I do not know whether Sullivan wrote Marwell's letter to me or merely digned it for him - well owner a month, I was told for the second time that he would be responding to me"soon." I think it was last October that I sent a member of the board a copy of my letter to the since departed CIA director, then the second letter I wrote him when I got no response to the first, and then when I got what I took to be a rather snotty letter from Marwell I wrote further.

The ARRB could well be doing things of which know nothing, but if true I've not any indication of it.

What I see reflected in the $^{\rm M}$ arwell letter is more than what $^{\rm I}$ say in my response. Which is more of a reaction than a response I suppose.

I formed an entirely different impression of "arwell's deputy, Jeremy Gunn, who was here before his appointment, when he was candidate for Marwell's job, and after it, when he was accompanied by their information man, Tom Samaluk, as I recall the name. Tom also seemed to have informed himself somewhat.

But when this long after they supposedly got started they are going to Boston to hold a hearing for Dick Russell, Phil Melanosn, the yankee and cowboy theoretician Williams and Richard Trask, and for other things better done in Washington, when this far into their supposed work they have not yet learned that the likes of thos characters can do them no good, I can only wonder what they have been doing and what they will wind up having done.

I am outraged that with the specifications I gave them about Littauer & Wilkinson, Hunt and the CIA Marwell makes no mention of it. And then asks me for proof that Praeger was a CIA publisher. Are they afraid to ask the CIA?

The board includes professional historians and this coming hearing represents mature and informed judgement after others like it? Marwell did work in post-Hitler Germany and learned from that what he reflects to me?

I see nothing but another sham, another deception and misleading of the people, another gvernment agency covering up for and whitewashing all involved in the supposed JFK assassination investigation, and I am now inclined to believe that give the oppositumity I'll say so publicly.

fw 3/18/95