To Quin Shea from Earold Weisberg, appeals: King Resassination records; JFN assassination records

While ordinarily I would delay to have time to acquire more information and to be able to provide copies of records to save your staff time the circumstances that lead to my making these appeals now suggest that the FEI is off on a Cointelpro operations egainst me and is determined to waste as much of the time I have left as it can.

KING

8/8/78

If this is not the intent it is the effect.

As of the information I not have available, which is quite incomplete, the sugtion of news management by the FEI cannot be avoided.

Ordinarily also I would write you separately about these two historical subjects. - have only one life and one work. Interference with and intrusion into any aspect of - my work is for me intrusion into all of it. In this case both are intertwined by acts - and failures to act by the FEL.

It is an accepted belief and practise that schelars are entitled to first use of their work and what it yields. With regard to the Eyers matter, of which you are sware i filed two appeals, first a verbal one by my lawyer and immediately a written one. At his request and without any guid pro quo or other conditions I assigned this first use to Bob Adams of the "t." outs "ost Dispetch. Pecause of the distance that exparates us and the news management that had already been accompliabed I asked Mr. Adams to inform you of this and I asked my lawyer to confirm it to you. In return I was led to believe that this first use would be preserved and would be followed by a general release. In appears to have been confirmed to my lawyer yesterday afternoon by FEISA Horace I. Beck

Ey lowyer read the released records to we, in part, by phone, after which I immediconferred with Er. Adams. There is content in the released records that require the know ledge of a subject-expert if the information is to be reported honestly and fairly and not as part of an official prospands campaign. This content is of such a nature that the lest and most housest and honorable reporters might well be missid in reporting it end thus might mislead the country.

After supper lastinight Mr. Adams phoned me to inform me that his editors had told him that a story on these Byers records was moving on the New York Times wire as an exclusive to the New York "imes.

On the first segment of Good Morning America shortly after 7 e.m. the treatment of the content of these records amounts to official propagands. It was limited to the representation that James Earl Ear had been paid \$10,000 after the ascession for Dr. F for the assessination of Dr. King.

This is not a fair representation of the content, whether or not the content is truthful or accurate.

It is the invitable corrected of denial of first up to the orthous counter.

and subject expert whether or not this was the FEI's intent.

-- i s

Whether or not the FEI so intended this also is an obvious manipulation of the upcoming Bouse Assassinations Committee hearings. Thr. Bay reportedly is to be the first witness at those hearings.

In connection with the forcgoing I remind you that for a decade the FEI refused to do anything about my FOLA requests for information relating to the King assessination, forced me into lengthy and costly litigation to obtain it and once it could no longer withhold this information for me again denied me first use by placing it in its reading room and making it available to all. In this the FEI appears also to have led UFI to believe that UFI was responsible for this major release of formerly secret records. UFI therefore informed the entire world that it excludesively had done the work I in fact did at great personal cost. In itself this was costly and hirtful to me and to my work.

With regard to the records SE Beckwith gave Er. "esar for me yesterday I appeal withholdings from them. I also ask for a copy of the identical records from the files r the FEI St. Louis Field Office and for the records that it appears to me must emist relating to the finding and release of the records released. I believe that the record not provided must exist also in FBIEQ and possibly in other field offices.

It is the FEI's explanation of the withholding of these records that are within t content of MREAR records, all of which I was to receive, that an experienced FEI agent made a simple error and misfiled them. (I was not aware that SAS did their own filing. The FEI's story, if not cover story, is that when a search was made for records relati to Kr. Byers these released records were located on therch 13 of this year and then rus to FEIE4 attention the liaison with the Ecuse conmittee.

These records are also within the MINUTH records, relating to which in addition the my request there were FAT-initiated stipulations covering the St. Louis field office. However, the St. Louis office appears not to have sent a copy to the effection of the FOLA unit or in any other way sought to comply with the stipulations, of which it was aware. This failure was duplicated by FEIFG. As a result there was the extensive if mu else misleading news stories of which you are aware while I was for months denied this record and any use of it. Inference of news management cannot be ignored in this. The FEI does know its business and enough FEI senior personnel are sware of U.A. 75-1956.

The FIL appears to have undertaken a new unilateral revision of the Act. "His is that it has the right to withhold by miniling and the right to continue to withhold after discovery of the misfiling. If this could be the case there would be no FOLA. I contest and appeal this FBI interpretation and its acts pursuant to this interpretati Another consequence of this FDI news rangement by FOLA misinterpretation is the fact that the millions of people reached by this morning's ABC telecast were not told that the Eyers records include a serious disputing of englising he might say because he is a "very treacherous man guy" and other such evaluations.

. .:

If by any chance the PEI misfiled in 1974, the 1978 discovery of the 1974 record abould have been followed by correct filing, which in turn required MURKIN filing and providing of copies to me. It now appears that even after the record was located, resh understood and forwarded it still was not filed in NURKIN. On the basis that it is and should have been filed under NURKIN in 1974 and thus provided to me I appeal all the withholdings from it and reprocessing under the proper standards for historical cases, In this I do not request disclosure of the identity of the informer. I do appeal part of the apparent withholding of informer filing. By this I mean that I do not appeal the withholding of the special file designation subordinate to the initial file design tion by which a copy was routed to informer files. I at asking that the fact of this filing now be reflected by not obliterating the first numbers of informer filing. I believe these first number for criminal informants are 137, for political 134. They are not secret and are not properly withhold.

The leak and misuse of these records, now for the second time, in my belief puts them in a special different category and requires the disclosure to me of what under other circumstances might be withheld. This is all the content not urgently required to be withheld relating to all the other information, whether or not it has or can be any MUREIN relationship. My. Eyers himself has been thrust into a different position. eddition to the leak the FEI arranged for him to be a secret witness before the House assassing committee, as he was at least on May B of this year, to my personal observa I believe misupe eliminates the proper application of b2 claim and that the standyads for 7(C)f and (D) should be those of the Attorney General's 5/5/77 statement.

Misuse also requires special kinds of response to me relating to the content all that a short stocky man who walked with a limp paid Easy \$10,000. No such records have been provided. This content both in 1974 and 1978 required the most diligent FEL χ investigation. ABC states there was none. I am asking for a special file search of all possibly relevant files and a first-person affidavit from those who make such search is prepatatement, whether or not i truthful, does not meet the affirmative obligation imposed upon the FBI by the Act.

If there is any investigation of this alleged oversight, I All also request all records of any such investigation.

It is my belief that this entire matter of the Byers records abould be looked into by the Department, not the FBI. If this happens I also request all relevant records.

With regard to JFK assessingtion records that remain withheld from me, I had several inquiries yesterday. These were from a Texas reporter and from three indopendent researchers who came here. Recause of these withholdings I was not able to . provide the assistance that ordinarily I would have provided.

. 1 ----

Also involved is the release to one A.J.Webernan, who styles himself a "Nipple," of photographs taken from a Minox camera possibly possessed by Lee Earvey ^Osweld or Michael Paine. (It is possible that these photographs had already been removed from the camera and processed earlier.)

Mr. McCreight wrote Mr. Nebergan a covering letter I believe you should obtain and read and thereafter apply as FBI standards for the JFM and King records. As read to me by phone Mr. McCreight stated that because of the special historical importance of the JFM records the FEI was practising no withholding at all.

I was led to believe that as the FLI released any JFE records copies would be provided to me. In not a single instance has this happened. I have not received copies of any such records. Specifically I have not received copies of these photographs and any relevant records.

On the subject of photographs, it has been a long time since I offered to go to FEIEQ and review the files of JFX assassination photographs and see if I could limit fay request for copies of them. The FEI has not even soknowledged my letter and this feided effort to accomodate it.

Related to this is the unexpected providing of copies of photographs of President Kennedy's clothing by the FBL. The photographs provided are limited to those I specific I did not want. I repeated my request for the clothing photographs I did and do went. A long time has passed and my letter has not been acknowledged.

Because of my special circumstances and a record that leads to the belief it is no possible to accomplate the FEI or obtain any voluntary compliance from it I now reques prints of all JFX assessination photographs. By this I mean to include not only those are at FBIEQ and in the reading room. I mean to include all of those equirreled away in field offices, including Dallas and hew Orleans but bot limited to them. On still photographs I will accept $\frac{1}{2}/\frac{1}{2}$ 5x7 prints where they are clear and sufficiently comprobabile. "therwise I ask for Sx10s. With regard to movie film my request is for positive prints except where stills were rade, in which event I include copies mit of all such stills. The FEI has left me no real choice. I express the hope that with

regard to other FOIA matters it will not continue to eliminate my orffions.