

AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS

330 WEST 42nd STREET

NEW YORK CITY

LONGACRE 5-2600

CONFIDENTIAL

October 16, 1942

DIGEST OF THE ANTI-SEMITIC AND ANTI-DEMOCRATIC PRESS IN THE UNITED STATES

Published Fortnightly

The American Jewish Congress publishes this Digest of the anti-Semitic and anti-Democratic Press as a public service to acquaint leaders of opinion in the United States with the agencies which are endeavoring to destroy the efforts of our country to win the war, and to establish a new world order based on freedom for all mankind. It is hoped that the information imparted in this Digest will serve as a warning and a basis of action to circumvent the purposes of these enemies of Democracy.

VOL. I

No. 18

SCURRILOUS SPUTTER:

Members of the United States Senate and House of Representatives were treated to a scurrilous "petition" from Dr. George E. Sullivan, an attorney residing and practising in Washington, D.C. The United States Congress and the AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS are both in possession of the original document sent out on September 25th, 1942, by Dr. Sullivan. The petition is headed:

"PETITION FOR REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES AFFECTING THE PEOPLE AND REPUBLIC OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND URGING CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND OTHER IMMEDIATE REMEDIES."

What is really worrying Dr. Sullivan is the fact that one of his literary works, "The Road to Victory" which was exclusively exposed in this DIGEST (April 24th, 1942) was mentioned in the indictment of the 28 persons charged with sedition and conspiracy to undermine the morale of the armed forces. And so, in his letter or "petition" he remarks:

"It is time to take our bearings, and learn for ourselves our precise location and planned destination. We cannot safely rely upon those who would gag and blindfold us to keep vital truths suppressed. When loyal Americans who are alert and anxious to save the Republic have the false charge of sedition hurled at them, they should be reminded that a similar false charge was made nearly two thousand years ago against the Divine Saviour."

Dr. Sullivan enters a false tone when he contends or intimates that behind the cloak of war the liberties of the people are being taken from them. President Roosevelt has given assurance to the people of this nation that rationing or censorship of cables, restrictions about military zones, are necessary in a time of war, and that when the war ends, all these hardships or inconveniences will be eliminated. But, advises Dr. Sullivan:

"The American people should never be deceived by glib assurances from anyone that powers taken from the people will later revert to them automatically. When the powers of the people pass from them, the columns of this Republic will have fallen. Let no one deceive you into believing otherwise..."

And adds Dr. Sullivan after quoting a statement made by Daniel Webster:

"Is it SEDITION to strive loyally to keep these columns from falling?"

Dr. Sullivan then runs the gamut of history and goes back to a book written by an ancestor of President Roosevelt-- Clinton Roosevelt. Dr. Sullivan is trying to prove that President Roosevelt is an "Internationalist" who is bent on destroying private enterprise in this country. Says Dr. Sullivan:

"Franklin D. Roosevelt's strange attitude toward private enterprise appears to harmonize with the attitude of an earlier Roosevelt-- Clinton Roosevelt-- a century ago. In Clinton Roosevelt's book, craftily labeled 'The Science of Government', published in 1841 (JK 216 .R78, Rare Book Section, Library of Congress), he brazenly advocated that workers in fields and factories should labor together 'precisely as soldiers in an army do' (p.108), yet he loaded his book with professions of purpose to promote 'the general good.'"

What Dr. Sullivan is trying to "prove" is that Clinton Roosevelt inspired President Roosevelt's "attitude" toward labor and the farmer-- an attitude that is favorable. Dr. Sullivan holds President Roosevelt responsible for Clinton Roosevelt and vice-versa. And once again to "prove" that President Roosevelt is "Internationalist", Dr. Sullivan contends:

"The American people have been slow to grasp the truth regarding the Roosevelt INTERNATIONALISM, notwithstanding his war on private enterprise was from the very beginning a sure sign of it.

"In the President's Message to Congress of January 3rd, 1940, he presented the following ingenious and unsound argument for INTERNATIONALISM:

"To say that the domestic well-being of 130 million Americans is deeply affected by the well-being or the ill-being of the populations of other nations is only to recognize in world affairs the truth we all accept in home affairs."

President Roosevelt then said that this holds true for the rest of the civilized world, and that the United States cannot live happily and prosperous within a wall of isolation while the rest of civilization and the commerce and culture of mankind are shattered. "Oh!" bellows, Dr. Sullivan:

"Such ingenious argument for removal of protection for American standards of living should not deceive anyone who understands AMERICANISM and use ordinary common sense."

Continues Dr. Sullivan:

"On August 14, 1941, Roosevelt and Churchill met upon the high seas and there proclaimed a so-called 'ATLANTIC CHARTER'. The grandiose program of the so-called Charter was for the building of a FREE WORLD; at least such was the professed objective. It gave lip-service to -- 'the right of all peoples to choose the form of government under which they live', yet persistent refusal to accord such right to the people of India has since been maintained by the United Kingdom. Another proclaimed objective was the giving to all nations of--'access, on equal terms, to the trade and the raw materials of the world.'"

And then Dr. Sullivan gets all steamed up and mutters louder:

"This would, of course, destroy all possibility of protecting American standards. In consequence the American system would be rendered abortive, and there would be no high American standards to serve as a n example worthy of emulation elsewhere by adoption of a system similar to the American system. Still another proclaimed objective was the 'disarmament' of all nations. Such objective implies the erection of a World Government to police the world and keep nations disarmed. What becomes of the independence of the American Republic-- for which our Forefathers fought and suffered-- if it is to be dependent upon a World Government, in addition to the American system and the American standards being effectually negated?"

"Since the American Republic has an AMERICAN CHARTER the Constitution of the United States--which defines the scope and purposes of the Republic, it should be perfectly obvious that the so-called 'ATLANTIC CHARTER' which would change and pervert such scope and render such purposes abortive is lawless and void."

Dr. Sullivan concludes his discussion on the "Atlantic Charter" with:

"It seems unfortunate, however, that the proclaiming of the so-called 'Atlantic Charter' on the high seas was not accompanied also by a purported or proclaimed honorable burial of the American Republic at sea, instead of assuming its continuance while subtly diverting it to purposes of INTERNATIONALISM instead of AMERICANISM. A frankly proclaimed burial would have served to awaken the American people."

In Washington, Dr. Sullivan is known as an expert on Constitutional law. He acted in this role before a Congressional Committee investigating Gen. George Van Horn Moseley. The Committee's testimony shows that Gen. Moseley introduced Dr. Sullivan as assisting him in addition to the now indicted anti-Semite, Charles B. Hudson and the former anti-Semitic Representative from Montana, Jacob Thorkelson. Dr. Sullivan representing a professional outlook on Constitutional Law, should have known that George Washington, Alexander Hamilton, Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Jefferson, all Founding Fathers, considered the Constitution elastic, open to change and open to interpretation. General Washington upon assuming the office of President for the first time addressed the Hebrew Congregation of Newport with these opening words: The Citizens of the United States of America have the right to applaud themselves for having given Mankind examples of an enlarged and liberal policy worthy of imitation." The "Atlantic Charter" is merely a reiteration in fact and in ideology of the character of the Constitution. But Dr. Sullivan is more than an "expert" on the Constitution. Having read, we presume, English translations of the "The Talmud", he is also an expert on it. Says Dr. Sullivan discussing the causes of anti-Semitism in this country:

"We must lose no time in eradicating anti-Semitism in America, and in such a fair, practical and thorough manner as to set an example worthy of emulation everywhere else. Since anti-Semitism is an inescapable bi-product of Talmudism, and will automatically disappear with the eradication of Talmudism, our task should not be difficult if our courage and determination are equal to the task."

Dr. Sullivan then discusses "anti-Semitism" generally and says:

"Hitler's anti-Semitism", which is built upon blood hatred and thereby avoids an honest diagnosis of causes requiring remedy, had injected into the world a new peril.

"The full extent of the 'behind the scenes' manipulation in Britain, France, Germany and the United States leading up to World War II will probably never be known, but the existence thereof on a wide scale is obviously beyond dispute."

Dr. Sullivan then quotes the following from an editorial in the periodical, "The American Hebrew":

"Whenever an American or Philippino fell at Bataan or Corregidor or at any other of the now historic spots where MacArthur's men put up their remarkable fight, their survivors could have said with truth: the real reason that boy went to his death was because Hitler's anti-Semitic movement succeeded in Germany."

What Dr. Sullivan purposely neglected to add, was that the editorial showed that anti-Semitism was a device used by the Nazis to bring Fascism into this world. Hitler's movement, with all its barbarism, all its tyranny, all its earmarks of paganism, came into this world under the guise of an anti-Semitic movement--not announcing itself as a Fascist movement. So the editorial was correct in its interpretation. But Dr. Sullivan was trying to "prove" that what really was behind-the-scenes was a move to war on Hitler because the

Jews were persecuted. And he states rather bluntly:

"If there is a group or sect in the world so powerful that it can produce a World War in retaliation for anti-Semitism or for any other reason, it is high time that it should be definitely identified. Not only must anti-Semitism be eradicated, but any such group or sect must be completely dissolved. In fact, such a group or sect will obviously until dissolved, continue to produce anti-Semitism as a by-product."

Dr. Sullivan then gives credit to Hitler's "Mein Kampf" in which:

"he described in considerable detail the fiendish destructiveness of the group or sect chiefly responsible for the plight of the German people. He even mentioned the 'Talmud' as the directing medium used by the sect or group."

Therefore it is clearly stated, even "proven", that this is a Jewish war, brought on by persons (Talmudists--in Dr. Sullivan's estimation) who had an axe to grind with Hitler because of Jewish persecution. This is what Dr. Sullivan is trying to impress upon the chosen representatives of the people. What difference, if any, is there between Dr. Sullivan's contentions and the sputter of the axis propaganda short-wave? It must be understood that there is none.

Either through ignorance or by a cunning device to demoralize his readers Dr. Sullivan has taken unto himself the mantle of authority on the Talmud. One would think that the Talmud is an instrument of conspiracy followed by the majority of Jews against any country in which they live. It is not! The Talmud is a long series of discourses on all phases of law and life culled from the utterances of prominent Jewish scholars who functioned in the academies of Palestine and Babylonia during the first five centuries of the common era. In the Talmud there are two Gemaras of parallel development, one by the Palestinian and one by the Babylonian school of thought. The Talmud contains the commentaries of more than two thousand rabbinical scholars expressing their individual views on affairs of their times and their interpretations of the writings of still earlier scholars. Because of this, it is only the intellectually dishonest person, or the person motivated by sheer slander, who will isolate an individual statement and make that the guiding principle of the Jewish people as a whole. Tales have been spread that the Talmud teaches that it is a virtue for a Jew to massacre a Christian, and that such murder will go unpunishable. Father M.J. Ahern, S.J. writing in THE PILOT, the publication of the Catholic Church of the Archdiocese of Boston states:

"These outrageous charges have been disproved again and again by Christian scholars. If the Talmud did teach these outrageous principles of conduct, then it is amazing that neither Father Bonsirven, nor Father Dirksen, nor the New Catholic Dictionary, nor the Catholic Encyclopedia in its article on the Talmud has not made any mention of these principles." (July 1st, 1939)

John Andreas Eisenmenger who lived in Germany in 1654-1704 was the father of the calumnies upon the Talmud. His book "Jewry Unmasked" was described by the official encyclopedia of German bibliography published by the German Imperial Academy of Science in 1876 as: "a collection of scandals." And added:

"Some passages are misinterpreted; some distorted; others are insinuations based on one-sided inferences."

Such diabolical misinterpretations is precisely what Dr. Sullivan is trying to "sell" or "prove" to the Congress of the United States. Dr. Sullivan defends Gerald B. Winrod, infamous Kansas anti-Semite, on the grounds that the latter was exposing the Talmudists in our midst. A friend whom Dr. Sullivan did not find, some reason to defend, is Colonel E.N. Sanctuary. The latter's interpretation of the Talmud led to the contention and advocacy of the nazi lie that all Jews were Communists and had brought on the Russian Bolshevik Revolution, etc.

Dr. Sullivan states further:

"Catholic, Protestant and Jewish high leaders are acting very strangely. They seem too busy cooperating with one another for (1) silence about the Talmudic menace, and (2) activity on behalf of a supposed NEW WORLD ORDER along lines which would (whether intended or not) destroy Americanism and establish INTERNATIONALISM, and result in the culminating triumph of TALMUDISM over CHRISTIANITY and CIVILIZATION. The rank and file of the clergy and laity of all religions would like to do something, but high leaders seem unable to overcome the Talmudic financial control. Religion, which is so essential to morality, must have protection against CRIMINAL SUBJUGATION if we are to enjoy religious freedom or any freedom, whether certain cringing clergy with pompous fronts do or do not want religion so protected."

Dr. Sullivan then asks Attorney General Biddle's alleged "Communism" investigated and the Department of Justice investigated for laxity. About all he has said, Sullivan shouts in huge type:

"CONGRESS CANNOT PERMIT THIS OUTRAGEOUS CONDITIONS OF AFFAIRS TO CONTINUE. NO MORE OUTRAGEOUS CONSPIRACY IS CONCEIVABLE THAN ONE TO SILENCE LOYAL AMERICAN CITIZENS WHILE THE REPUBLIC IS 'SCUTTLED.'"

Dr. Sullivan further advises Congress on the war:

"The American People want to win the war in which we are engaged, and to concentrate our forces for the purpose, --not to scatter our resources and man-power over the face of the globe in pursuit of any brand of world revolution. They want no more talk about so-called 'TOTAL DRAFT' for 'TOTAL WAR'. They know that a real AMERICAN WAR EFFORT is immeasurably stronger and greater in every field than the inherently destructive Frankenstein 'TOTAL WAR'."

Dr. Sullivan concludes with:

"No racial, religious or political issues are in any-wise involved in this petition, which merely makes rightful demand that ORGANIZED CRIMINALITY be allowed no longer to ruin the peace, prosperity and happiness of any of our people (no matter what their race, creed or political affiliations), nor to destroy our great Republic."

This "petition" or whatever it can be called, is not the work of a crack-pot, or a member of the lunatic fringe associated with pro-Fascist movements. It was written by a man who was admitted to practice before the Supreme Court of our land, and who enjoys, we understand, considerable weight with diplomatic, journalistic and Congressional circles. Dr. Sullivan has distorted the facts, attempted to show that the President of the United States, the Department of Justice, and the Attorney General were following the dictates of Talmudists, who, in the perverted opinion of Dr. Sullivan are conspirators. He called this war one begun to avenge Jewish persecution. He was guilty of directing away the attention of responsible public officials from their duties to map the blueprints that will ensure victory. He has attempted behind the subterfuge of a "petition" to confuse them. This DIGEST of April 24th, 1942, showed that Dr. Sullivan was attempting in his book, "The Road to Victory" to relate the Rothschild family with the Roosevelt family. We also showed that according to Dr. Sullivan Alexander Hamilton was an illegitimate son of a Jew, and it was for this reason that he founded the Federal Reserve Bank. We were also told in this book that John Wilkes Booth was a Talmudic Jew who assassinated Lincoln.

FACTS ON PARADE:

Several anti-Semitic hoodlums broke a window of a synagogue at 798 Lydig Avenue in the Bronx on October 13th. Two Italian boys, ranging from 16-18 years were caught and arrested, after they fought viciously with knives, with the sexton.

* * * * *

Gerald L.K. Smith who was defeated roundly for the Republican nomination for United States Senate late last month, has just announced his intentions of running as an Independent-Republican for the same office from Michigan. He is not expected to win. Congressman Clare B. Hoffman, who has supported the indicted Mrs. Elizabeth Dilling on the floor of the House, will support Smith, as will Father Coughlin, whom Smith is defending staunchly in all his speeches.

* * * * *

Mrs. Lois de Lafayette Washburn of Seattle, Washington, is inaugurating a new so-called "Yankee Minute Men" organization. In a bulletin she demands:

"immediate armistice with all nations against whom we are arrayed at war, and a negotiated peace, and with an all-Yankee cast on our side of the conference table."

Mrs. Washburn operates from P.O. Box 918, Seattle, Washington. Mrs. Washburn has collaborated with Mrs. Elizabeth Dilling and with Newton Jenkins of Chicago, whose popularity with Chicago Bundists won him the title "Der Fuehrer der Dritten Partei." Jenkins was trying to form a new party. Mrs. Washburn urged the formation of a new party recently and said that pledges would:

"...help support the organization of a new political party that will help stop this holocaust, outlaw Communistic, Bolshevistic Judaism, smash the capitalism of international finance... and put a military man in the White House as Commander-in-Chief of our Army and Navy."

Mrs. Washburn claims to be a direct descendant of General Lafayette. She wants to do away with the term "American" feeling that too many aliens have assumed this title, and the term "Yankee" is more adept to her way of thinking.

In her opinion the Red Cross are a group of "international hijackers."

* * * * *

Rembert Gilman Smith of Tulsa, Oklahoma, is circulating a tract entitled, "ELEANOR ROOSEVELT, First In Travels, First In Writings, First in the Hearts of the Communists." It purports to show that Mrs. Roosevelt has aided Communist Fronts. Most of the material appeared in proto-fascist Joseph P. Kamp's works. Mrs. Roosevelt endorsed youth groups who appeared originally to be clear of any Communist infiltration. She later repudiated these same groups when infiltration became an actuality. The pamphlet is being circulated by George Sylvester Viereck's collaborator, Edwin P. Banta.

* * * * *

The pro=appeasement UNITED MOTHERS OF AMERICA of Cleveland Ohio are circulating a speech in the Congressional Record by Clare E. Hoffman, Representative of Michigan. The speech accuses Supreme Court Justice Roberts, the President and Secretary Ickes of their "Judaslike betrayal of our people into and as one of a United States of the World."

* * * * *

John J. Henihan's Christian Front group meets regularly every Thursday evening at Star O'Munster Hall, Willis Avenue and 138th Street in the Bronx. Investigation reveals that Henihan is masquerading his activities under the cloak of a well-known Catholic fraternal order.

* * * * *

It is still possible to order Henry Ford's "The International Jew" in its Spanish edition from G.E. Stechert and Co. It will take several weeks before one can receive it. The work comes from either Chile or Argentina. The cost recently quoted is \$1.75.

* * * * *

Frank Emerick of Drums, Pa. has just issued No. 2 of his new periodical, "THE NATIONAL AMERICAN." Dated September 1st, 1942, but released only this past week, Emerick writes:

"The President and our national leaders are not telling the American people what this war means to our nation. They can not do so without revealing the tragic blunder of our present national policy and their responsibility for the critical and dangerous situation in which our country finds itself. This is not a war for democracy, or for their four freedoms, or for any other self-bamboozling slogan."

Emerick also criticizes the "Atlantic Charter" with being incompatible with the interests of our nation. In speaking of the Charter, Emerick asks:

"Why are we fighting wherever there is a British possession to defend? Because the Atlantic Charter compels the United States to fight this war Mr. Churchill's way -- and to Mr. Churchill's credit, that means the British way. The Soviet Union since it signed the Declaration, should also be fighting the war Churchill's way-- but Joe Stalin is not Franklin Delano Roosevelt."

And Mr. Emerick goes on to warn that President Roosevelt's policies is losing the war for us. This is not true, of course, but Mr. Emerick would have us believe it. Perhaps Mr. Emerick's announcement in the current issue of the purposes of "THE NATIONAL AMERICAN" will explain things:

"Our purpose in publishing the National American is to indicate both the political and organizational bases for a new national leadership for the American people."

In a letter to a CONGRESS INVESTIGATOR, Mr. Emerick said that he was very glad to hear from Nationalists! This term is used widely by pro-Fascist and proto-Fascist leaders to cover up their nefarious activities.