to fay in St. louis is withled Gregorian privacy?
- X 71 refers to "The Cat Man," whose name is known and who is dead. 72 hides date and place of birth.
- × 95 even the letter signifying the hall of Mopen in which this inmate was is masked.

X 103 missing.

In the man interviews with the father what follows recording of informing him of the habboring statute is always masked.

Page numbers jump from 166 to 174 w/o explanationFrom the table of contents these relate to bank records. I see no immunity and in the past none has been claimed.

other records of bonds turning sufforma

sel x