EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. SCHAFER of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to revise and extend the remarks which I made today on House Joint Resolution 519 and to include therein a few brief excerpts from the committee report.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted as follows:

To Mrs. Norton, for 1 week, on account of official business. To Mr. DUNN, for several days, on account of death in the family.

JOINT RESOLUTION PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Mr. PARSONS, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that that committee did on this day present to the President, for his approval, a joint resolution of the House of the following title:

H. J. Res. 431. Joint resolution to extend the 1940 New York World's Fair and the 1940 Golden Gate International Exposition the provisions according privileges under certain customs and other laws to the expositions of 1939.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the previous order of the House, the gentleman from Montana [Mr. THORKELSON] is recognized for 30 minutes.

Mr. THORKELSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to revise and extend my remarks and to include therein letters that have a bearing on the subject on which I am speaking, and other quotations. .

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Montana?

There was no objection.

Mr. THORKELSON. Mr. Speaker, in the Congressional RECORD of May 2, 1940, the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. SABATH] inserted a lengthy letter from the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League to Champion Human Rights. In this letter the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League calumniated me.

Among other things, I was asked in this letter to state whether, in using the word "internationalist," I did not mean Jew. My answer is "No," for a Jew who is a nationalist is an American, and an internationalist, whether he be Jew or gentile, is not an American. I realize that there are many Jews in the United States who are not internationalists and are not looked upon as being anything but ordinary, plain American citizens, and it is not with them that I take issue. My contention is with the internationalist, the Jew who is using all the people in the United States to build an international empire for himself at our expense.

In the Anti-Nazi Bulletin, March 1940, myself and others are depicted as "Apes of wrath," and we are included in what this magazine labels, "The American Union of Fascistsan exposé of Italian Fascist activities in the United States, based on findings by the N. S. A. N. L., department of investigation." I am not familiar with any Fascist organization in this country, and I inserted in the RECORD of July 19, 1939, the Department of Justice report of the investigation of the German Bund in order to place such information before the public.

In regard to other organizations I may say that I know nothing about them. I spoke before a group called the Christian Mobilizers, and shall ask permission to insert that speech in the Appendix of the RECORD.

I now ask permission, Mr. Speaker, to insert that speech in the Appendix of the RECORD so there will be no opportunity for misquotation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Montana?

There was no objection.

[The matter referred to appears in the Appendix.]

Mr. THORKELSON. Mr. Speaker, I believe it is a duty of all public officials to speak to public audiences, because much good can come from it when the speaker confines him-

self to discussion of the fundamental principles of the Government.

The exposé in the Anti-Nazi Bulletin issued by the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League, bases its accusations upon the findings of its own investigating department or detective service, which I maintain should not be operated by any private organization. Let us assume that all organizations in the United States operated an intelligence service to spy upon each other. What a frightful mess it would be. It is to avoid such conflict that we maintain the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and if that is sufficient for the majority of the American people, it certainly should be acceptable to a group like the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League.

The Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League was organized in 1933 by Samuel Untermyer for the sole purpose to combat Hitlerism by means of a boycott of German goods and services. This league is largely composed of Jews and is financed by themselves. The nonsectarian aspect is furnished by a few professional sponsors, whose names are familiar as fronts for other organizations. Many of them are listed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD by committees investigating communism, and others are listed in the Red Network as radicals and Communists. I grant that this list may contain names of persons entirely innocent and unfamiliar with the purposes they serve. Yet the time is at hand when each and all of them should awaken to the dangers which lie in sponsorship.

I do not know of another group that employs its own intelligence service, or that conducts special courts for its own people. Americans are satisfied with our system of courts, so why should not the proponents of the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League be, also?

I often wonder why Jewish organizations rely upon sponsorship of gentiles instead of upon themselves. Surely sponsorship should not be required by anyone unless he is unknown, or unless he is engaged in practices which need to be camouflaged. Why do the Jewish groups use the Catholics and Protestants as a shield to protect themselves? I have never yet heard a Jewish group proclaim its own faith.

Mr. BLOOM. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. THORKELSON. I yield.

Mr. BLOOM. Did I understand the gentleman to say he never heard of a Jew approving of his own faith?

Mr. THORKELSON. I said I never yet heard a Jewish group proclaim its own faith. I was saying that they always used some other faith, Catholic or Protestant, to protect themselves. That is precisely what they are doing, It can be seen that I am just replying to the letter that was put in the RECORD Friday. I would like to proceed with my remarks. I shall be glad to yield when I have finished my prepared statement.

Mr. BLOOM. But the gentleman is making a statement with which I disagree absolutely. I think the gentleman is entirely mistaken. I do not believe the gentleman should make such statements.

Mr. HOFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, regular order.

Mr. BLOOM. The regular order is that I shall make a point of order that there is not a quorum present.

Mr. THORKELSON. Mr. Speaker, I believe the situation would be clarified if the Jews would stand on their own feet and protect their own beliefs, whatever they may be, and let other faiths alone. Surely everyone knows that Christian faiths are not threatened in the United States, and for that reason need no protection from the Jews or anyone else.

Can it be possible that the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League, which is made up of Jews, will investigate any of its own people? Of course not. The investigation of the league will be directed against all who do not acquiesce to the demands of the internationalistic Jew, or kneel to them in abject submission and fear. The people who object to internationalistic domination are those patriotic Americans who believe in the fundamental principles of this Government, and who will go ahead in spite of international intrigue and general public skepticism and incredulity. It is this group of active objectors that the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League designates Fascist, Nazi, and anti-Semitic.

The internationalist tries to confuse by directing his attack, not against his real object of hate, but instead against those who are neutral or who refuse to join him in hating. The next step is to bring about greater division in our own ranks, and this is done by designating all who do not join the hate parade as pro-Nazi, pro-Hitler, or pro-Fascist, and he finally places all of these "pros" in one group, under the label of anti-Semitic. He does not call them anti-Jew, because that would attract attention to individuals; he calls them instead anti-Semitic, hoping in such designation to confuse us and protect himself. The strange part is that the Jews in Asia Minor are anti-Semitic, for they have been and are now engaged in war with the Arabs, who are the true Semites.

The internationalist builds his power on intrigue and confusion. It is our duty to lift this veil so that we may see him in his true character, a destroyer of peace and proponent for war. It was said in The Conquering Jew:

The movement of precious metals throughout the world is under the influence of Jews. It is rightly said that no big war can be waged except by the financial assistance of the Jews. No national or international loan can be floated if the Jews care to act together and stop it. To a considerable extent the rate of exchange between countries is regulated by them.

This statement is 25 years old. It was written at a time when the conquering Jews had begun to take charge of our government, and to make this clear, let me quote further:

Go into the foreign market at Berlin and Frankfort, and you will find that considerably over half the members are Jews. I have mentioned the great international firm of Rothschild. But there are also to be counted the Jewish financial firms of Montagu, Sassoon, Raphael, and Stern, in London; Camondo, Fould, Perier, and Bischoffsheim, in Paris; Gunsberg, in Russia; Bleichroder, Warschauer, and Mendelssohn, in Berlin; Kuhn, Loeb & Co., Lazard Frères, and Seligman, in the United States—to mention a few of the principal firms. The example set by the Rothschilds is followed. Jewish financial concerns do not always lose their identity in joint-stock companies, though they originated jointstock companies. The Jewish hands retain personal control.

Amongst European banks and firms run by Jewish capital and controlled by Jews are the Dresdner Bank, and the Handels-Gesellschaft, the Credit Mobilier of Paris, Bischoffsheim, and Goldschmidt. The greatest bullion brokers in London are Jews. In the United States, President Wilson nominated a Jew, Mr. Paul Warburg (of Kuhn, Loeb & Co.), to be one of a committee of five to see that the system of banking throughout the United States was carried out according to the decision of Congress. Many Jews are among the bankers of San Francisco. The Nevada National, the Anglo-Californian, are under Jewish control. The Lord Chief Justice of England (Mr. Rufus Isaacs, a stockbroker before he took to the law and became Lord Reading) is a Jew. The president of the British Local Government Board, Mr. Herbert Samuel, and the secretary to the treasury, Mr. Montagu, are Jews and cousins. Mr. David Leventritt, justice of the Supreme Court of New York, was a Jewish shoemaker before he learned law. Many King's Counsel in England are Jews. The greatest international lawyers of modern times-Jellinck in Germany, Lyon-Caen in France, and Asser in Holland-are all Jews.

Do not fail to note:

It is rightly said that no big war can be waged except by the financial assistance of the Jews. No national or international loan can be floated if the Jews care to act together and stop it.

As you cogitate upon this, remember that they make the loans, but we pay for them. Remember loans made during the World War, by these bankers, are now charged to the people of the United States, and we, like fools, pay and pay to keep the Jewish international financiers in power.

It might appear that I am bitter toward this group of international exploiters, but I am not. I only feel sorry for our own people who have permitted Congress to enact laws which have given complete control of gold and money to these international pirates. I regret that Members of Congress have been so careless as to vote for legislation that has placed this burden, not only upon Members of Congress themselves, but upon all the people who sent them to Congress to protect their rights and provide for the security of our Nation. Congress should, in justice to the American people, repeal all powers given to the President, not only because they are unconstitutional but because they are dangerous powers in the hands of any President, no matter whether he be Republican or Democrat. Congress should then set the gold aside as security for the American people, for it is their property and not the property of any private financial group, no matter whether they are Jews or gentiles. The Nation's gold and money belongs to the United States and to the people of this Nation. It was so ordained and set forth in the Constitution. Let us, therefore, restore these rights, which were taken from the people by obtuse Congressmen enacting unconstitutional legislation that even a child should know would end in the destruction of this Republic.

If you recall the early part of 1939, you will remember that there was much agitation for war or alliance with England. Realizing the source of this agitation, I inserted an article in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD on May 1, 1939, entitled, "The Invisible Government." In this article, among other things, I quoted some facts and figures about the Jews in Germany. After that information was published, I was called a Fascist. Nazi, and anti-Semite, by the internationalistic and communistic press-which I had expected, for so are all called who tell the truth. However, if we look into the past, we find that the Jews not only controlled the finances of Germany but controlled the civilian and the military governments as well. It is also well to remember that most Jews were pro-German until Hitler became the leading man. They were, as intelligence files will show, pro-German even after we became involved in the World War. Few of the big Jewish bankers were even interned during the World War, because of their aid to Germany. Today, the same group hates the leading man, Mr. Hitler, and is willing and even anxious to destroy the German people and everything German in order to get its man. In their mad race to run Hitler down, they expect all of us to join, and when we show lack of interest or fail to join in their "Leagues of Hate," they get mad as hornets and call us names.

Every one of us should realize that when we join to fight those whom the internationalist designates—the pro-Nazi, pro-Fascist, pro-Hitler, or anti-Semitic—we divide ourselves into two factions, and on the ruins of our mutual downfall the internationalist will dominate the United States. No one should be confused about that, for it is happening, and will go on until the American people stick together to protect their own majority rights as expressed in the Constitution of the United States.

The question may be asked, What right has any organization to maintain and operate an intelligence department to investigate the American people? What right has a purely Jewish organization to maintain an antidefamation league. a bureau of investigation, and a secret service force to spy upon the American people and report their findings to the F. B. I. or Justice Department? This is an affront and an insult to the American people. It is humiliating, for in our acquiescence we admit cause, and can it be possible that the American people are criminals? We have a Justice Department and a Federal Bureau of Investigation, and we pay both of these departments to protect us against crimes and criminals. Is not it a bit strange that both departments take orders from these Jews and protect the Communists instead of the American people who pay the expenses of the departments? Is not it a bit strange that our courts protect the Communists and prosecute patriotic American citizens? Is not it strange that excessive bail is set on a patriotic American when he is turned over to the Department of Justice by the Jewish intelligence, and that the guilty Jewish Communist is left entirely free as he waves the Bill of Rights and shouts, "Help, I am persecuted"? Is not it strange that we have an administration that appoints judges to our courts who are so indifferent to their obligation that they believe the Bill of Rights and the Constitution are to protect the Communists and those who are engaged in sabotage and destruction of our Government instead of, as it is intended to be, a protection for our own people? Is not it time that the American people set this right and got rid of this horde of

aliens who are now employed in our justice departments, intelligence bureaus, and who sit as judges in many of our courts?

I shall now read a letter which the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League did not quote in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD of May 2, 1940:

MARCH 19, 1940.

-: The arrest of 17 members of the Christian DEAR MR. Front, and the discovery of weapons which were allegedly prepared in a plot to overthrow the United States Government, should serve to focus our attention to the real danger of which these Nazi-inspired subversive groups are to the peace and security of the United States.

Lurking behind the scene of imported fascism are sinister individuals and subversive groups which must be investigated and exposed. Nazi methods which formerly applied in Germany, Aus-tria, and Czechoslovakia are now rampant in this country. They tria, and Czechoslovakia are now rampant in this country. succeeded in destroying human rights in Europe. rights are now being threatened in the United States. These same

We are sure you agree with us that it is of vital importance to do everything in our power to counteract these organizations which are plotting to undermine our democratic government and all of its liberal principles.

The Non-Secretarian Anti-Nazi League is the American organi-zation concentrating upon the fight against this pro-Hitler move-Our Department of Investigation maintains a staff of expement. rienced investigators which uncovers and discloses the activities of the subversive groups in our country. We have been able to aid officials of our Government in prosecuting leading members of these groups.

In order to carry on our Nation-wide activities, we must raise a fund of at least \$250,000 this year. For this reason we appeal to you, as one of our supporters, to stand by us again as you did in the past, by contributing generously, and if possible, increasing your former contribution. Your support is needed now more urgently than at any time in the past.

For your convenience we are enclosing a statement and a return envelope. Please mail your contribution today. Accept our gratitude for your cooperation and support.

Sincerely yours,

JAMES H. SHELDON, Prof. James H. Sheldon. Chairman, Board of Directors.

NAZI IDEOLOGY THREATENS ALL CIVILIZATION

After reading this letter, it should be evident that the Jewish intelligence service was out to "get" the Christian front, and is using these 17 men and the Christian Mobilizers in their letter, which the gentleman from Illinois inserted in the RECORD, as a weapon to disparage those who believe in sound government. This procedure is typically communistic, for when he can find no evidence against the individual, when he cannot buy or bribe, he will in desperation throw mud, thereby displaying his true characteristics. In this game of defamation and mud-slinging, I crown him king of It would be interesting to know how many times kings. \$250,000 they have raised, to destroy patriotism. I have been informed that there is a fund of over \$50,000,000 employed for this purpose, upon which no interest or income tax is paid, and no account given to the Federal Government. This is something that Congress may also investigate, for it is certain that the activities carried on by the internationalists costs money, and it is our business to find out the source of this finance.

It would also be well for Congress to investigate how much property has been acquired and is now owned by banks and other financial houses in the United States and in their interlocking groups abroad. There is a lot of important work that Congress might do for the safety and security of the United States.

The gentleman from Illinois next inserted over two columns of names, of persons who are supposed to represent the sponsors of a paper called The Voice for Human Rights. This appears impressive. The writer, however, forgot to state that many of these sponsors have withdrawn their names because they found The Voice was edited by Jews who did not express the viewpoints of the sponsors, and for that reason these sponsors compelled the editor to make this retraction in the issue of April 1940:

RETRACTION AND APOLOGIES TO CONGRESSMAN THORKELSON

In the January issue of this paper appeared a special to The Voice, In the January issue of the paper appeared a special to The Voice, regarding Congressman THORKELSON, of Montana. We have since learned that it contained a number of baseless charges which we hereby retract, and tender our apologies.

Instead of the Congressman's status as an authentic doctor of medicine being doubtful or questionable, it is wholly beyond doubt or question. His standing, as a competent and experienced physician and surgeon in Montana is of the best.

There is no basis whatever for the claim or suggestion that the Congressman ever ran a nudist colony or was ever in any way con-

nected with any such affair. Moreover, the charge that he was born a Catholic and had marital and extra-marital adventures which brought church disapproval was entirely unfounded. In fact, he has never been a Catholic nor ever claimed to be. Instead of turning publicly or violently or other-wise against Catholicism, the Congressman's fair and friendly attitude toward Catholics has been generally recognized in Montana.

Accordingly, we hereby retract everything that we have published

Accordingly, we help restate everything that we have published against Congressman THORKELSON with respect to these matters. We also withdraw all suggestion that Congressman THORKELSON has a "mind poisoned against America." Our disagreement with Congressman THORKELSON'S diagnosis of internationalists, or an invisible government, to which he attributed the economic ills of America is no reason for reflecting upon his character honesty and America, is no reason for reflecting upon his character, honesty, and patriotism.

In order to correct the statement of the Nonsectarian Anti-Nazi League in its attempt to show justification for the letter inserted in the RECORD, let me quote other letters received by my attorney, Mr. George E. Sullivan, Fifteenth and H Streets. Washington, D. C.:

> PAULIST FATHERS, New York City, January 10, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN,

Fifteenth and H Streets, Washington, D. C. DEAR SIR: I write to acknowledge receipt of a copy of your letter to the editor of The Voice for Human Rights, dated January 6, 1940, and to advise you that I am not now a member of the Committee of Catholics to Fight Anti-Semitism, having withdrawn on August 4, 1939. It is possible you found my name on an earlier list. I am,

Very truly yours,

JOSEPH MCSORLEY, C. S. P.

AMALGAMATED MEAT CUTTERS AND BUTCHER WORKMEN OF NORTH AMERICA, Chicago, Ill., January 10, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN,

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN, Suite 226, Woodward Building, Fifteenth and H Streets NW., Washington, D. C. DEAR MR. SULLIVAN: I am in receipt of a copy of the letter you addressed to the editor of the Voice for Human Rights and wish to advise you that on December 19 I sent to Dr. Emmanuel Chapman an excerpt from a letter I received from Mr. Wm. Green, president of the American Federation of Labor, expressing his opinion in the matter of the Committee of Catholics for Human Rights. Mr. Green's letter was as follows:

"I cannot understand how any Catholic committee could invite and accept John Brophy, or men like John Brophy, as members of a Catholic committee. Everybody knows that John Brophy went to Russia as a sympathizer of the Soviet Government. Everybody knows that a responsible person testified before the Dies committee that John Brophy was supported by the Communists when he ran for president of the United Mine Workers some years ago, and that the Communists supplied him the money with which to pay the expenses of said election. I would not accept appointment on such a committee as the Committee of Catholics for Human Rights." I asked Dr. Chapman on the above date to eliminate my name as

one of those serving on the executive board of the committee. Sincerely,

PATRICK E. GORMAN, President, Amalgamated Meat Cutters and Butcher Workmen of North America.

> ST. MARY'S COLLEGE, St. Marys, Kans., January 11, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN,

Woodward Building, Washington. DEAR SIR: For some time I have been intending to withdraw my name from the committee behind the Voice for Human Rights. Your letter has finally prompted that action. I think the Voice is a good instance of how a good cause may be damaged by the wrong sort of defense. Yours very sincerely,

GERALD ELLARD, S. J.

SURROGATE'S COURT OF THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK, New York City, January 11, 1940.

GEORGE E. SULLIVAN, ESQ., Suite 226, Woodward Building

Fifteenth and H Streets NW., Washington, D. C. DEAR SR: Your letter of the 6th instant, addressed to me at Fordham University, reached me today. I know nothing about the matters therein discussed respecting Congressman THORKELSON. I am undertaking inquiries at this time of Dr. Chapman. I personally disavow any statement concerning Congressman ThorkELSON which is untrue or even discourteous. When I have some infor-

mation about the subject and when I have had an opportunity to read the article of which you complain, I shall communicate with you further.

If you should have occasion again to write, you might address your letter to this place. Very truly yours,

THE SODALITY OF OUR LADY. St. Louis, Mo., January 13, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN, Suite 226 Woodward Building,

Fifteenth and H Streets NW., Washington, D. C

DEAR MR. SULLIVAN: A copy of your letter to the editors of The Voice has been received. I have never had any connection with their publication or its policies. Sincerely yours in Christ,

DANIEL A. LORD, S. J.

BROOKLYN, N. Y., January 22, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN,

1940

Washington, D. C.

DEAR SIR: I am in receipt of your correspondence of January 20. In response I am enclosing a letter sent today to Dr. E. Chapman which, I believe, will explain any association of my name with the association referred to.

I wish to further state that I have never met, communicated with, or had any correspondence with any executive, member, or representative of this organization outside of the general public invitation to subscription which I accepted. I feel that the publi-cation of my name was an implication of any acquaintanceship with this correspondence with a second with this organization which is not justified.

Trusting that you will understand that I was just a reader of this publication and therefore hardly responsible for its management, direction, or policies, I am, Sincerely yours,

REV. CHARLES T. CAROW.

DUNS SCOTUS COLLEGE, Detroit, Mich., January 22, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN, Suite 226 Woodward Building

Fifteenth and H Streets NW., Washington, D. C. DEAR MR. SULLIVAN: When I sent in a dollar for The Voice for Human Rights, and later added another to help the cause along, I did not intend to become a member of any committee. After I saw my name on the list I simply let it there and did nothing about it.

I do not see how you can hold every member of the Committee I do not see how you can hold every member of the Committee of Catholics for Human Rights responsible for what is printed in The Voice. If that is true, I for one would certainly have my name removed from the list of members. On the other hand, if Mr. Thorkelson has been maligned or slandered, I am very sorry, and would prevail upon the editor to make the necessary apologies and corrections. I shall write to Dr. Chanmai, on this matter

Chapman on this matter.

Hoping that any wrong done to Mr. Thorkelson will be duly righted, I am,

Sincerely yours in Christ,

SEBASTIAN ERBACHER, O. F. M.

CULL AND FULLER, Cleveland, January 24, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN,

Washington, D. C. DEAR SIR: This will acknowledge receipt of mimeographed copy of your letter dated January 6, 1940, addressed to editor, The Voice of Human Rights, at 261 Broadway, New York, N. Y. I have read

Your communication with great interest. Permit me to say that some time ago I was appealed to by the Committee of Catholics for Human Rights to consent to join with other members of the Catholic faith in Cleveland to become members of a local committee to oppose the spread of antisemitism and all forms of racial intolerance. I consented to become a member of a local committee for such purposes, but whether one has ever been formed I do not know. If it has been formed, no word of its formation or notice of any of its activities has ever been communicated to me. I have never been notified of any such local committee, nor have I ever received a copy of any publication, or state-ment either by the general committee or by any local committee, and particularly have not received any copies of the publication to which you refer. The copy of your communication to the editor of The Voice for Human Rights also gives me my first information of the publication of the alleged libelous attack on Congressman THORKELSON. You may be sure I am deeply concerned over the character of the charges made against the Congressman, since I do not approve that kind of an attack under any circumstances. Per-mit me also to say that the only communication I ever received from the Committee of Catholics for Human Rights contained this assurance as to its methods:

"Our approach will be positive and dignified and there will be no personal attacks against anyone."

I had no knowledge whatsoever of the history of Dr. THORKELSON in any of the connections referred to in your communication, and I am entirely without opinion as to his character or reputation except to say that I presume them to be good.

In conclusion may I not state also that when I gave assent to become a member of a local committee, which I assumed would be called together from time to time for the purpose of deciding policies, etc., I was influenced by the assurance above referred to and additionally by the fact that there were many distinguished clergymen and laymen of my faith apparently serving as the officers and members of the general committee. In view of your statements contained in your letter to the editor of the publication re-ferred to, I must conclude that the promise made has not been kept and that the other assurances relied on were of doubtful value.

I am sending a copy of this letter to Dr. Emanuel Chapman, 261 Broadway, New York City, as you suggest, with the request that my name be no longer used even as a member of the local committee. Respectfully.

DAN B. CULL.

EMPIRE STATE, INC. New York, January 25, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN,

Suite 226, Woodward Building, Fifteenth and H Streets NW., Washington, D. C.

DEAR MR. SULLIVAN: I am in receipt of your letter. I know absolutely nothing about this matter. I became a member of the com-mittee in August, at which time they issued a statement of pur-pose which said, among other things, "* * * and there will be pose which said, among other things, no personal attacks against anyone."

Since my joining the committee I have never heard from them one way or the other until I received your letter. Immediately upon its receipt I sent to Dr. Chapman my resignation, copy of which I enclose.

Sincerely yours,

aes:mc.

ALFRED E. SMITH.

CHURCH OF THE HOLY NAME OF MARY, Algiers, La., January 26, 1940.

DEAR MR. SULLIVAN: Just received your mimeographed letter of January 6, and its carbon-copy appendage dated January 20. Hav-ing been completely out of contact with the organization since my transfer from Brunswick, Ga., last September, these recent developanent the matter but have not yet received an answer. Needless anoth the matter but have not yet received an answer. Recences to say I do not subscribe to maliciously libelous accusations and, pending Dr. Chapman's answer, I accept your version of the affair. I sincerely trust the matter will be settled to the satisfaction of all concerned, especially Congressman THORKELSON, of Montana. While I voluntarily answered Dr. Chapman's letter soliciting membership, I most certainly did not commit myself to malicious libel.

Yours truly,

THOMAS J. ROSHETKO.

BRYN MAWR, PA., January 26, 1940.

Mr. GEORGE E. SULLIVAN,

Attorney at Law, Suite 226, Woodward Building, Fifteenth and H Streets NW., Washington, D. C.

DEAR SIR: I have received a typewritten memorandum dated January 20, 1940, bearing your typewritten name as the sender, together with copy of letter dated January 6, 1940, likewise bearing your typewritten name, addressed to the editor of the Voice for Human Rights.

I did not know of the article in question and in fact have nothing to do with the publication you mention, nor do I have any knowledge or information about the matters mentioned in your

Accordingly I disclaim any responsibility in connection with the matters mentioned. Yours truly,

ANGELINE H. LOGRASSO.

NEW ORLEANS, LA., February 1, 1940.

Dr. EMMANUEL CHAPMAN,

261 Broadway, New York, N. Y. DEAR DR. CHAPMAN: I was surprised to receive a copy of a circular memorandum from Mr. George E. Sullivan relative to the responsibility of an article concerning one Congressman Jacob THORKELSON, of Montana, which is alleged appeared in the Voice of Human Rights.

The circular letter, which I endorsed back to you, asked if I would be interested in serving or working on a committee in my city to interest people in the condition of Jews, especially those who were at that time being severely persecuted in certain Euro-pean countries. This I would have gladly done. However, as no one has ever called me to date regarding this committee, I natu-relly presumed that it met with failure. rally presumed that it met with failure.

Therefore, if you have me registered as being a sponsor for the publication of the Voice of Human Rights it is most certainly without my authority. Besides, it is not logical that I, who live

JAMES A. VAUGHAN.

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

in New Orleans, would endorse articles unread, unchecked, and which are published in another city besides my own. If you have my name listed as one being responsible for the

In you have my hame listed as one being responsible for the publication of your paper, I request that you remove it immediately.

Please advise me accordingly. Very truly yours,

JOHN W. MCSHANE.

Mr. Geo. E. Sullivan, 226 Woodward Bldg., Washington, D. C.

This will answer your circular memo of January 20, 1940.

It should be clear after reading these letters that the persons named as sponsors for this publication are not in accord with the views expressed in it, and this is perfectly proper and right, as there is no relationship between Christianity and Judaism. The rabbi is not, as generally assumed, a minister or preacher. He is, instead, a dispenser of laws and presides over the Jewish courts. I quote from Webster's Dictionary:

Rabbi, master—used as a Jewish title; also, a Jewish teacher or doctor of the law.

For further information, those who are interested may read The Book of the Kehillah, vol. II, third edition, St. Petersburg, 1888. Document No. 155, pp. 81, 82, 83, and 84:

Points which were worked out and adopted for the protection of the sacred law and of the cause, which, owing to our great sins, has been completely undermined. They (the representatives of the city) were basing themselves on the law according to which it is commanded to exercise efforts and persistency in order to prevent our enemies from becoming our judges (that is to say, that the Jews should refrain from applying to alien courts) and with the object of curbing the rebel and of compelling every son of Israel to obey the law (Jewish law). The regulations mentioned below and all preventative measures were unanimously adopted by the representatives, leaders, and chiefs of the city and by the rightful Bet-Din and by great and prominent rabbi. The undersigned unanimously decided and took oath to support and follow all the decisions contained in these regulations as well as to support and fortify the law by whomever and by whatever means possible. The wise men have considered these regulations.

This is of no interest except for its reference to the Jewish people and their courts, and my object in quoting it is to correct the erroneous assumption that a rabbi occupies a position similar to the Christian clergyman. It can be seen from this that he is not a clergyman but is instead a dispenser of law and judge of Jewish courts.

I shall now return to discussion of the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League, and quote from their letter:

Do you know all these facts, Mr. Congressman? Or are you so busy addressing the native Fascist groups that you have no time to catch up with your reading?

Perhaps you are not aware of the fact that our native Fascist groups, masquerading under patriotic or Christian names, are Nazi inspired or Nazi contrived. Permit us to bring to your attention excerpts from reports of their meetings.

In these statements, the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League condemns itself, for no one employs innocent titles more than the internationalist. It is because of such names that communistic and subversive Jewish groups operate so freely, for who would suspect that the Humanity Guild is not what the name implies? The margins of the letterheads of this organization list 120 names as sponsors, and is not the purpose of this organization precisely the same as that of the Anti-Defamation and Non-Sectarian Leagues? This is another trick employed by Jewish organizations to shift responsibility by blaming their own subversive activities on someone else.

The letter then goes on and quotes from reports of the meetings of various organizations in which I nor anyone else has particular interest. The purpose of these quotations, however, is clear, for the writer of the letter by implication attempts to slander me. To this I can only reply that if the writer is no nearer the truth in regard to the gentleman he quotes than he is when he quoted me, he is indeed reckless with the truth. I shall insert in the Appendix of the RECORD the speech that I delivered to the Christian Mobilizers

on March 4, 1940, with the hope that he will read my speech, for I am sure that he will find nothing controversial therein.

The writer of the letter inserted by the gentleman from Illinois refers to the Declaration of Independence and to the Constitution. I shall ask him to read my remarks on A United America, in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, and I shall also ask him to read the Constitution, for it is quite evident that he does not understand it.

The author quotes a resolution of the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League which condemns the Communists as well as the Nazis, and another resolution that praises the work of the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League in investigating and exposing subversive groups and individuals in the United States. These are simply resolutions showing that the Anti-Nazi League is trying to evade the charges it has earned by its activities.

If all Jews are opposed to communism, why is the leadership in socialistic and communistic movements carried on by Jews? One of the outstanding rabbis, Judah Leo Magnes, who is now the president of the University of Jerusalem, boasted of Jewish revolutionaries and troublemakers when, in February 1919, he said:

Jews are closely identified with the beginnings of capitalism in its development from the feudal state. It was Friedrich Julius Stahl, a born Jew, who laid down the classical theory of the German Nationalstaat, based upon the politics of power. It was Benjamin Disraeli who is the father of the latter-day Tory democracy of England. Just so when the Jew gives his thought, his devotion, his spirit, to the cause of the workers and of the dispossessed, of the disinherited of the world, the radical quality within him there, too, goes to the roots of things, and in Germany he becomes a Marx and a Lasalle, a Haase, and an Eduard Bernstein. In Austria he becomes a Victor Adler and a Friedrich Adler; in Russia, a Trotzky.

Just take for the moment the present situation in Russia and in Germany. The revolution set creative forces free, and see what a large company of Jews was available for immediate service. Socialist revolutionaries and Mensheviki and Bolsheviki, majority and minority Socialists—whatever they be called; and I assume that it is not a question at all at this moment of agreeing or disagreeing with any one of them—Jews are to be found among trusted leaders and the routine workers of all those revolutionary parties. There are some among us who say that we ought not to admit this, because it will lead to anti-Semitism. I answer: What if it does? If it leads to anti-Semitism, it will be but another evidence in our long Jewish history of that stupidity, of that brutality, which we want the free men and women of the world to condemn and crush out. In Germany, now that the first free glow of the revolution is passing, the anti-Semites are beginning to talk of the "Judendemokratie." Suppose anti-Semitism does lift its ugly head in Germany and the Jews there and here and everywhere be made to suffer because of the prominence of Jews in the glorious German revolution.

This requires no explanation from me, but if the reader is interested in the leaders of these revolutionary movements, I refer him to a book by A. Cloyd Gill, America's Other Sixty Families, and many other publications written on such issues. I have covered these subjects in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD since May 1, 1939, to the present time. For my documented information I have been roundly abused by the Communists and internationalists and by the controlled press.

Let us now see how the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League conducts its "championship for human rights." In the February issue of the Anti-Nazi Bulletin a hooded figure rides an ass, which has a label on its right ear inscribed, "American Union of Fascists." Its right front foot is labeled, "Christian Mobilizers," and right hind quarter, "German-American Bund"; it is going past a post marked "Terrorism." The hooded figure is marked "K. K. K." The article is by the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League, department of investigation. What is the purpose of this pamphlet? Its sole purpose is to stir up hatreds and racial antagonisms; and as this happens, the internationalist shifts the blame upon those he designates Fascist, Nazi, and anti-Semitic. In this way he hopes to create sympathy for himself by instilling fear in those who do not understand—fear toward their own people.

The bulletin is filled with part truths and deliberate falsehoods. For example: In an article by Wilhelm Sollman, in which he mentions the Russian revolution in 1905 and 1917 nothing is said about the Jews. The author attempts to shift

responsibility to others by studiously avoiding the use of the word Jew by name or implication. What are the facts? The facts are that Jews led the revolution in 1905 and also the revolution in 1917, and this information can be obtained from many sources, including the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD.

1940 -

Let me now add a bit of information to that, from Senate Document No. 346:

The Hungarian Government frantically appealed to the allied and associated powers to save Hungary from complete annihilation. There was no response. Then a large number of disciples of Lenin and Trotsky returned to Hungary and held out to the Hungarians the hope that bolshevism can save Hungary. These Bolsheviks seized the Government of Hungary, and bolshevism reigned with all the terror that deteriorated human minds could devise.

For the sake of truth, let it be said that about 95 percent of the Bolsheviks in Hungary were Jews, and the Bolshevik dictator of Hungary, Bela Cohen [Kun] was a Jew. These were augmented by Russian Jewish terrorists. [See Dillon's Inside Story of the Peace Conference, p. 2241.] The outrages and the atrocities these terrorists committed in Hungary are unfit to be printed. The Hungarians now were in a terrible situation. Stripped of

The Hungarians now were in a terrible situation. Stripped of everything they had, tortured by the Bolsheviks, the unoccupied part of Hungary was invaded by a Rumanian army. In the meantime, certain financial interests of the Allied and associated powers seeking economic advantages in Hungary began to parley with Cohen, the Bolshevik dictator. He was offered brilliant advantages, he was assured that he would be honored by an invitation to the Peace Conference of Paris, if he would grant these financial interests certain concessions in the Banat, one of the richest sections of Hungary. The name of this financial group, for obvious reasons, remained unnamed. [Dillon's The Inside Story of the Peace Conference, p. 239.] It was also proposed that the Banat be made an independent republic, for which a protector was required. This humanitarian role of protectress was promised to be assigned to democratic France. French agents were on the spot to provide the arrangements. The actors of this episode were not all officers and civil servants. They included some men in responsible position. [Dillon's The Inside Story of the Peace Conference, pp. 239 and 240.] Unsuccessful in their attempts to obtain concessions in Hungary, those unnamable financiers let the Rumanian Army proceed. What the invaders did in Hungary is graphically described by an American writer, as follows:

"The story of the pillaging by Rumanian Army in Hungary is Homeric. It equals anything of the kind done in the war. The Rumanians took away machines, farm implements, cattle, and even seed grain of the peasants. A member of the English mission, sent to the east of Hungary to investigate the facts, said epigrammatically that the Rumanians had not even left the nails in the boards." [J. J. Bass' The Peace Tangle, p. 193.] When the Rumanian Army found nothing more to pillage, it proceeded to ransack the Hungarian National Museum, which con-

When the Rumanian Army found nothing more to pillage, it proceeded to ransack the Hungarian National Museum, which contained invaluable treasures of art and other collections of national and educational interest. A large truck was backed to the door of the museum, and the Rumanian soldiers were ready to break in the doors. In the meantime, the American military mission stationed at Budapest heard of the intended vandalism. Brigadier General Bandholtz hastened to the museum, placed the United states seal on its door, and informed the Rumanians that the breaking of that seal would result in serious consequences. Thus, thanks to Brigadier General Bandholtz, the Hungarian National Museum was saved.

The writer of the article in the Bulletin did not bring out this fact. Neither did he mention this statement from the book by Ambassador Francis, which I quoted on page 7485 of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD:

I have just (January 1918) been called to the phone and heard that Smolny Institute, Bolshevik headquarters, has formally announced that a revolution similar to that in Russia has begun in Germany. The Bolshevik leaders here, most of whom are Jews, and 90 percent of whom are returned exiles, care little for Russia or any other country but are internationalists and they are trying to start a world-wide social revolution. If such a revolution can get a foothold in Germany where the people are obsequious to those above them and domineering and tyrannical to those beneath them and where organization and system has obtained such a foothold as it never had in history before, I begin to fear for the institutions not only of England but of the Republic of France, and the thought arises in my mind whether our own institutions are safe.

This should be sufficient evidence to prove who are the Communists and the revolutionaries who are now undermining our Government.

I have in my possession over a dozen items of propaganda issued by the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League and the Jewish Congress, which I shall not quote because it takes too much time and space in the RECORD. All of these sheets reek with hatreds, falsifications, and deliberate prevarications in which the writers actually blame their enemies with their own shortcomings. It is simply a case in which the communistic Jew, in order to hide his own subversive activities, blames others for the very things that he himself is engaged in doing. In this he has been more than successful, because he has been able to rally to his side many gentile dupes, whom he has used to entrench himself and further his own ends.

In conclusion, it is my desire to inform the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. SABATH] that he need not feel sorry for me, for I am not a tool of anyone, not even the Jew himself. When the gentleman from Illinois attempts to slander me by insinuating that I am a tool in the hands of designing men who have been on trial for subversive activities and who should be charged with treason, he joins others of his people in outright vilification, calumniation, and plain prevarication. I am acquainted with only one of the men whom the gentleman from Illinois mentioned, and that is Mr. Pelley, and I am sure that he is not a criminal but is instead a victim of rabid persecution. However, I realize that is none of my business, but I do resent it when the gentleman from Illinois attempts to involve my reputation by implication.

No one person or organization is engaged in a more vicious propaganda than the groups to which I have referred. My evidence for this statement is lying here on the table, and any Member of Congress may examine this if he is interested in such filth. I challenge anyone to produce examples of equally vicious propaganda from any source before this body or any congressional committee, for in my opinion, it has no equal any place.

My reason for speaking plainly is due to the fact that I know what is going to happen and to save the innocent I want those who are engaged in the sabotage of our industries and Government to stop and cease using American industry and labor as a convenience for international interest.

Mr. BLOOM. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman is going to make such statements, I must insist on my point of order that there is not a quorum present. The gentleman at least should yield to give me an opportunity to answer. If he will not give me an opportunity to reply, I make the point of order there is not a quorum present.

Mr. SABATH. Mr. Speaker, there are eight Members on the floor.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York makes the point of order that a quorum is not present.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Texas moves that the House do now adjourn. The motion is not debatable.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 12 minutes p. m.) the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, May 7, 1940, at 12 o'clock noon.

COMMITTEE HEARINGS

COMMITTEE ON IRRIGATION AND RECLAMATION

(Tuesday, May 7, 1940)

The Committee on Irrigation and Reclamation will meet on Tuesday, May 7, 1940, at 10:30 a.m., in room 128, House Office Building, for the consideration of H. R. 9093.

COMMITTEE ON INTERSTATE AND FORMIGN COMMERCE

(Tuesday, May 7, 1940)

There will be a meeting of a subcommittee of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce at 10 a. m., Tuesday, May 7, 1940, for the consideration of House Joint Resolution 457, entitled "For the Transfer of the Marketing Laws Survey to the Department of Commerce."