

Dear Jim,

3/22/95

Attached to the letter I wrote Tunheim I'd just sealed to mail to you were the CS memo not sent to Harry Houston, the one that was sent (which I did not spell out indicated other CIA components with files on me or on all of us) and the Writers and Editors Vietnam war protest. It would, of course, have been good if I could have re-written that letter but I now cannot take time for such niceties. That Harwell could have written me as he did was all the justification I needed, all I needed to know. Especially in reply to what I began sending them last October.

When Gunn and Samoluk were here I did tell them how some FBI records are hidden and how to get them, in both the field and the filed offices.

That dope Judge did actually send a memo identifying Hall as the board member who addressed COPA and as a result I wrote Hall, twice. No response other than what Harwell just sent me. Which among other things made no mention of the Hunt/Littauer & Wilkinson angle. Not of the prima facie case of my mail interception and of interference with my being published. It did cost me publication in Germany and in England.

Without looking at my correspondence I am confident that I was clear in stating that I needed the withheld records to be able to assert my rights under the Privacy Act, not that I was invoking those rights to this ARRB.

I'll not send this until I do as I now plan, asserting those rights to the Archivist. Chip gave me a phone number other than the one you gave me for him, 262-9029.

He is hoping for a job with Rockville cable, has some work for Unsolved Mysteries, and Sandy is teaching. He lacks the facilities for dubbing. I hope McKnight can provide them.

If you know of anything the ARRB has done except confuse itself by listening to the nuts and subject-matter ignoramuses I'd like to know of it. Or of anything at all after all this time they have brought to light. From the story I was sent from the weekly paper in Mpls/St. Paul Tunheim said the members spend about two days a month with their staff. That means they have less contact with or control over Harwell than the HSCA had with Blakey or the WC had with Rankin.

I did lend Gunn the disk of most of what appeared as Case Open. It was not quite complete and the last page of what had been retyped is 792. What was eliminated is my using Posner's prosecution-type brief as a defense lawyer would have. In that I restricted myself entirely to the official evidence. He returned the disk after printing it. I've heard nothing from him since. He and Samoluk made a good impression. Harwell strikes me as another Blakey. Whether or not he wrote the letter to me that was signed in his name by a woman. If he could turn that over to anyone else he says enough about himself and the kind of people in whom he has confidence. I heard nothing from Hall to whom I did write. He is, Tunheim said, the board's Constitutional expert. More later.

I'll send this now because several other things will likely delay my writing the archivist.

When Harwell finally got my first last year's letter to Hall, and I think it was close to two months before the archives forwarded it, he also got another letter from me to Hall the same day. The snottiness of his second letter reflected that he had only skimmed it, and did not know what it said.

Gunn told me a month or more before it happened that I'd be hearing from Harwell.

Barly on 1 told them where they should find at least one LHO/Mexico City tape and a transcript of it.

I've heard nothing else from Harwell.

Please when you can send me those "ho's Whos on Hunt so I can make more of a record with these characters. (I do not think of Gunn and Samoluk this way.)

Hall the Constitutional expert and is indifferent to the obvious CIA violations of the First Amendment.

I wrote T unheim where the staff cannot intercept it or answer for him or tell him what to think.

And to give him personally a chance to make his prouf words real.

3/23