To uin Shea from Harold W storg re fing appeals Patte son, Geppe. and other informers St. fouis Field Office withholdings Deliberate FBI Violation of Stipulations Hap y Cointelproing with FOIA Requester and House Constitute

7+:

一日の一日の一日

These are the subjects of this memorandum. In part they come from the enclosed co, y of an SHI it. "ouis record. This is clearly within my requests and within the FBI's own stipulations and as of this moment the FBI continues to withhold it and other related records. As I have already informed you the FBI was violating its own Stipulations before they provided a single record under them. In this instance Department councel only recently gave personal assurances to the Court that the FBI hid complied with the stipulations. She accompnied this with some slurs on my councel, perhaps me also. I'm not checking the transcript.

10/3. ()

WHE

As I have also told you, there is an inevitable relationship between my PA request and the records still withheld in this case. (As also PA records are withheld and I filed coparate requests with each field office.)

As Ray's defense investigator I conducted the habeas corpus investigation. This required me to go to Leavenworth to interview several prisoners there. The Department was aware of this and made the necessary arrangements. When I was asked to appear on a St. Louis TV show with Jerry Ray, whow I knew well, J.B.Stoner, whom I'd nevor met, former Department employee Laue and Gerold Frank, I accepted the invitation because it guid nost of my costs in getting to Leavenworth. Whether or not Stoner was then still of Ray's counsel, he had been and there were watters Ix wanted to discuse with him because of it. The station put us all up in the same hotel. I had private meetings with Stoner and " rank, neither of whom was alone. I also welked from the station back to the hotel with Stoner and his companions. I believe we also walked to the station together. It now turns out that some of Stoner's companions were FJI inforwers. To put this another way, the FBI was spying on the May defense and has withheld all such records of this improper activity. Even when the Stipulations called for their production. Ly source on this is one of the FJI's finks. My concern over these withholdings is magnified when I recall the various Fil fabrications relating to me, particularly with regard to Stoner. . In providing you with proofs of the identification of two of the FBI's informers,

In providing you want provide you please see to it that belated FBI compliance those here identified, I asked that you please see to it that belated FBI compliance is not stalled further so it can engaged in still further Cointelproing. If me and of the Court in particular. (I do not believe that Government counsel made up the false statement about complete FBI compliance with the Stipulations but I guess it false statement about complete FBI compliance with the Stipulations but I guess it could be said that not for the first time the FBI Cointelproed its own counsel, tolerant ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED and endlessly trusting co: <u>An order to prevent any ne</u> <u>i</u> oper such Fill exploits, I ask that you seek immediate and total compliance with regard to these informers, the St. Mouis and other relevant field offfces and that there be an accompanying first-person affidavit in all cases. I have just sent you proof that the FBI remained in touch with Oliver Patterson, one of the informers, the one to whom the enclosed Full record relates.

The Youse Counciltee Cointelpros easily but I have no desire for such adventures to continue. Its hearings are about to resume. The relevant public hearings are not scheduled to last past the end of November. I therefore believe that I should have these records promptly in order to have them in case of need.

I would appreciate having some assurance of a close date of compliance on this matter or I shall ask him. Lesar to file a hotion to Compel, if he considers it proper. By this I mean in time for there of be a full airing at the coming statue call, which is only three we ks away.

The attached records also bear on consistent FJI misrepresentations about its practise with its informers. This is perhaps the third or fourth illustration I have provided. Its claim to withholding identification stops at the edge of its occan of Cointelpro possibilities. The FoI therefore Cointelproed the committee by not withholding Patterson's name from it when it provided records to the committee/ This record was provided to my source by the committee.

PRIOR

COMI

он

Of course, this also is a misrepresentation to the Court and one into which Department counsel, all or most, have been enticed by the PbL.

Please note that one file only is indicated on this FAI record. There appears to Please note that one file only is indicated on this FAI record. There appears to be the cortainty from this that in the St. Louis FO there is an index indication where other copies are filed. Utherwise, it would be imposcible to retrieve the inwhere other copies are filed. Utherwise, it would be imposcible to retrieve the information for which the FEI used its informants. Of course, this may be on a separate sheet or sheets, also withheld. There has to be more, like the records of forwardings.

Please note also that the Stipulations, which were automatically nullified by non-compliance, did not limit informations about the Rays to MUKIN records. You will obs rve that the SA involved is one of those whose names I provided

Hat & Law Barry

1

N

quite some time ago. I understand he was reastingned to Tampa. The attached informant's report is not complete. It was made incomplete by this

The attached informant's report is not control to his then wife Leslie. The SA in the following manner: Patterson dictated the report to his then wife Leslie. The SA came, read the report and told the Pattersons what to omit. (I am aware that FOIA SA came, read the report and told the Pattersons what to omit. (I am aware that FOIA covers only existing records.) Under the Sa's direction then l.rs. Patterson retyped the report, omitting the kind of information the FBI did not want to have. Like Jerry "ay's insistence that his brother did not fire the fatal shot and inconsistencies.

I do not want you t \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ er any misap rehension abou \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ on. I was certain b. ore he care here that he was an informer. I did not dream that he had been an FaI informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his informer and that the FdI had remained in contact with him as late as the end of his the most recent illustration I am not willing to presume it will be truthful. Howthe most recent illustration I am not willing to some Addition dependable assurances that whether by Patterson or by other means there were no reports of any form relating to me that reached the FdI.If there were I believe they should be provided promptly.

You are aware that I have a relationship with Patterson. I provided a tape from him reflecting this. By conversation today was interrupted by his having callers. I did not get to ask him if he has knowledge of any current reporting. It could have been by other means, as from the committee or separate surveillances which there is reason to believe there were.

You and Jim Lesar will have copies in the same mail. If you desire to inform "s. Ginsberg in the ovent the mailing to "r. Lesar is delayed. I have no objection.

Unless you desire commendations added to the personnel folders of those in the FBI who are responsible for this, there is no point in informing the FBI.

Jude