The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

[Mr. DICKSTEIN addressed the House. His remarks appear in the Appendix of today's RECORD.]

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. WOODRUFF of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD by printing an article by Arthur Sears Henning.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

[The matter referred to appears in the Appendix.]

ELMER DAVIS

Mr. WOODRUFF of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

[Mr. WOODRUFF of Michigan addressed the House. His remarks appear in the Appendix of today's RECORD.]

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. LECOMPTE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD and include an editorial from the Newton (Iowa) News.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

[The matter referred to appears in the Appendix.]

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Appendix and include therein a broadcast made by Fulton Lewis, Jr., over the Mutual Broadcasting System on Tuesday evening, June 16, 1942.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

[The matter referred to will appear hereafter in the Appendix.]

CAL TINNEY

Mr. HOFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. HOFFMAN. Mr. Speaker, last night, over the National Broadcasting chain, Cal Tinney, who sells the cigars for a tobacco company—the Bayuk cigars—up in Philadelphia, the Phillies cigar, I think it is, advocated the reelection of the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. ELIOT], and the defeat of the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. SHORT], the gentleman from California [Mr. LELAND M. FORD], and the Member from the Fourth Congressional District of Michigan.

Now, I wonder whether that tobacco company is employing Mr. Tinney to sell tobacco or whether he is broadcasting for the Communists, because, as I recall, the gentleman from Massachusetts [Mr. ELIOT] has been one of the few opponents of the Dies committee, while the other three named Members, whose defeat this gentleman advocated, have supported the Dies committee.

Can it be that all those who smoke the Phillies cigar or use any of the products of this company are expected to follow the recommendations of this broadcaster and vote for congressmen who support the Communists, who advocate the abolition of the Dies committee? Some of those who smoke the Phillies cigars will begin to wonder whether the company which employs this radio representative will not have all it can do to put out a cheaper and a better cigar or is it possible that they are now making so much money that they have taken on the sideline of supporting politically the Communist Party and the candidates it supports.

If that be true, then the House Ways and Means Committee should find in its revenues another ample reservoir which might be tapped for additional taxes.

I do not know, but I am going to write the tobacco company and find out whether they really are more interested in selling cigars than they are in establishing the Communists in power here. What sort of market are Communists going to give them? Are they better customers than loyal Americans who believe in constitutional government?

[Here the gavel fell.]

Mr. RICH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

Mr. RICH. Mr. Speaker, I saw a statement to the effect that the Ways and Means Committee were now much alarmed for fear they were going to bring out a tax bill that would provide only six and one-half billions of new revenue. The President recommended that they raise $9,000,000,000 of new revenue.

The reason they decided to cut down on the amount is because of the fact that the President and the Ways and Means Committee could not agree.

Let me show you why you need more revenue: Since January 3, and up to June 15, we passed bills in the House of Representatives spending $93,340,710,280.02. If you do not get revenue from the people of the country you are going to sink this Nation, and I warn you that the Ways and Means Committee, and the President of the United States, and the Congress has got to tax the people of this country until it hurts; either that, or you have got to stop spending; it is one of the two; which shall it be?

[Here the gavel fell.]

EXTENSION OF REMARKS

Mr. DONDERO. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to include therein an editorial.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

[The matter referred to appears in the Appendix.]

Mr. JARMAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD and to include therein an editorial from the Anniston Star of Anniston, Ala., in defense of Congress.

The SPEAKER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

There was no objection.

[The matter referred to appears in the Appendix.]

CONFERENCE REPORT ON STATE, JUSTICE, AND COMMERCE, AND THE FEDERAL JUDICIARY APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 1943

The SPEAKER. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. RABAUT].

Mr. RABAUT. Mr. Speaker, I call up the conference report on the bill (H. R. 6599), making appropriations for the Department of State, the Department of Justice, the Department of Commerce, and the Federal judiciary, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1943, and for other purposes, and ask unanimous consent that the statement be read in lieu of the report.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the statement of the managers on the part of the House.

(For conference report and statement, see proceedings of the House of June 15, 1942.)

Mr. RABAUT. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the conference report.

The previous question was ordered.

The conference report was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the first amendment in disagreement.

The Clerk read as follows:

Senate amendment No. 10: Page 25, line 9, after the word "division" insert the following: "Douglas-Agua Prieta sanitation project: For the construction of the United States portion of the Douglas-Agua Prieta sanitation project at Douglas, Ariz., as authorized by section 2 of the act approved August 19, 1935 (49 Stat 660), in accordance with an agreement with Mexico effected by an exchange of notes pursuant to a joint report of the International Boundary Commission, $90,000, to be immediately available and to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That no part of this appropriation shall be expended for construction until the governing body of the city of Douglas, Ariz., has given assurances satisfactory to the Secretary of State that it will (a) cause to be furnished, without cost to the United States, evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner of the United States section of said Commission that title to all lands or easements in land which may be designated by said Commissioner as necessary for the construction, operation, and maintenance of the United States portion of said project is vested in the city of Douglas, Ariz.; (b) upon notification by the said Commissioner that the United States portion of said project has been completed, take over and operate and maintain the said project; (c) hold the United States harmless on account of damage or claim of damage arising out of or connected with the construction or operation and maintenance of or failure to operate and maintain said project or any part thereof."

Mr. RABAUT. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate No. 10 and concur in the same.

The motion was agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the next amendment in disagreement.