Dear Michard,

The closer I came to the end of the second and less hasty reading of my HQ Murkin notes the more I began to wonder whether, after I have all the duplicate copiesxider made it will be necessary for David's purpose, which, please understand, I do not really know, for him to go over all the many MURKIN and related records. I enclose the last two pgges of the notes on the chance they can be of interest to him.

If I had a clearer understanding of his purpose and what he intends to evolve or produce perhaps I might be more helpful. I think I've suggested that getting and analyzing HQ HURKIN alone might be indaequate and could be the subject of complaint about what he does do. In part this is because the other files I think I've mentioned for contain information that is relevant. Like the strike and Invaders diles (on which my friend Jerry McKnight did two scholarly articles if he'd like copies of them), the field office MURKIN records, I think seven offices, and the OPN's disclosed records and report.

If he decides to get the latter, Jim Lesar has all that is disclosed, I do not. They just got a new machine in the next door nuttery of Bud Fensterwald and I think it is likely that copies can be gotten from that AARC for less than 10¢ a page.

I don't know how many copies I've made or how many more will be made based on my more careful reading of my HQ notes or will be made on what I've just begin, the closer reading of the three volumes about which my notes excitted me so much I forgot my Poe, or how majny I'll be adding when I go over what I have of the lab records and another file set aside for the more careful reading I've just begun. Helen did not complete the copying I'd indicated on the rapid scanning so I could not make any stimate now. But I believe I'll have quite a few duplicated and they will not include any of the crap that is most of the file by volume.

I've written the woman who did the initial filing for me and some excellent research for the FOIA case to see if she can recall where I filed the field office notes. If she does not recall I'm pretty sure one for each volume should be with each volume. This, if true, will take more time but it should tell me what - picked up on what had to be a very rapid reading because of the situation in the lawsuit.

I go into this necause I'll have a duplicate set of the copies we make now and when I finish.

I've no reason, after going over the notes I have just finished, to diminish in any way the opinion I've given you and substantial reason to regard even that as understated. My earlier book could not have had a more approrpiate title and as best a non-lawyer can have an opinion, the deliberate and intended violation of rights also was understated. I'll have a very substantial file of copies on this.

Best.

We are OK. Hope all of you are.

Harris

3/4/91