I have already showed that the vociferous protestations of Dies to the sentrery notwithstanding his Committee did not incorporate inits record the mailing list of Millian Dudley Felley and his neferious Silver Shi to or snything ap roximating it. After hearing the members of the Dies committee with equal vegor yesterday say that they exhausted every possibility and I ft no stone unturned in attempting to locate and produce before the committee this fugitive pee-wee Aitler, I am convinced that it is my duty to lay before you the facts of the case as revealed in the semilitee?s own record on pares 4181 to 4274 of Volume 6. Here you will find the testimony of Robert B. Barker, the Dies committee investigator who testified on August 28 and 29. Mr. Barker seid that he spent 90 days investigating Tr. Pelley and his org mization (pare 4183). If ou look on page 4211, you will find that Mr. Barker said he spent the <u>lest</u> 10 days of this period looking for Fr. Pelley. Thus Fr. Pelley knew that the Dies committee wanted his or at least second to.

When Whitley, the committee counsel on page 4181 says he sent numerou a letters and telegrems to Mr. Pelley and that "Pelley declinedall opportunities to appear." Mr. Whitley does not mention subpoonsing Pelley.

Now let us look on pages 4111 to 4215. Here the following facts are established: Mr. Dies knew that Pelley was, in the words of Mr. Dies himself "undertaking to get a federal judge in North Carolina to issue a restraining order restraining this convittee from conducting these hearings with regard to him and his organization." Thus Mr. Dies knew that Mr. Pelley warnot anxious to testify.

Mr. Thomas had some definite feel ngs too and I quote from his remarks:

"It seems to me that we should get a little more drastic, a little more hard-boiled or cold-blooded about getting this man Pelley before our committee. Our investigator has probably done a very good job in trying to locate him but I think we ought to call upon the police officials in the state of North C rolins and in the city of Asheville and ask them to aid and assist us in locating this man Pelley and to issue a subpoens through them." * * * Mr. Themas then says that Mr. Pelley had been in Washington, a fact pointed out by egent Barker four pages earlier in the transcript. At this point I want to emphasize the fact that neither here nor any place else in the transcript of thistostimony is it stated or indicated by any member of the committee or aid any member of its staff that the base of the Department of Justice & d been reanows quested or enlisted. This testimony, which see that the eld of the police local not federal - hean't even been asked for five days after the committee planned to take testimony from Nr. Pelley. Because of the statements made in the Rules Committee hearings yesterday, I thill it would be fitting and proper for the members of the Dies Committee to here and no make public its correspondence with the Department of Justice requesting help in locating Pelley. It is my opinion that the publication of this correspondence will show that a feeble effort was made to close the barn door after the horse was feeding in green pestures far away.

- 2 -

a special delivery registered letter dated August 21, 1939, a telegram dated August 25, 1939, and a registered letter dated August 24, 1939 (all signed by Mr. W itley), the first three addressed to the fugitive himself and the fourth to one of Pelley's employees. The first document reads in part:

"This is the to advise you that the Special Committee on un-American activities has today issued a subpose calling for your presence as a witness at hearings * * * * . Please advise you will accept service upon arrival or whether it will be necessar, to personally serve subposes * * * *.*

The second document refutes this information in different words and part of the last sentence, quoted in the record, I think is interesting. It me says, "It is requested that you telegrap?//immediately whether you will be present.

The third of these documents refers to the fact that the previous two were sent, there has been no response to them, and concludes thus: "It is again requested that y u let me know immediately whether you intend to appear without first being served with a subposes.

The document addressed to one of Mr. Felley's henchmen is a compleint about Mr. Pelley's lack of courtesy and a humble request that the addresses communicate to Mr. Pelley the contents of the three previous communications addressed to Mr. Pelley.

You will remember that Mr. "hitley's telegram wes of August 19 begin a by stating that a subposed has been issued. I want now to quote from the statewents of the Gentleman from New Jersey, Mr. J. Parnell Thomas, which appear on page 4215 of the record:

- 3 -

"I think we have been very courteous to this prospective witness. He has been asked to appear voluntarily and the time has new come to carry out our idea about a subpoene and to issue that subpoene just as soon as we possibly can."

On the following page Mr. Dies implies that it really doesn't make any difference whether or not such a person he as Mr. Pelley appears before the complete because he says such persons are not noted for their truthfulness. In this connection the observations of Chairman Dies on page 4183 are, I think, appropriate. He said, meferring to a book that Mr. Pelley sent Mr. Dies and you and me and every other member of this Congress, "I think his book reveals, perhaps, everything about him that he would want to testify about if he were here in percon."

Yesterdey the able gentleman from Lichigan, Mr. Hook, informed this house that he had evidence of an agreement between Felley of the Silver Shirts and Martin Dies. Without going into that any further I submit, gentlemen, that what I have just said to you from the words end documents of the Dies committee itself proves beyond a shedow of a doubt--and I don't think the members of the Dies committee themselves will dispute this -- that Mr. Pelley was given every opportunity ###ek possible not to appear before the Dies committee.

So the Dies committee failed to produce Mr. Pelley. This might not be a great lose if they had done comething to remedy this deficiency. But also, gentlemen, it did not. They could have produced any of a number of persons right have in Washington who could have given competent testimony of Mr. Pelley's activities. I give you their names as obtained from the record of the Dies committee. These names were obtained from pages 4246 and 4247--Mr. Babp, Room 299 229, Bond Bu Iding, Washington, D.C., a Mr. Brown, David sayne, Preser 5. Gardner, a Mr. Thompson (page 4251) and subsequently one "Anay" not further identified but who, I understand, is a lawyer named Mathematica is a matter of

- 4 -

public record.

On page4255 Frederick C. Collett and Harrison fargo McConnell (the author of a views vicious pemphlet published by Mr. Pelley red-baiting the Republican National Committee, titled "Is the Elephant Red?") both lawyers, are identified with Mr. Pelley. Mr. Dies must have known because this too is a matter of public record in a law suit referred to on pages 4251-4255.

Mr. Dies did cell as a witness a man with whom, I understand, he had some acquaintance, Mr. Framer Gardner. Mr. Cardner's testimony appears on volume 6, pages 4045 ff. But Mr. Dies got no information from Mr. Gardner because Mr. Gardner professed to do nothing but research and unfortunately Mr. Dies couldn't show that he did do anything else. Mr. Gerder, as a result of his testimony before the Dies coumittee, is under indictment for an alleged perjury he committed in his testimony.

Only the committee members can tell you why none of these gentlemen was ever called before it, and only they can tell you why Mr. Mayne was never called as a witness although Mr. Stripling, the committee secretary (page 4063) Whitley stated that Mayne had offered to cooperate with the committee and Mr. Whitely en-page-4075-said, on page 4075 said, "He even offered to work free of charge to give the committee the benefit of his experience and knowledge."

The only possible explanation for this strange omission will be found in the record on pages 4236-4237. Mr. Whitley asked Mr. Barker if during his investigation he had discovered "any information concerning Mr. Pelley's associates or individuals or groups with which he is in cooperation or the extent and nature of that cooperation." Mr. Barker replied that Pelley's organization is a "one-man ergenization- outfit. Pelley is the directing head of practically everything. He works night and day/-ete-." etc. This opinion of Mr. Barker is interesting but it is nothing but opinion and I am sure facts which are already a matter of public record about the persons with whom Mr. Pelley associates and those who work for him differ.

The conmittee even after knowing this long list of Pelley's Machington people--a list long enough to be a matter of concern to the committee and to the Congress--could have in part made up for even this great error by eelly celling any of the other Pelley employees, many of whom from the record are known. It didn't and I wish I could tell you why. The most careful reading of the testimeny of Mr. Barker will not show even a suggration of a possibility that he might have set foot inside the Pelley headquarters in Asheville, North Carolina, where Mr. Barker by his testimony spent a considerable amount of time. How Mr. Barker could have failed to even make an effort to interview some of Pelley's employees, let alone get Pelley's files, I can't begin to imagine but I think that this failure constitutes a grave indictment of the ability and diligence of Mr. Barker and it is further my opinion that members of the committee should have been concerned about this.

Why, Mr. Barker's ignorance of the Felley establishment is incredible! Look on page 4222 of the record and find for yourself that he didn't know how many employees there are but estimates that there are 30. an estimate which could have come right from the testimony of Frezer Cariner, referred to above. After estimating the number of employees in theprinting establishment as 30, Mr. Barker says the weekly payrool is \$363.43, which doesn't

- 6 -

seem to me to be the at all accurate.

Having failed to produce a single competent witness to testify about Mr. Pelley, the committee took testimony about Mr. Pelley from its investigator. The information obtained from their investigator could have been obtained at considerably less expense. Any citizen could consult the sources he went to--Who's Who (pages 4184-4185). The only other information about Mr. Pelley in the record comes from one of his pemphlets and from records of the courts.

Further information about Mr. Pelley could have been obtained from the various lists of memore obtained as I have said above, from the Post Office Department, pursuant to an arrangement made in Machington by letter but the committee didn't see fit--and this is a most incongruou position/ for the committee to have taken--to incorporate lists in its record. The committee did refer to a list in its possession of persons who had written to Pelley. end but neither the committee members nor its investigator knew enything about these persons except what was already a matter of public record and in some cases they did nt even include such information in the record.

On page 4263 2 Hamburg, Germany, addresses are given, neither one of which is accurately identified. Mr. Whitley did say that he believed one was one of the Nazi propagands offices. He makes no effort to identify the other.

On page 4262 Mr. Dies read among the names of those who addressed mail to Mr. Pelley, the Republic Steel Corporation and asked no questions in spite of the fact that he knew that the activities of the Silver Shirts during the 1957 Little Steel Strike against the Republic Steel Corporation led to a suit filed by Pelley against the Senate Civil Liberties Committee and he also failed to include in the record the fact that Mr. Roy Zgehary, Commander of the Silver Shirt Legion--Commander in a military sense--speaking in Warren Chio where the Republic Steel Corporation has a large operation said that President Roosevelt would be easis assassinated. This was a matter of public record in the proceedings of the Senate Civil Liberties Committee on page 12780 of part 51.

Throughout the testimony of Mr. Borker will be found, especially o pages 4232 ff. casual references to investigation s of Mr. Pelley by other government departments. What help, if any, these investigations were to the Dies committee I cannot say but I do know that if the testimony of Mr. Barker and the observations of members of the ommittee on August 28 and 29 from which I have quoted all this time is to be believed the Post Office Department made available to the committee the result of a check covering a period of 90 days from February to April 1939 covering Nr. Pelley 's correspondence and that of all of his various organizations showing that Mr. Pelley received and dispatched 50,000 peices of mail (pages 4218-4219). I also know that Mr. Barker began his investigation, from his testimony (page 4283) after the middle of May so that this information was prepared before the Dies committee began its investigation of Nr. Pelley.

Scattered throughout this testimony are references to Mr. Pelley's financing and if the courts by convicting Mr. Pelley had not already a stablished the fact that he is not to be trusted near money which isn't his, the Dies committee did a fair job of that .

- 8 -

Baccuse of the loose and disjointed way in which financial matters are testified to, it is impossible for me to say exactly how much was the result of original investigation and how much some from other sources such as several cases presecutions of Mr. Felley for missppropriation of fundes. This much, however, I can say, when Barker was more than half way through with his testimony, he could not definitely state the answers to questions about Mn. Felley's income has rated even/though the income tax rates are always available to the Dies committee as a result of an executive order by the Freeident granting this right. I can further say that there are references to a large income by Mr. Felley, the sources of which are not indicated, that there are bank eccount records not in the posperpion of the committee when Mr. Barker took the stand and that there are one discrepondies in this testimony.

÷ 9 ÷

As Mr. Barker pointed out, Pelley is a prolific man. I have copies of more than a dozen pamphlets he has issued in addition to numerous copies of his filthy magazine, liberation. I regard the type of literature circulated by Mr. Felley as most dishonest, malicious and un-American. His vicious attacks in both his magazine and pamphlets are reckless and certainly warrant a complete exposure. Because this is my opinion, I was anxious to find what Mr. Dies showed about Mr. Pelley's printing activities. Frankly, gentlemen. I was disappointed because Mr. Dies showed next to nothing about Mr. Pelley's typographical un-Americanism. There are references to one or two of Mr. Felley's pemphlets in which Mr. Pelley speaks of himself; but his abandon and indiscriminate assaults upon the integrity. nationality and religion of many of the most prominent men in our Government --and I do not exclude the President of the United States and members of the Supreme Court and the Cabinet -- contained in these publications are not revealed in what I have been suphonistically referring to as an investigation by the Dies committee.

On page 4208 of Mr. Barker's testimony, he does mention briefly that Mr. Pelley prints what he calls "bulleting". On page 4209, Mr. Barker, when asked if he knew the number of pemphlets that "elley was sending out, some of replied that he didn't, but that he had a list of them.

Centlemen, not even a list of Mr. pelley's pamphlets appears in the Dies committee's record.

Mr. Pelley is not only the head of this mative militaristic Fascist organization which the members of the Dies committee in their report described, in the very brief space they devoted to Mr. Pelley (about two pages), as "certainly the best publicized". He is also a propagandist, and the Dies committee thought he was a "publicity agent" for the Cernan government and that he came under the Registration Act. As you will see on pages 4258-4259, they decided to tell the Department of Justice about it.

I certainly don't desire to take issue with this feeling of the members of the Dies committee. I don't know of a single good thing I could say about William Dudley Pelley; but before such a conclusion is reached, there must be some avidence upon which to base such a serious accusation, even against so reprehensible a person as Pelley. At the very beginning of the hearing on Monday, August 28, on page 4184, Mr. whitley is authorized by the Cheirman to "insrt in the record all of the excerpts" from Nazi propaganda sources in Pelley's publications showing that Pelley had taken material "directly from Nazi propaganda sources". To see what happened pursuant to this authorization, we must refer to pages 4268-4269. There Mr. Whitley says that he has collected two volumes of such data but that they need not be reproduced in the record and can be held in the committee's files "as exhibits". My experience, gontlemen, with the Dies committee's exhibits in their files is that they stay in their files and that not even a member of this august body can get them. What proof there is of this assertion that Felley uses Nazi material beside what I will shortly refer to, I can't say. Mr. Whitley, beginning on page 4268, says that in Mr. Felley's Liberation of July 8, 1933, there is an article entitled, "Hair Play to All Jews Commends Frank Statement of Facts!" and Mr. Whitley reads, on page 4260, a portion of this article. the burden of which is that "The sound merchant of the old school held the opinion that his duty was satisfactorily discharged by the satisfying the actual purchase requirements of his customers", that he did not adbuy vertise or solicit business or attempt "to talk a customer into paying the latter some article which tinings would not have bought of his own accord." After reading this seditious, revolutionary and inflammatory quotation

from Pelley's magazine, Mr. Thitley makes the astounding and world-shaking revelation, "Now, that article is a duplication of an article which appeared in the Hammer Fress, Leipzig, Cermany, in 1927, at pages 10 to 13, under the title 'The Riddle of the Jews' Buccess,'" So far as the printed record is concerned, that is the proof of the Dies committee that Mr. Pelley, whom I again repeat I have no use for, is a Nazi propaganda agent.

There is no doubt in my mind that Mr. Pelley utilized the propaganda material so Min widely distributed by the Nazi government. In fact, I have a copy of their AKI service, English edition No. 48, dated October 10, 1938, which I know Mr. Pelley's organization had. I also know that about 10 percent of this issue is devoted to the activity of the Dies committee and on pages 12, 13, 14 and 15, there appear eight different references to this committee's activity and the testimony it adduced. The testimony, it seems, was what the Lazis liked.

I could go on and on like this, gentlemen, showing discrepancies in the record, failure of the committee to pursue obvious angles and good leads, statements of opinion not substantiated by fact; but I think that by this time it must be clear, even to the best friend the Dies committee has, that its investigation of William Dudley Felley and the Silvershirts was a whitewash.