HOSTY PUDDING

Chiffer 1. Credibility

When I asked the bookstore to hold its one copy of James Patrick Hosty, Jr.'s book on the assassination of President Kennedy for me it had been unsafe for me to I eave the house for nine day. I drove down our lane in which a neighbor had cut in the enve a narrow treeh basely wider than the car and **katsum** more than half as high as it in some places and found that at the road the snow was so high I could not see whether there was any traffic from either direction. At the next ϕ road intrsection the snow piles here even higher and wider. In places but a single lane had been cur through the record-breaking Tall. I was that anxious to get the one copy of Hosty's book that was in town. I'd followed his career in the FBI from the time of the assassination and thereafter with increasing interest. He'd been saying what I would never have believed would not get him fired by the FBI im while he was a special agent. Despite that he survived to get his retirement and then what he wrote was widely accepted on oped Res pages including that of the W all Street Journal.

I was surprised to see that his book is titled <u>Assignement to Swald</u>. Under that on the dusty jacket is what also surp surprised me, "from the F BI agent assigned to investigate Lee Harvey Oswald prior to the JFK assassination." The inside flap of the dust jacket had this startling description of Hosty, "the lead investigator in "begut" the FBI's post-assassination investigation of Ogswald." It then says that he "bagn" to investigate Lee Harvey Oswald in October, 1963." That was a little less startling. The plurbing on that flap concludes, "Hosty's testimony has been universally acknowledged as vital to any complete understanding of the Kennedy assassination. As a wit-⁵ w/b by astruntary! ness to and participant in every stage of the assassination...." Even word of this was news to be. If true.

As it wasn't.

He gave no such testimony anywhere. He also had none of the knowledge he is said to have had.

And rather than being the "leadyinvestigator" in the FBI's investigation after the assassination, on the very day of the assassination he had the Oswald case He thinks an writes of himself as the man who should have been the boss of the investigation and of more. He speaks and writes of himself as the master of all the evidence but in fact he never gets close to the evidence of the crime itself. On that he is **ginarumi** ignorant. In even the special area of his part/cular clim to full knowledge **sf** and to expertise he is a subject-matter ignoranus. In plain English he regularly, systematically lies about the evidence itself, whether it is the actual evidence of the rime itself or of his special hangup he regard as the most important evidence. Which it is not, and he misrepresents that in addition. He conterts its lack of maning into significant meaning that just happened to conform to his own if political preconceptions. About that he is rabid and it never ends.

Konstent with his self -concept, of the main who knew and understand what others did not and could ato not, of himself as the one who should have been in charge, he is critical of just about everyone other than his with Janet. He is oftimic critical of most in the FBI and at the top of from Hoover down; of both is Aurily of Manager Manager of the Warren Commission; of Robert Kennedy, dragged in by the heels and not in any sense relevant of Hosty writes of him, writing of him with professional inaccuracy; and mong others of the media, the media that make him what he has become. After some time passed he was put back on the case to work on Oswald's background. He says on that he was "the lead investigator" ((page 70). There were but two(and Hosty was the only local agent. The other, Warren DefBruey of New Orleans, was so little impressed with Hosty as his "lead investigator" he refused, as Hosty admits, to do what Histy insisted that he dop (pages 79980).

Beginning with his beingxtakenxfreexease having the Oswald case and file taken (huday 1/hu assumption) from him Hosty saw dark consepiracies extending into the FBI itself. But all the conspiracies he sees are again thim and what he said. And probably, more incredible still, undoubfedly believed. on whithch he had done no real work - in fact, the file had just reached him that . all during from New Orlans taken from him.

case had just been referred back to Dalles pour Their On Le en

He was later to refer to that s as some kind of conspiracy within the FBI! The FBI's Frecords I got by lawsuits under the Freedom of Information Act, about a the quarter of a million pages, inclu ding the records of the M Dalls officd to which Host was assigned, do not identify any "lead investigator" on that case. What the FBI refers to as the "case fragent" was not Hosty. It was Robert P. Gemberling. The Dell's agents turned their reports in to him and the reports sent by other field offices to Dallas, which was the "office of origins" also went to him, It

was Gemberling who prepare what the Dallas office sent to headquarters.

By coincidence a friend of mine who is also a freigh of Gemberling's told me in a letter I received three days before I got the the book that "Hosty is blaming everybody but himself for what happened."

If did not get far into the book before it was apparent that was not any kind of exaggeration. That $u \neq v \neq v$. 2B

Beginning on the very first page of text it is the *Missecret Service he & gold* In a gives after, Wathin four pages he has it declining his help. He does not say how he could have helped, only that on the morning of the assassination he spoke to Mike Howard of the Secret Service when he gave the Secret Service a copy of a senasty, anti-Kennedy hadbill it alresdy had. Hosty was aware of the fact that the Servet Secret Service feared being taken over by the FBI. Heaver had su J. Edgar Hoover, the founding director of The Federal Br Burcau of Investigation, had such dreams. He was put out when the Central Intelliegence Agncy was created because he wanted the functions of the We CIA to be given to the FBI, too.

At no point in being critical of other agencies does Hosty refer to a single thing he of the FBI did that could have been helpful for security when the President visited Dalls.By the time he gets to Lieutenant Jack Revill, then head of the police criminal intelligence unit (beginning on page 17), after (e) referring to him as "a competent officer," Hosty's knives are out:

"I had noticed galring weaknesses on his part when it came to the non-organized crime aspects of intelligence work. He had no training in investibating Communists or radical right-wingers, and many times his naivete showed. Revill was also a ruthless y career climber with great ambitions. If necessary he would step on anyto advance his career" (page 18)

The Warren Commission had files on threats against the President. I do not recall that the FBI provided a single one in advance of that trip to Dalls. However, the Dalls police and Revill in particular did. **Items** There were a number from the One in area of Hosty's supposed experies, those "extreme right-wingers." One on particular caught my attention (1) and - got a copy of it. It was Revill's report of Nevember 5, 1963, a week and a half before the assassination. He reported the assistation between the Young Republican 'lub of Aborth Texas University, at Jenton, and General Edwin Walker, a supposed Hosty interest. In fact, Histy says that Walker headed the local wing of the paramilitary The Mingtemen. Giving the name of thos ingolved with Walker Revill reports that one of them Welliam Drew Fitz,

Stated that plans were being made for the coming visit of the President. Fitz stated, quoter, "We'll drag his dick in the dirty dirt." Fitz emphasized that his groupd would have well-planned demonstrations during the President's visit to Dallas.

In the 'ommission's records this is identified as (1316 (c) (4).

Revill also reparted that he had an informnt at the group's planned meting of the night before from whom he hd not yet heard.

All of this was Hosty's turf but I saw no report from him on this or on any so other threat to the President from the many "extreme right-wing" groups he was to cover for the FBL.

I grave Hosty directly on his use of "ext reme" referring to the right wing wolumly because all the reports I got from Dallas, form those who knew him, place Hosty in the Hosty's dislike of Revill come from Revill reporting the day of the *morthy utra The suscent metura* assassination that in a rushed and chance meeting Hosty told him ("That the Federal ^bureau of Investigation as aware of **Oswadd** the Subject [Oswald], and that they had information this Subject was capable of committing the assassination of President Kënnedy" page 266) Revill later attested to this under oath and then testifoed to tr it also under oath. Hosty denied it and in his more placid comments said merely that Revill had misunderstood him.

With regard to threats against the President, Hosty says the guidelines were such that there was little the FBI should have reported to the Secret Service. There was we furt very little that it did.

(Hasty has much to say about that.

Walker was of the right political extreme. He r esigned from the army when he was criticized for trying to indoctrinate the troops of his command with his extremist political beliefs. He was a major factor in the viplence when James Walker Meredith, a black, tried to register at the University of Mississippi. Of him Hosty says he headed the Texas Minutement, a paramilitary group of the far right. With Walker one of Hosty's assigned interests, he did not report what Revill did. Giving the names of those involved with Walker Revill reported that one William Drew Fitz part of the spectrum, in its

as well as his writing at the beginning of this book. and MMMM bout f.

Genberling knew his Hosty : he blames everybody but himself.

Beginning with its title and those blurbs we are in the unreal world of James Patrick Hosty, Jr., a world so g unreal that when he was taken off the g pre-assassination Oswald case he titles his book,<u>Assignment:Oswald</u> and when he was kept fthe case he becomes its "lead investigator."

> As soon as I saw the dust jacket I decided to title this <u>Hosty Pudding</u>. From the first few pages that may be praise for the book.

In it what is unproven is overwhelmingly proven; what he imagines, what from his own political prejudices to be real when it is not, is real no matter how unreal it in fact is. Oswald and the case rare illustrative.

a member Througout Hosty refers to Oswald as a Communist and and & EB/ Comminist party although he knew Oswald gwas not a member. Krazxikexiiiii kawkikiiii Hosty does claim/he was Withe Led" investigator" on "Oswald's background" (page 70) He flaims throughout to have studied all the evidence with care and he cricicizes those he grefers to as Vouffs" for not doing that. Referring on his very last page of text to his having made his so careful study of the evidence as a lead-in to criticizing others he begins, "Anyone who has examined the evidence carefully as (page have...."(@pagex524 254). Oswald's politics certainly are an important part of. his "background" and Hosty was "the lead investigator" on that, he says a, to begin this, his book. to give an understanding of the man, his politicas, and his mind and of the kind of "careful" examination of the "evidence" Hosty made made, with his having colled, Oswald as a Communarist this part of what that official evidence for which Hosty was resonsible actually says. I quote from the first book on the "Commission and the assassi-1965 nation, my Whitewas: the Report on the Warren R Peport. t comes entirely from the published official evidence which it cites

I quote it at some length because it is the <u>actual</u> evidence and because central to Hosty's book and to his theory he prtends is fact and not theory is his saying that Oswald was a Communist and involved in a devious plot or plots with Sovietsand Cubans in Mexico City. Hosty's book is actually Hosty's defense of himself and in **Mexico City**. Hosty's book is actually Hosty's defense of himself and in **Mexico City**. What he created for his defense his representation of Oswald as a Communist is essential:

millet printe spile

Control is and gives them a meaning diametrically opposed to reality. Thrainant sout the Report are references to Oswald's "commitment to Community ism". To most Americans this means the belief and philosophy of the American Communist Party and the Soviet Union. Above all,

[The Report]

4 M Anterican communist vary and the Soviet Union. Above all, Con notes an attachment to the Soviet Union. 4 This was the opposite of the truth. The Commission knew it. () its data prove that Oswald was not, either philosophically by hembership, connected with the Communist Party. He hated it All n \mathcal{H}_{θ} is government of the Soviet Union with passion and expressed \mathcal{H}_{θ} is such what for him was eloquence. his

his fo lings with what for him was eloquence. Give hile seeking to mitigate this forthright misrepresentation with C qually vague and undefined references to "Marxism", which Give a marcian equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the mericans equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the marcian equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the mericans equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the mericans equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the mericans equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the mericans equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the mericans equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the mericans equate with Communism, the Report leaves itself with the start of the moment of his arrest, the police knew all about the start of the first interrogation. Oswald discussed what NOST IN

he considered his politics without inhibition. Insofar as he or they understood what he was talking about, it is, to the degree they desired, reflected in the reports of the interrogators. Ap-

pendix XI consists exclusively of these reports (R598ff.). The moment the police heard Oswald had defected to the Soviet Union and heard from his own lips that he was a "Marxist", they Union and heard from his own lips that he was a "Marxist", they ignored his frank statements about his disapproval of the Soviet Union, and the diversion and "Red scare" were launched. It re-ceived the widest dissemination. Editorial and headline writers needed no encoursement in their and red needed no encouragement in their speculations and inherent accusations of a Communist plot to kill the Fresident. From that moment on, Oswald was even more friendless, the trail of any conspiracy was brushed over, and the hounds were off in the wrong direction. To this day, even in the Report, the only really serious considera-tion given to any possibility of a conspiracy is restricted to the involvement of the Soviet Union or Castro Cuba.

If those among his acquaintances who told the Commission of Oswald's political beliefs, such as the ^Paines and George de Mohrenschildt, understood correctly, Oswald did not understand Marxism. Not a single witness or fact showed him either a Communist or pro-Communist. Every scrap of evidence from his boyhood on proved him consistently anti-Communist. Ruth Paine told FBI Agent Hosty, when he interviewed her in early November, that Oswald de-scribed himself as a Trotskyite and that she "found this and simi-lar statements illogical and somewhat amusing" (R139). De Mohrenschildt, at the time of the assassination occupied with a business relationship with the Haitian government, was apparently the only member of the Fort Worth Russian-speaking community for whom Oswald had any respect (R282). De Mohrenschildt was described by the had any respect (N2O2). De Monrenschildt was described by the Commission and some of its informants as provocative, non-conformist, eccentric, and "of the belief that some form of undemocratic govern-ment might be best for other peoples" (R283). He was an agent for French intelligence in the United States during World War II. The Commission's investigation "developed no sign of subversive or dis-loyal conduct" on the part of the De Mohrenschildts (R383). Oswald is not known to have ever had any kind of a personal

Oswald is not known to have ever had any kind of a personal contact with any party or any official of any part of the left, except by correspondence, and then of his initiative and of no clear significance. The total absence of such contacts, in person or otherwise, is in itself persuasive evidence that, as a matter of real fact rather than conjecture, he had no political affiliation. The searches of the Commission appear thorough and the facilities and resources of the investigative agencies are extensive.

As a 16-year-old, Oswald wrote the Young People's Socialist League asking information (R681). This is an old and well known youth group whose anti-Communism has been almost religious in its fervor.

Thereafter he wrote the Socialist Workers' Party, seeking literature, including the writings of Leon Trotsky. The Commission prints 14 pages of this correspondence (19H567-80). Again, this is an anti-Communist party and Trotsky is perhaps the best known of the former Russian Communists who fought the Soviet regime. Some of Oswald's correspondence with this group and all of his correspon-dence with the Communist Party (20H257-75) and the Fair Play for Cuba Committee (20H511-33) make sense only when the possibility of Oswald's being somebody's agent is considered. The Report finds "Oswald had dealings" with these groups (R287).

He did, in the same sense that one who writes the White House and gets a reply has "dealings" with the President.

Referring to the Communist Party U.S.A. alone, the Report states, "in September 1963, Oswald inquired how he might contact the party when he relocated in the Baltimore Washington area, as he said he planned to do in October, and Arnold Johnson suggested in a letter of September 19 that he 'get in touch with us here (New York)

the second statement of the second second

and we will find some way of getting in touch with you in that city (Baltimore)'" (R288).

The Report is correct but incomplete, for on the same date Oswald made the same request of the Socialist Workers' Party (19H577) The Report's authors considered it expedient to ignore the letter to the SWP. The reason for this omission and the reason for similarly false letters from Oswald to both historically antagonistic groups are worthy of consideration. the SWP, the Report gives the false impression of a non-existing affiliation with the Communist Party, else why should Oswald want to get in touch with the Baltimore-Washington branch? There is no In omitting all reference to evidence he planned such a move. He planned to go to Mexico and he went there. But why should swald have wanted to be in touch with both parties, antagonistic as they are, especially because of his own clear antipathy toward the Communist Party? One of the obvious reasons is that he was trying to penetrate them as some kind of agent. He could not have found political sympathy in or from both. It is this possibility that completely escaped the consideration of the authors of the Report and it is the most obvious consideration. Especially when thought of in the light of Oswald's relations with Cuban refugee groups, detailed elsewhere in this book, could this line of reasoning have led to a meaningful analy-

mant

sis and conclusion. There was "no plausible evidence that Lee Harvey Oswald had any other significant contacts" with any of these groups, the Report concludes, evaluating the Oswald-initiated correspondence and re-quests for literature as "significant".

But Oswald's real attitude toward the Communist Party and the Soviet Union were well known to the Commission. He made no secret of them, and the Russian-speaking community in Fort Worth reported his dislike. Oswald himself was well recorded in letters, drafts of speeches and notes and, in fact, in public speeches. A number of such documents appear in Volume 16. They are part of the Com-

Toward the end of their stay in New Orleans, the Oswalds went to Battles wharf, Alabama, to participate in a seminar. He unbur-dened himself of his anti-Soviet feelings. Marina got a thank-you note from Robert J. Fitzpatrick, of the Society of Jesus, in which she was asked to convey "thanks to your husband, too, for his good report to our seminar. Perhaps we do not agree with him regarding some of his conclusions but we all respect him for his idealism some of his conclusions but we all respect him for his idealism"

Oswald's hatred of the Communist Party and the Soviet Union exude from 150 consecutive pages of his notes in the same volume, as well as from other exhibits (16H283_434). For example, in Ex-hibit 97 (pp.422-3) he raged, "The Communist Party of the United States has betrayed itself! It has turned itself into the traditional lever of a foreign power to overthrow the government of the United States, not in the name of freedom or high ideals, but in servile conformity to the wishes of the Soviet Union ... (the leaders) have shown themselves to be willing, gullible messengers of the "remlin's Internationalist propaganda ... The Soviets have committed crimes unsurpassed ... imprisonment of their own peoples

... mass extermination ... individual suppression and regimentation ... mass extermination ... individual suppression and regimentation ... deportations ... the murder of history, the prostitution of art and culture. The communist movement in the U.S., personalized by the Communist Party, U.S.A., has turned itself into a 'valuable gold coin' of the Kremlin. It has failed to denounce any actions of the Soviet Government when similar actions of the U.S. Govern-ment bring pious protest." (Spelling improved.) The Report quotes some of this as well as "... I hate the U.S.S.R. He also described himself as one with "many personal reasons to know and therefore hate and mistrust Communism ..." (16H442).

Even his oft-mentioned inotes on Russia, widely discussed but unquoted in the press, are a narrative full of the kind of information intelligence agencies, including our own, seek about other countries, especially the Soviet Union. It includes such items as the location of an airport, the layout of a city, and all sorts of intimate details of the electronics factory in which he worked, including what it produced, its rate of production, the number of employees engaged in various pursuits and other such non-travelogue It is abundantly clear that the Report distorts and misrepresents the Commission's information on Oswald's politics. It both says and implies the opposite of the truth. It pretends a man whose hatred of the Soviet Union boiled in his guts was a protagonist of that political system and perpetuates a lie foisted off on an innocent public by the police. In such a Report, by such a Commission, dealing with such a tragedy, this is unpardonable. Can there be any reason for this except a desire to "fool the public"? How many more people, here and abroad, were willing to accept what might have otherwise been unacceptable conclusions, how many were less critical than they might have been of the Commission, because of this pretense that Oswald had a "commitment to Communism", that he somehow was an agent of a hated political force? The Report concludes that he was serving no foreign government and that he was the agent of none (R21-2). But the Report repeats the false representation of "swald's politics. The Commission instead should have inquired into who created and broadcast this deception and with what motives. As a result, the Commission's own motives are suspect. ($\gamma a \eta e S / 20^{-24}$)

Hosty find this Commission conclusion incredible. He cannot be how it could appossibly have reached that conclusion. If he did not say and believe that he would have no defense of himself and his record. If we assume as I do that Hosty is sincere in this we see that he begins with political preconceptions he does not permit be influence by fact, by the truth . What he wants to be true to his reader, for his defense of himself. His political beliefs, which are of the far right, are what he expresses in his book and they, too, cannot survive comparison with the **ats** actual evidence, as in part we see above.

Consistent with this and also essential in his fiefense of himself and of his record is his account of how he came to have the Oswald case. He dates it as after 7:30 and before 11:45 the morning of the assassination;

Ч

man

I checked my mail slot and found a case transfer order. The form order, with a fill-in-the-blank format, indicated that as of the this date a routine countering counter-espionage case on one Lee Harvey Oswald was now officially mine again(page 8).

Throughout his entire book Hesty refers to this Oswald case and that of his wife. Marina as what they were not, "counter-espionage" cases. As pretended * proof of this he reproduces two cards that could well have been miss included with the *Multiple* reproduction of documents in his appending with photographs of them, black and white enly as they are, in his section is prictures between pages 152 and 153. He has this caption for them:

The FBI assignment cards that made the counter-intelligence cases on Lee and Marina Oswald part of Hosty's caseload one month before the assassination of President Kennedy.

The picture credit is to James P. Hosty, Jr.

The twansfer of the cases was from New Orleans and they did not become effective until the very morning of the assassination.

This printed card is an FBI form. In the upper left-hand corner is the word ""Title" and in the upper rightphand corner is "File No." Marina's name is on one, with the number 105-1435 and Lee's is on the other, with the number 100,461. Those are the Dallas numbers. At headquarttrs and in the field offices the numberings are independent of each other.

Both of these numbers were "security" numbers, or for what the FBI regarded as "internal security," as "subversive." The difference between those numbers in those days is that the 105 knowledger "internal security" with "Ationalistic Tendencies." The FBI's file classification of "Espionage" is 65. That is where it filed actual or suspected espionage cases and information.

Until he retired John W. Fain had the cases of both Oswalds. ^He testified to the Warren Commission May 5, 1964, the same day that Hosty did. There had been interest in the possibility, regarded as remote, that the KGB might have planned to use either Oswald as a "slepper" agengt but that was in the end ruled out, ant/not by Fain alone:

As #osty himself told the Commission Oswald was only "a security risk of a sort" (4H473).

Mr. FAIN. Yes, sir; if he would have met the qualifications we considered that he had been a security risk, and had a potential for any violence or dangerousness, why, we certainly would have stayed on him.

Mr. DULLES. And you would not have marked the report as closed, the case as closed.

Mr. FAIN. Well, I closed it because my investigation was completed. The assignment was to interview him and the case at the end of the interview with the information we obtained the case was closed. The man had found a job, he was working, he was living in this duplex with his wife, and he was not a member of the Communist Party. Of course, it was true he had been to Russia. He denied any contacts with a Soviet intelligence agent. He denied that he had any contacts. We considered all the facts and circumstances and closed the case, and that is what I did.

Mr. McCloy. If you had not come to that, would you have put in another lead for another interview? Mr. FAIN. Yes, sir.

Mr. McCLor. Would it have been incumbent upon you to recommend to your superiors that he be continued under surveillance?

Mr. FAIN. I could have recommended that he be reinterviewed but I frankly didn't see any point in doing that.

Mr. McCLoy. I understand that. But assuming you did find some derogatory information, or some facts that made you fear that he was a security risk beyond a recommendation for further interviews, what would be your province to do? Would it be your province to recommend surveillance?

Mr. FAIN. Yes, sir; if there had been some facts there to indicate that he was-Mr. McCloy. A potential danger?

Mr. FAIN. A potential danger to the security of the United States, and for instance if we had found that he was a member of the Communist Party and meeting with them, made some contact with them, I certainly would have

Mr. McCloy. You would have recommended that he be kept under surveillance then? Mr. FAIN. Yes, sir.

Mr. McCloy. That is all I am getting at.

Representative Ford. Are you through, John? Mr. McCloy. Yes.

Representative FORD. On the top page of Commission Exhibit 824 it says, and I quote, "Oswald and wife unknown to confidential informant." Did you make

Mr. FAIN: I did. I checked with the confidential security informants that . we had there, and they said this man was not known to be a member of the party, and the party had not discussed him for membership purposes or any-

Representative Ford. Do you have in this area, or did you have at that time in this area reliable confidential informants? Mr. FAIN. Yes, sir; yes, sir. Excellent informants. (PAH 426)

Fain's decision that there was no case against Oswald and that the case be

closed was confirmed all the way to the top at headquarters. The FBI knew, with cerp

tainty, that Oswald was not a member of the Communist pParty, too. As Fain continued to testifyon these "security" not "espionage" cases it is clear that the Oswald

case Was official "closed":

vv

ny li

Mr. STEEN. Mr. Fain, your recommendation about closing a case is checked by how many supervisors that you know?

Mr. FAIN. One on the security desk there before it goes on here to the seat of Government.

Mr. STEBN. This is one on the security desk in Dallas?

Mr. FAIN. Yes, sir.

~1

mall mall space

Mr. STERN. Then what happens?

Mr. FAIN. Then the report goes on into Washington here, to the FBI.

Mr. STEEN. As far as you know is it checked again here?

Mr. FAIN. Oh, yes.

Mr. STERN. And by whom or by what kind of official?

Mr. FAIN. Well, they have a desk up here that has that function, too, you see. I don't know just, Mr. Belmont can probably answer that better than I can because I am not familiar at all with the workings of it up here. But I know they are rigidly checked and rechecked.

Mr. STERN. Now, at the time you filed this report, in view of the fact that you didn't see, as you testified, any further work to be done at this time— Mr. FAIN. That is right.

Mr. STERN. Could you have put the case in any other status besides "Closed"? Is there any other administrative procedure that might have been available to you under the circumstances where you had nothing further, no further work to recommend at the time?

Mr. FAIN. Any other status? I could have put it, of course, in a pending status and set out some leads.

Mr. STERN. No, no; assuming you didn't see any further work to be done, any further leads at that time, under your administrative practices?

Mr. FAIN. No; if the work has been completed, we put the recommendation that it be closed and as I say, of course, that is no ironbound thing, to keep it from being reopened. It can be reopened any time, any of these security cases, the very next day, if necessary or the next 5 days or the next month, anything comes in on it or we get any specific reason for reopening it, it certainly is reopened.

In addution to local informeants inside the Communist Barty the FBI had its

national headquarters thoroughly penetrated, It knew who the party's members were and it knew Oswald was not one. But From the first Hosty refes to his "security" squad as "the four-man counter-intelligence squad" $\mathbb{Z}(page 4)$. et as we saw, with the FBI knowing that Oswald as not 4 party member, Hosty from the first and throughout his book refers to Oswald, as quoted above, as a party member.

As Hosty himself told the Warren Commission when he took Fain's cases over the case against "ee was "closed at this time. It was closed." and the "arina case was an "inactive" case, which means that "Nothing was to be done on it for a period of 6 months." New ont looking for her to interview her and learned that the Oswald's had left Fort yo Worth (Page 441),

What got Hosty to spring into action wask ge learning that Oswald has subscribed to what was then the newspaper of the Communist Party<u>e The Daily Worker</u>, as he writts i writes in his book (pages 45-6) Although the security supervisor in the Dall office had initialed and ignored the memo from the New York office reporting the Oswald subscription, that subcription alone was to Hosty all "we needed to

reopen the file on Lee Oswald" (page 46) $_{(j)}$

This decision was made easier by Hosty's not recalling that Oswald also subscribed to <u>The Militant</u>, the publication of the strongly anti-Communist Socialist Morkers Party. By the Hosty standard a large number of anti-Communists, including the FBI, research institutions, liberies and a wide variety of antiauffundtCommunist organizations should have been active FBI cases because the also got <u>The Daily Worker</u>. But to Hosty Oswald's subscription was proof that he "had lied to Fain... when he told him he way disillusioned with communism" (page 46)

Wen this Hosty simplification in which he cted on his own right-wing political views rather than fact does not tell the truth. The truth is that Oswald beginning as a top. In the Marins, as ' brought to dight in Oswald in Mew was always anti-Communist, Ba Orleans, before he went to the Sovjet Union, his favoriate book was the anti-Communist classic, Orwell's The Animal Farm. Snd earlier than that, when but a biy, that of his favorite TV program was thexasting communistrixing dx Mirnex tives xof Herberry Philbrick, an FBI informer inside the CommunistParty His book on his career as an FBI mit led to the TU stine. all of Informer was I Led Three Lives, This also Hosty does not mention, as in writing his book he omits what is quoted at length above of Oswald's actual writings that as of him self as in his own description "the lead investigator" on W"Oswald's background" he had to 2 all of this and more know, In that role Hosty also had to know what Lee's older brother Robert testified to before the Warren Commission (1H624ff), that Lee was so taken by that Philbrick carpe career os of spying for the FBI he took in all the reruns as avidly as he did the first telecastings. And, of couse, ga learning what he could about 14ee's "background" was part of Hosty's responsibilities.

These fquestions of Hosty's credibility Hosty himself raises and he does that in a way that makes it impossible for the average leader to perceive that there are these and many, many more questions of his credibility. The avergae reader has no way of knowing that not only was Dewall Oswald not a Communist-he was very strongly anti-Communist. The querage reader also has no way of knowing that the Oswalds were Mut

n just plain political

espionage cases at all but we were "security" or "subversive" cases in which before Hosty the FBI had found nothing at all and abadonned them rather than waste more time on them. We do get to more of these as we go through Hosry's book but there is an additional question of credibility that the reader cal evaluate and that Hosty himself aises early in his book the Warren Commission found it difficult to understand that Hosty was so indifferent to the motorcade both in terms of Oswald this suspected Soviet spy # being to Hosty's knowledge right where themotorcade would be and in terms of Hosty's lack of interest is his President going by. Hosty uses his book to get back at these who found believing him diffyicult.

fiesty makes it a point to say he was Irish and proud of it, that he was also a sincere Catholic and that he supported Kennedy. This is not in his Commission testimony but it is, pointedly, in his book, along with a lengthy quotation of his Commission testimony in which he does not reflect the pages of the which that testimony appears.

Hosty begins his book with an account of what their Special Agent In Charge (SAC) fordon Shanklin told the agentas four days before the President's visit. If has already been reported in the papers. It is , predictably, anti-Secret Swavice. One criticsm is that it wants no help from the FBI. Hosty then quotes Shanklin as saying they will do everything by the book, "which means if any of you know of any threats of any kind to the president, refer them to the Secret Service. I want you all to errien the side of caution. If you have any doubts about whether to to report a piece of information to the formation to the formation of the secret formation to the formation to the formation is not in Hosty's the station.

Although he knew it had been in the papers and had been alerted by Shanklin, in Hosty's account it was not untul the evening bdfore the visit that he remembers

seeing anything. He reports that the <u>Times Herald</u>, the evening paper had "a front page diagram of the parade route" (page 5) but he "examined it" only "casually" (mage $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ 6) He ends this section saying, again defending himself and not heeding the instructions from Shanklin" to err on the side of " caution" and to be "on the safe side," Hosty writes

unge pare

My only obligation for the secufity of the President's trip was to report to the Secret Service anyone who had made a threat against the president...just the day before I had hand-delivered a report on one possible threat... that a local

Klan member and remarked that his group would have "a little reception' for Kennedy...I wrote up that's this information in a one-page report, including a physical description of the Klan member, and attached his photograph to the report" and had-delivered it to the Secret Service. I later learned that the Secret Service briefly interviewed the man, but took no action to detain him or monitor his whereabouts on Fiday during the presifde president's visit" (page 6)

That a Klan member said they were going to demost demonstrate, as many gi-gor grou-groups of the right said they would and did, those he does not mention but within his responsibilities, that was important enought to write a memo and walk it over to the Secret Service. But that a man he says was a Communist and part of **w hat membership** that he later says was a ^C mmunist conspiracy to kill the President worked in a buidding along the motorcade route he did not consider important enough to report.

Most of those who have been watched during k such visits did not make twert threats. They were merely regarded as potentially dangerous people and for that reason only were watched. Hosty did not, he says, think of telling anyone of Oswald's history and presence. It was before leaving for an appointment with Ed Coyle of Army intelligence and Jack Ellsworth of the Treasury's Bureau of Alcohol, #Tobasso and Firearms that he saw the Oswald case had been referred back to him, (page 8)

Coyle and Hosty walked the short distance to where the motorcade was to pass. Of course be is critical of the Secret Servixe and what he refers to as its negligence when the president was to be protected. Hosty did not even glance at the President until he had passed, when "all I could see was the back of his head,"

Then he gets into his criticisms, first that the President er was in an open

car with no Secret Service or police anywhere near him" (page 9) As the Warren "ommission Report and testimony with which is is familaiar enough of to go quote at some length make clear, the Presient himself had decided that the bubbletion be removed

fr m his limousine and that the a Secret **Sor** Service agents who were to have been on its back not be there. They were on the followup car which was quite close to the limousine, and four were on its runningboards. <u>That</u> is "not anywhere the president? And those police also not "anywhere negar" the President? There were twelve motorcycle police in the motorcade and four were outriders, the on each side of the limousine. Where Hosty says he got his something less than a peek at the back of the President's head those four motorcycle police were almost in contact with the limousine. This also was the actuality at the time the shots were field. Some of the police were bathed with the spray of bone and tissue from the President's head, they were that classe to him.

to criticize just about every honey else, as ^Cemblerling had said he would, he seem to think doing this makeshim look better. It might to the those not aware of the facts, to those who know only what they read in his books.

Unlike the followup car the President's limousione did not have running board for the Secret Sérvice to stand on. 't did have two agents in the front seat and there were none on the back bumper only because that was the President's order. He wanted to be seen by the crows. Moreover, in the official faccount of the hood ting had there ben agents on the back bumper and also seat/close to him an on bothe sadde and in the jump seat infront of him it would have made no difference at all.

Hosty doesnot quote all the Commission's questions ad his answers (4H472-5). Hoth'o haddline They get into at following Hosty's testiony that the building manager, Roy Roy Truly, whose name Hosty misspelled to book as "Truely," told him that the day before the ssassination, after the first edition of the evening paper appeared about 17:30 in the morning, all the employees of the TSBD "became very excited" because the

"motorcade was going to pass directly in front of their building." Then,

Mr. McCLOY. But according to your recollection of what he said, all the employees were excited and became aware of the fact that the motorcade-Mr. Hosry. At that time.

Mr. McCloy. At that time was going to pass the School Book Depository. Mr. Hosty. Right.

Mr. McGnov. Did you notice that Oswald said in the course of his interview by Captain Fritz that he had not had a rifle but he had seen a rifle in the possession Mr. Hosta Right.

Mr. Hostr. No, I didn't.

Augu Allo

Mr. McCLox, De von know whether anyone else did?

Mr. Hostx. I can't say for certain, no. (Puge 472)

That there was another rifle in that building, which tirns out to have been two other rifles, did not interest this derring do FBI special agent in investigating the assassination of the Presient, the first Irish an Catolaic President in our haitory, proud Irishman an Catholic that Hesty was, It interests us later.

After a brief exchange mabout other matters this comes up again: and the second state of th

> Senator Cooper: Fourwere told on November 1 that he was employed at the Texas School Book Depository?

No Statement in the State specific to the

Mr. Hosty. Yes, sir.

Senator COOPER. Had you checked there to see if he was employed? Mr. Hosty. I made a pretext interview on the 4th. Senator Cooper. On what day? The 4th of November.

Senator COOPER. Considering that he was a defector, you knew he was a defector?

Mr. Hosty. Yes, sir.

MI. HOSTI. 125, SH. Senator COOPER. And considering that he had been engaged in this demonstra-tion in New Orleans, and the statement that Mrs. Paine had made to you, did it occur to you at all that he was a potentially dangerous person?

Mr. Hosty. No, sir. Senator COOPER. Why?

Mr. Hosty. There is no indication from something of that type that he would commit a violent act. This is not the form that a person of that type would necessarily take. This would not in any way indicate to me that he was capable of violence.

Senator Cooper. I believe you testified that you didn't know the route of the

Mr. Hosty. That is correct, sir.

Senator COOPER. Of the procession which passed the Texas School Book Depository?

Mr. HOSTY. That is correct, sir.

Senator COOPER. Did it occur to you to communicate this information to the Secret Service or the Dallas police about Oswald?

Mr. Hosry. No, sir; there would be no reason for me to give it to them. Mr. McCloy. You did know he was lying though, didn't you? Mr. Hosry. Yes, sir.

Mr. Mcclor. Don't you think the combination of the fact that you knew that he was bying and that he was a defector and that he had this record with the Fair Plan for Cuba, that he might be involved in some intrigue that would be if not necessarily violent, he was a dangerous security risk?

Mr. Hosry. He was a security risk of a sort, but not the type of person who would engage in violence. That would be the indication.

1 1

Representative Ford. What are the criteria for a man being a potential violent man? Is this a subjective test?

Mr. Hosty. You mean to the point where we would report him to the Secret Service?

Representative Ford. Yes.

Mr. Hosty. It is instructions we had as of the 22d of November, we had to have some indication that the person planned to take some action against the safety of the President of the United States or the Vice President.

Representative Ford. How do you evaluate that? Do you have any criteria? Mr. HOSTY. No; at that time it was that there had to be some actual indication of plan or a plot.

Representative Ford. There had to be a conspiracy of some sort?

Mr. HOSTY. Well, or a single person doing something if anyone was going to

take any action against the safety of the President or Vice President. Representative Proto a think you testified earlier that at the time of the motorcade you were at your lunch hour.

Mr. Hosiy, Right

Representative Fore And were cadually cating lunch? When a President visits a community, is the FBI or its neople assented any responsibilities as far as the second of the President is concerned?

Mr. HOSTY. Prior to November 22, I know of no incidents where the FBI was called in to help the Secret Service, to my knowledge.

Representative Ford. And particularly on this day none of the-Mr. HOSTY. Definitely not.

Representative FORD. Of the people in the FBI in the Dallas area were given any assignments?

Mr. Hosty. That is correct.

Representative Ford. For the security of the President? Mr. Hosty. That is correct.

Mr. Mc. to House let me ask you this: Suppose you had known that that motorcade was going to go past the School Book Depository, do you think your action would have been any different?

Mr. Hosay, No, sir; it wouldn't have been any different.

Mr. McCLOY. Even though you knew that he was located there? Mr. HOSTY. Right. Mr. HOSTY. Algent Mr. McCloy. And that he was a defector? Mr. Hosty. Right.

What Hosty testified wrbefore the Wolkission in 1964 is not in accord with what he wrote thirty years later to make himself and the FBI and its then Dallas SAC look better, that they were to "be on the safe side unto they told the Secret Service, that "If you have any doubts at all about Wighther to report a piece of information, go ahead and report it."

McCloy's questioning was a little too sharp for Hosty so when he had the chance he got even. He is riting about his meeting with oover after his testimony:

Histy actually says that as soon as he heard Oswald's name as the Tippit killer he knew immediately that Oswald was also the killer of the President. He then knew nothing about Oswald that he did not know before the assassination. He therefore had neither more nor less reason for not doing as Shanklin ordered them all to do. And he did not do, is unrepentant about and insists was right and proper under

their instructions.

Yet assumed immediately this That Oswald was the assassin.

He knew what Shanklin's orders were.

He did not follow them.

He is unrepentant.

"e insists what he did and did not do was correct under their instructions.

Hoover changed the subject to the Warren Commission and their proceedings. He told me that the FBI had a source on the Commission (<u>I later found out it was Congressman Ford</u>; among others), and that Hoover's information, which he considered reliable, was that the Commission would clear the FBI of any mishandling of the Oswald case by a 5-to-2 margin. Only Warren and McCloy would vote against the FBI. Hoover told me how Warren detested him, and recounted the story of the cocktail party, telling me himself, with some enjoyment, how Warren had spit out that Hoover was a "Boy Scout."

mph-us adda

Hoover proceeded to McCloy, who "was nothing more than a broken down Philadelphia lawyer with holes in his shoes before he married that Zinsser girl." That Zinsser girl was from a wealthy German-American family and, according to Hoover, after the marriage McCloy's career took off like a rocket. McCloy now had access to the most elite social circles, and this had carried him all the way to his present position. I later wondered if Hoover had unearthed something relating to McCloy, much like he had with Warren, which would explain why he could be counted on to vote against the FBI. (p 44.155)

In fact "c'loy was a professional success from the beginning of hisprofessional Anne quite high; life. He field many government posts, and he became a respected and very successful international banker.

In assessing Hosty's r credibility the reader can ecide for himself why he did not tell the Warren Commission what ^Shanklin's orders were about informing the Secret Service and whether in what he did and did not do, from his testimony and from his book, Hosty did follow Shanklin's orders, "If you have any doubts about whether # to report a piece of information to the Secret Service, go ahead and report it. Let's be on the safe side" (page 4).

Hosty's record is that he did not bedieve the man he regarded as a dyed-inthe -wool Communist and as involve in a conspiracy with Cimenni Cam unists to kill mould. the President Wet have been mentioned to the Secret Service under Chanklin's criteria.

18t

Marth August Alang

There is no part of this book in which there is not the most substantial question about Hosty'ds credibility and not infrequently about his honesty in what he writes. P_{ope}^{W} of these questions can be attributed to his right-wing political besiefs but not all of them, as we see.

These pages are not numbered. In this 1995 review what was made public the years earlier is redacted, the fact that the embassy phones were bugged. Note that at the time this was written there was a question whether the man to whom Oswald spoke was Kostikov.

The date of writing was 12/13/63.

This memo says they were flooded wity bogus tips and debunked them all. The fact is that the CIA in ^Hexico City credited the first two that were palpably false.

Says that when they saw the FBI report, CD 4, they found it "highly interesting." The hyd to have spotted it immediately as not an printended to be a report on the assassination but they avoid saying this, 't was in fact a diatribe against Oswald. If the CIA had no earlier indication of it, this told it that there would be no real investigation and that none was intended.

Says that many but not all the tapes were erased and used over.

Spells put that the CIA station asked "exican authorities to arrest and "question" Durab. The report of her Second arrest, 11/27, withholds that the CIA had asked for it and that she had been beaten into a false confession of having been Oswald's "mistress," which the CIA records do reflect. So why omit it from this report?

Lit refers to the "likelihood" that Oswald met with Kostikov whereas the description of the man he met is not of Kostikov. It then says Kostikov is from the KGB's Department 13, which is "charged" with sobotage and assassinations." It then turns out that all of this is surmised about Kostikov. Yet is says that it is probably only coincidence that Oswald met Kostikov.

Referring to Duran's first arrest, the police asked her is he she had been "intimate with OSWALD and she denied it."

It also points out that if Oswald had any connection with the KGB they would have kept him from going to its embassy/.

There is what seems to be another version of this report, this one with page num bers at the top but the first page I see is 4.

There are other deletions of $wh_q t$ is disflosed, like the interception of the calls from the President of Cuba to the Mexico embassy.

The modest hypheading on the first draft is "We Discover Lee OSWALD in Mexico City."

There are a few pages, first missing, rehashing some of this. This appars to be a fin shed version and it is explicit in staying what cannot be explicit, that it was Kostikov to whom Oswald spoke. It also says that the CIA had nothing to do with Duran's great and does not mention her second arrest. The missing first page may be in what I've gone over but it is not worth the time to learn. Much of this is self-serving.